

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 Kuisiener Google Form

Pertanyaan Filter

Pertanyaan ini digunakan untuk mendapatkan responden yang sesuai

Apakah Anda pengguna aktif TikTok?

☐ Ya	☐ Tidak
--------------------------	-----------------------------

Apakah Anda pernah melihat iklan di TikTok?

☐ Ya	☐ Tidak
--------------------------	-----------------------------

Demographic Profile

Jenis Kelamin

☐ Laki laki	☐ Perempuan
---------------------------------	---------------------------------

Usia

☐ Di bawah 20 tahun	☐ 21 - 30 tahun	☐ 31 - 40 tahun	☐ Lebih dari 41 Tahun
--	--	--	--

Berapa lama anda menggunakan TikTok?

☐ 1 - 2 tahun	☐ 3 - 4 tahun	☐ 5 - 6 tahun	☐ lebih dari 7 tahun
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Pekerjaan

☐ Mahasis wa / siswa	☐ Beker ja penuh waktu	☐ Beker ja paruh waktu	☐ Belu m bekerj a	☐ Yan g lain —
--	---	---	--	---

Penghasilan (perbulan)

○ < 1 juta	○ 1 - 2 juta	○ 3 - 4 juta	○ 4 - 5 juta	○ > 5 juta
---------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------

Pertanyaan Variabel Social Media Marketing

Social Media Marketing		Skala				
		(1) Sangat Tidak Setuju	(2) Tidak Setuju	(3) Netral	(4) Setuju	(5) Sangat Setuju
1	Saya lebih suka membagikan konten tentang merek yang saya sukai menggunakan TikTok.					
2	Pemasaran melalui TikTok memberikan peluang untuk membagikan informasi tentang merek.					
3	Membagikan informasi menggunakan TikTok sedang menjadi tren.					
4	Iklan melalui TikTok menarik					

	perhatian saya terhadap merek.					
5	Saya lebih suka menggunakan media sosial TikTok untuk membagikan informasi tentang merek kepada teman-teman saya.					
6	TikTok memberikan saya informasi tentang merek yang saya cari.					
7	Menarik untuk membagikan informasi tentang merek di media sosial TikTok.					

Brand Awareness	Skala				
	(1) Sangat Tidak Setuju	(2) Tidak Setuju	(3) Netral	(4) Setuju	(5) Sangat Setuju
1	TikTok meningkatkan kesadaran merek				

	saya dan membuat saya lebih sadar akan merek.					
2	TikTok memberikan saya lebih banyak informasi mengenai karakteristik merek.					
3	Melalui TikTok, saya dapat dengan mudah mengingat merek.					
4	Saya dapat dengan mudah mengenali merek melalui TikTok.					
5	Saya dapat dengan mudah membedakan berbagai merek melalui TikTok.					

Purchase Intention	Skala				
	(1) Sangat Tidak Setuju	(2) Tidak Setuju	(3) Netral	(4) Setuju	(5) Sangat Setuju

1	Niat saya adalah untuk terus membeli merek yang saya lihat di TikTok.lebih sadar akan merek.					
2	Saya merekomendasikan merek yang saya sukai kepada orang lain menggunakan TikTok.					
3	Saya berniat untuk membeli merek yang saya sukai berdasarkan diskusi di TikTok.					
4	Niat saya adalah untuk tetap setia pada merek ini di masa depan.					

Brand Engagement		Skala				
		(1) Sangat Tidak Setuju	(2) Tidak Setuju	(3) Netral	(4) Setuju	(5) Sangat Setuju
1	Saya berhubungan erat dengan orang lain					

	yang menggunakan merek yang sama dengan saya di TikTok.					
2	TikTok membuat saya terlibat dalam berbagai aktivitas merek.					
3	Merek yang terlihat di TikTok membuat saya merasa sangat positif.					
4	Saya sangat fokus ketika melihat merek di TikTok.					

Lampiran 2 Kuisiner Google Form



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

Halo! Perkenalkan nama saya Angellino Nova Saputra, mahasiswa Program Studi Sarjana Manajemen, Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomika, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. Saat ini saya sedang melakukan penelitian yang berjudul "Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok

Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta" sebagai tugas akhir skripsi.

Adapun kriteria partisipan dalam penelitian ini adalah sebagai berikut:

- Pengguna TikTok aktif
- Pernah melihat atau melakukan tindakan lebih lanjut (membeli / memasukan produk ke keranjang belanja) saat melihat iklan melalui TikTok

Pengisian kuisioner ini memerlukan waktu sekitar 5 - 10 menit. Keseluruhan data dari kuisioner ini hanya akan digunakan sepenuhnya untuk keperluan penelitian. Setiap Jawaban dan identitas dari saudara/i akan terjaga kerahasiaannya.

Apabila saudara/i memiliki pertanyaan dan kritik maupun saran, anda dapat menghubungi saya melalui email @angellinonova@gmail.com

Terima kasih atas ketersediaan saudara/i telah berpartisipasi dalam survei ini.

Salam hangat,
Angellino Nova Saputra.

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

Tidak dibagikan



Berikutnya

Kosongkan fo



Jangan pernah mengirimkan sandi melalui Google Formulir.



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

✉ Tidak dibagikan

☁

* Menunjukkan pertanyaan yang wajib diisi

Pertanyaan Filter 1

Pertanyaan ini digunakan untuk mendapatkan responden yang sesuai

Apakah Anda pengguna aktif TikTok? *

☐ Ya
 ☐ Tidak

Kembali

Berikutnya

Kosongkan formulir

Jangan pernah mengirimkan sandi melalui Google Formulir.

Konten ini tidak dibuat atau didukung oleh Google
[Hubungi pemilik formulir](#) - [Persyaratan Layanan](#) - [Kebijakan Privasi](#)

Apakah formulir ini tampak mencurigakan? [Laporkan](#)



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

 Tidak dibagikan



* Menunjukkan pertanyaan yang wajib diisi

Pertanyaan Filter 2

Pertanyaan ini digunakan untuk mendapatkan responden yang sesuai

Apakah Anda pernah melihat iklan di TikTok? *

☐ Ya

☐ Tidak

Kembali

Berikutnya

Kosongkan formulir

Jangan pernah mengirimkan sandi melalui Google Formulir.

Konten ini tidak dibuat atau didukung oleh Google

 [Hubungi pemilik formulir](#) - [Persyaratan Layanan](#) - [Kebijakan Privasi](#) 



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

 Tidak dibagikan



* Menunjukkan pertanyaan yang wajib diisi

Demographic Profile

Pertanyaan ini digunakan untuk mengelompokan responden menjadi beberapa bagian

Jenis Kelamin *

☒ Laki - Laki

☐ Perempuan

Usia *

☐ Di bawah 20 tahun

☒ 21 - 30 tahun

☐ 31 - 40 tahun

☐ Lebih dari 41 Tahun

Berapa lama anda menggunakan TikTok? *

☐ 1 - 2 tahun

☒ 3 - 4 tahun

☐ 5 - 6 tahun

☐ lebih dari 7 tahun

12:18 35%

Berapa lama anda menggunakan TikTok? *

☐ 1 - 2 tahun

☒ 3 - 4 tahun

☐ 5 - 6 tahun

☐ lebih dari 7 tahun

Pekerjaan *

☒ Mahasiswa / siswa

☐ Bekerja penuh waktu

☐ Bekerja paruh waktu

☐ Belum bekerja

☐ Yang lain:

Penghasilan (perbulan) *

☐ < 1 juta

☒ 1 - 2 juta

☐ 3 - 4 juta

☐ 4 - 5 juta

☐ > 5 juta

Kembali Berikutnya Kosongkan formulir

Jangan pernah mengirimkan sandi melalui Google Formulir.

Konten ini tidak dibuat atau didukung oleh Google. - [Hubungi pemilik formulir](#) - [Persyaratan Layanan](#) - [Kebijakan Privasi](#)

Apakah formulir ini tameng mencurigakan? [Lapor!](#)

Google Formulir



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

✉ Tidak dibagikan



* Menunjukkan pertanyaan yang wajib diisi

Pertanyaan Variabel Social Media Marketing

Social Media Marketing adalah serangkaian aktivitas pemasaran yang dilakukan oleh perusahaan melalui platform media sosial (seperti Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, dan lainnya) untuk menarik perhatian, berinteraksi, dan membangun hubungan dengan konsumen. Dalam konteks penelitian ini, SMM mengacu pada persepsi konsumen terhadap efektivitas kegiatan pemasaran di TikTok.

Saudara/i dapat memilih satu dari 5 pilihan yang tersedia, yaitu:

- 1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 = Tidak Setuju
- 3 = Netral
- 4 = Setuju
- 5 = Sangat Setuju

Saya lebih suka membagikan konten tentang merek yang saya sukai menggunakan TikTok. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Pemasaran melalui TikTok memberikan *
peluang untuk membagikan informasi
tentang merek.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Membagikan informasi menggunakan *
TikTok sedang menjadi tren.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Iklan melalui TikTok menarik perhatian *
saya terhadap merek.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju



Saya lebih suka menggunakan media sosial TikTok untuk membagikan informasi tentang merek kepada teman-teman saya. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

TikTok memberikan saya informasi tentang merek yang saya cari. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Menarik untuk membagikan informasi tentang merek di media sosial TikTok. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Kembali **Berikutnya** **Kosongkan formulir**

Jangan pernah mengirimkan sandi melalui Google Formulir.

Konten ini tidak dibuat atau didukung oleh Google. - [Hubungi pemilik formulir](#) - [Persyaratan Layanan](#) - [Kebijakan](#)

Apakah formulir ini tan... encurigakan? [Laporkan](#)



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

Tidak dibagikan



* Menunjukkan pertanyaan yang wajib diisi

Pertanyaan Variabel Brand Awareness

Brand Awareness atau kesadaran merek adalah sejauh mana konsumen mengenali dan mengingat suatu merek di antara banyak pilihan lainnya. Pada penelitian ini, BA menggambarkan kemampuan konsumen untuk mengenali, mengingat, dan membedakan merek berdasarkan paparan konten digital yang mereka lihat di media sosial TikTok.

Saudara/i dapat memilih satu dari 5 pilihan yang tersedia, yaitu:

- 1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 = Tidak Setuju
- 3 = Netral
- 4 = Setuju
- 5 = Sangat Setuju

TikTok meningkatkan kesadaran merek *
saya dan membuat saya lebih sadar akan merek.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju



TikTok memberikan saya lebih banyak informasi mengenai karakteristik merek. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Melalui TikTok, saya dapat dengan mudah mengingat merek. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Saya dapat dengan mudah mengenali merek melalui TikTok. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Saya dapat dengan mudah membedakan berbagai merek melalui TikTok. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Kembali Berikunya Kosongkan formulir

Jangan pernah mengirimkan formulir ini melalui Google Forms

?

Konten ini tidak dibuat atau didukung oleh Google. Hubungi pemilik formulir - Persyaratan Layanan - Kebijakan



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

Tidak dibagikan



* Menunjukkan pertanyaan yang wajib diisi

Pertanyaan Variabel Purchase Intention

Purchase Intention atau niat beli adalah kecenderungan atau keinginan seseorang untuk membeli produk atau jasa tertentu di masa depan. Dalam penelitian ini, PI menggambarkan sejauh mana paparan terhadap konten merek dan interaksi di TikTok dapat memengaruhi keinginan pengguna untuk membeli produk dari merek tersebut.

Saudara/i dapat memilih satu dari 5 pilihan yang tersedia, yaitu:

- 1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 = Tidak Setuju
- 3 = Netral
- 4 = Setuju
- 5 = Sangat Setuju

Niat saya adalah untuk terus membeli merek yang saya lihat di TikTok. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju



Saya merekomendasikan merek yang *
saya sukai kepada orang lain
menggunakan TikTok.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Saya berniat untuk membeli merek yang *
saya sukai berdasarkan diskusi di
TikTok.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Niat saya adalah untuk tetap setia pada *
merek ini di masa depan.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Kembali Berikunya Kosongkan
formulir

Jangan pernah mengirimkan sandi melalui Google Formulir.

Konten ini tidak dibuat atau didukung oleh Google. -
[Hubungi pemilik formulir](#) - [Persyaratan Layanan](#) - [Kebijakan Privasi](#)

Apakah formulir ini tam, encurigakan? [Laporkan](#)



Dampak Pemasaran Melalui Media Sosial TikTok Terhadap Kesadaran Merek, Keterlibatan Merek, dan Niat Pembelian di Yogyakarta

angellinonova@gmail.com [Ganti akun](#)

Tidak dibagikan



* Menunjukkan pertanyaan yang wajib diisi

Pertanyaan Variabel Consumer Brand Engagement

Consumer Brand Engagement

mengambarkan sejauh mana konsumen secara kognitif (pikiran), afektif (emosi), dan perilaku (aksi) terlibat dengan suatu merek. Konsep ini lebih dalam dari sekadar menyukai atau mengenal merek. Pada penelitian ini, CBE berarti konsumen benar-benar berinteraksi, merasakan keterikatan emosional, dan berpartisipasi aktif dalam aktivitas merek di TikTok.

Saudara/i dapat memilih satu dari 5 pilihan yang tersedia, yaitu:

- 1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 = Tidak Setuju
- 3 = Netral
- 4 = Setuju
- 5 = Sangat Setuju

Saya berhubungan erat dengan orang lain yang menggunakan merek yang sama dengan saya di TikTok. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐


2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju



TikTok membuat saya terlibat dalam berbagai aktivitas merek. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Merek yang terlihat di TikTok membuat saya merasa sangat positif. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Saya sangat fokus ketika melihat merek di TikTok. *

Sangat Tidak Setuju

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

5 ☐

Sangat Setuju

Kembali **Berikutnya** **Kosongkan formulir**

Jangan pernah mengirimkan sandi melalui Google Formulir.

Konten ini tidak dibuat atau didukung oleh Google. - [Hubungi pemilik formulir](#) - [Persyaratan Layanan](#) - [Kebijakan Privasi](#)

Apakah formulir ini tampak mencurigakan? [Laporkan](#)

Goog  rmulir 

Lampiran 3 Data Mentah Responden

	Apakah Anda pengguna aplikasi TITaT?	Apakah Anda pernah melihat iklan di TITaT?	Jenis Kelamin	Usia	Waktu yang menggunakan TITaT?	Pendidikan	Penghasilan (perbulan)	DM1	DM2	DM3	DM4	DM5	DM6	DM7	TOTAL DM	BA1	BA2	BA3	BA4	BA5	TOTAL BA	P1	P2	P3	P4	TOTAL P	BE1	BE2	BE3	BE4	TOTAL BE	
1	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	4 - 5 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	20	5	5	5	5	20	
2	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	32	4	4	5	4	4	21	5	4	4	5	18	5	4	4	5	18	
3	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belum bekerja	3 - 4 juta	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	32	4	4	4	4	4	20	5	5	4	5	19	4	4	5	4	17	
4	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	5 - 6 juta	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	32	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	4	4	5	19	4	5	5	5	20	
5	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	< 1 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	4	5	4	5	5	23	4	4	5	5	18	5	4	4	5	18	
6	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	5	4	4	23	5	4	4	5	18	4	5	4	5	18	
7	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	5 - 6 juta	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	32	5	5	4	5	5	24	4	5	4	5	18	5	5	4	4	18	
8	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	4	4	5	5	5	22	5	5	4	5	19	5	5	4	4	18	
9	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	31	3	5	4	5	5	21	4	5	4	4	17	5	4	5	5	19	
10	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	4	5	5	23	5	4	4	4	17	5	5	5	5	20	
11	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	30	5	5	4	4	5	23	4	4	5	4	17	5	4	5	4	18	
12	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	3 - 4 juta	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	32	5	4	5	4	4	22	5	5	4	5	19	5	4	5	4	18	
13	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	4	5	5	24	5	5	4	4	18	4	5	4	5	18	
14	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	7 - 8 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	31	5	5	4	4	4	5	23	4	5	4	5	18	5	4	5	5	19
15	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	4 - 5 juta	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	31	5	5	4	4	4	5	23	4	5	4	5	18	5	4	5	4	18
16	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	< 1 juta	4	3	4	4	5	5	4	29	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	5	4	4	18	4	4	5	4	17	
17	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	30	4	4	5	4	3	20	4	4	5	4	17	4	4	5	5	18	
18	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	4	5	5	19	5	5	5	4	19	
19	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	4	5	5	19	5	5	5	4	19	
20	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	4 - 5 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	4	5	4	5	23	5	4	5	5	18	5	4	5	5	19	
21	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	4 - 5 juta	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	31	5	5	4	4	4	5	23	4	4	5	5	18	5	5	5	4	19
22	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	5 - 6 juta	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	31	4	5	5	5	5	4	23	5	5	4	5	19	4	5	4	4	17
23	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	29	5	5	4	4	4	5	23	5	4	4	4	17	5	4	5	5	19
24	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	4 - 5 juta	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	30	5	5	4	4	5	23	4	4	5	4	17	5	4	5	5	19	
25	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	30	4	4	3	4	4	19	5	5	5	4	19	4	5	4	5	18	
26	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	4	5	5	4	23	5	4	5	5	18	5	4	5	4	18	
27	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	3	4	4	5	5	5	30	4	4	4	5	4	21	4	4	5	4	17	4	4	5	5	18	
28	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	4	3	5	31	5	4	5	4	4	22	5	4	5	5	19	5	4	5	5	19	
29	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	5	4	5	19	4	4	5	5	18	
30	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	30	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	5	4	4	18	4	4	5	5	18	
31	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	< 1 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	4	4	5	18	5	5	5	4	19	
32	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	1 - 2 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	4	4	23	5	5	5	4	18	4	4	5	5	18	
33	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	32	3	4	4	5	5	21	5	4	5	4	18	5	4	5	5	19	
34	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	31	4	4	5	5	4	22	5	5	4	4	18	4	5	5	4	18	
35	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	31	4	4	5	5	4	22	5	4	4	4	18	4	5	5	4	18	
36	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	4 - 5 juta	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	32	4	4	5	5	4	22	5	4	5	5	19	4	5	4	4	17	
37	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	< 1 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	5	4	5	19	4	4	5	5	18	
38	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	31	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	4	4	4	17	5	4	5	5	18	
39	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	5	5	5	20	5	5	4	4	18	
40	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	31	5	5	4	5	4	23	5	5	4	5	19	4	4	5	5	18	
41	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	4 - 5 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	4	4	5	5	4	22	5	4	4	5	18	4	5	5	4	18	
42	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	4	4	5	23	4	5	5	5	19	5	5	4	4	18	
43	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	< 1 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	4	4	5	23	4	5	5	4	18	5	4	5	4	18	
44	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	5	5	4	19	5	4	5	5	19	
45	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	31	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	5	4	5	19	4	4	5	5	18	
46	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	4	5	5	5	24	5	5	4	4	18	4	5	5	5	18	
47	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	4	4	5	5	23	5	5	4	5	19	4	5	4	4	17	
48	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	33	5	4	5	5	4	23	4	5	5	5	19	5	5	4	4	18	
49	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	5	4	4	23	5	5	4	5	19	4	4	5	5	18	
50	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernah waktu	< 1 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	4	5	5	4	23	5	4	5	5	19	4	4	5	5	18	
51	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	< 1 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	4	5	4	4	22	5	5	4	4	18	4	4	5	5	18	

[illegible]


Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belitong	5 - 4 juta	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	30	5	4	5	5	5	24	5	5	4	4	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belitong	5 - 4 juta	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	30	4	4	5	5	4	22	5	4	5	5	19
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Malakissa / Isawa	5 - 1 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	4	4	5	4	5	23	5	4	5	4	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Malakissa / Isawa	5 - 2 juta	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	33	4	4	5	5	5	23	5	5	4	4	18
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	5	4	4	5	23	4	5	4	5	17
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 2 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	33	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	5	5	4	18
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 4 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	4	4	4	5	22	4	5	5	4	17
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Malakissa / Isawa	5 - 2 juta	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	33	4	4	5	5	4	22	5	5	4	5	19
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Malakissa / Isawa	5 - 2 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	31	5	4	4	4	5	22	4	4	5	5	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 2 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	5	4	4	5	5	23	5	5	4	4	18
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 5 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	4	5	5	5	24	5	4	5	5	19
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 5 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	4	5	5	5	24	5	4	5	5	19
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 4 tahun	Belitong	5 - 2 juta	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	32	4	4	5	5	4	22	4	5	4	5	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 4 juta	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	32	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	5	4	5	19
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 4 tahun	Belitong	5 - 2 juta	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	32	5	4	4	5	5	24	5	4	5	4	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 4 tahun	Belitong	5 - 4 juta	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	4	4	18
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Belitong	5 - 2 juta	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	32	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	4	4	17
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 4 tahun	Belitong	5 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	32	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	3	5	17
Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 4 tahun	Belitong	5 - 4 juta	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	35	5	5	5	5	5	25	4	4	5	5	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 4 tahun	Malakissa / Isawa	5 - 2 juta	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	5	5	19

Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 4 tahun	Bekas pemuk wasta	1 - 2 juta	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	32	4	4	5	5	4	22	4	5	4	5	18	
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Bekas pemuk wasta	3 - 4 juta	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	32	5	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	5	4	5	19
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Bekas pemuk wasta	1 - 2 juta	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	32	5	4	5	5	5	5	24	5	4	5	4	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Bekas pemuk wasta	3 - 4 juta	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	4	4	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Bekas pemuk wasta	1 - 2 juta	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	32	5	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	4	4	17
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Bekas pemuk wasta	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	32	5	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	3	5	17
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 4 tahun	Bekas pemuk wasta	3 - 4 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	5	5	5	5	5	25	4	4	5	5	18
Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 4 tahun	Wahana wisata / wisata	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	5	5	18

101	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	lebih dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	5	5	5	5	25	4	4	5	5	18	5	5	5	4	19
102	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	5	5	19	5	5	5	5	18
103	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	20	5	4	4	5	18
104	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 40 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	4	5	24	5	5	4	4	18	5	5	4	4	18
105	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	< 1 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	5	4	5	5	4	23	4	4	5	5	18	5	5	4	4	18
106	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	< 1 juta	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	33	5	5	4	5	5	24	4	4	5	5	19	4	5	4	4	17
107	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	3 - 4 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	4	4	5	5	5	23	5	4	5	5	19	5	5	4	4	18
108	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	5	4	18	4	4	5	5	18
109	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	32	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	5	4	18	5	4	5	4	18
110	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	lebih dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	32	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	4	4	18	4	4	5	5	18
111	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	4	5	4	5	5	23	4	4	5	4	17	5	4	5	5	18
112	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	32	5	5	4	4	5	23	5	5	4	5	19	4	4	5	5	18
113	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	4	5	4	18	4	4	5	5	18
114	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	34	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	4	5	19	5	4	5	5	18
115	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	32	5	5	5	5	5	25	4	4	5	4	17	5	4	4	5	18
116	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	4	5	5	5	24	5	5	5	5	20	5	5	4	4	18
117	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	4	5	19	4	5	4	5	18
118	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	lebih dari 7 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	4	4	5	5	5	23	5	4	5	5	19	4	4	5	5	18
119	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	20	5	4	4	5	18
120	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	20	5	4	4	5	18

121	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	24	5	5	4	5	19	4	5	5	4	18
122	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	4	5	5	4	5	23	5	5	5	5	18	5	4	5	5	19
123	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	1 - 2 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	4	5	19	4	5	5	4	18
124	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Mahasiswa / siswa	1 - 5 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	23	5	5	5	5	20	5	4	5	5	18
125	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 5 juta	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	34	5	4	5	5	5	24	5	4	5	4	18	5	5	4	4	18
126	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	20	4	4	5	5	18
127	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	19	5	4	4	5	18
128	Ya	Ya	Laki - Laki	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	34	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	4	4	18	4	4	5	5	18
129	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	3 - 4 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	1 - 2 juta	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	35	4	5	5	4	5	23	5	5	5	5	20	4	4	5	5	18
130	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 30 tahun	5 - 6 tahun	Belanja pernuh waktu	3 - 4 juta	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	33	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	20	4	4	5	5	18


Lampiran 4 Profil Responden



Usia

129 jawaban

[Salin diagram](#)




- Di bawah 20 tahun
- 21 - 30 tahun
- 31 - 40 tahun
- Lebih dari 41 Tahun

Berapa lama anda menggunakan TikTok?

129 jawaban

[Salin diagram](#)




- 1 - 2 tahun
- 3 - 4 tahun
- 5 - 6 tahun
- lebih dari 7 tahun

Pekerjaan

129 jawaban

[Salin diagram](#)




- Mahasiswa / siswa
- Bekerja penuh waktu
- Bekerja paruh waktu
- Belum bekerja

Penghasilan (perbulan)

129 jawaban

[Salin diagram](#)



- < 1 juta
- 1 - 2 juta
- 3 - 4 juta
- 4 - 5 juta
- > 5 juta

Lampiran 5 Statistik Deskriptif Variabel

Descriptive Statistics					
	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
SMM1	129	1	5	3.74	1.278
SMM2	129	1	5	3.67	1.319
SMM3	129	1	5	4.09	1.121
SMM4	129	1	5	4.52	.876
SMM5	129	1	5	4.52	.928
SMM6	129	1	5	4.64	.855
SMM7	129	1	5	4.62	.886
TOTAL_SMM	129	20	35	29.80	4.809
BA1	129	1	5	4.13	1.371
BA2	129	1	5	3.96	1.301
BA3	129	1	5	4.07	1.017
BA4	129	1	5	4.17	.977
BA5	129	1	5	4.29	1.112
TOTAL_BA	129	10	25	20.62	4.202
PI1	129	1	5	4.09	1.422
PI2	129	1	5	3.91	1.212
PI3	129	1	5	3.78	1.002
PI4	129	2	5	4.51	.588
TOTAL_PI	129	8	20	16.29	3.215
BE1	129	1	5	4.12	.997
BE2	129	1	5	4.05	.967
BE3	129	1	5	4.27	.933
BE4	129	1	5	4.40	.755
TOTAL_BE	129	8	20	16.84	2.704
Valid N (listwise)	129				

Lampiran 6 Uji Validitas dan Reabilitas

```

CORRELATIONS
/VARIABLES=SMM1 SMM2 SMM3 SMM4 SMM5 SMM6 SMM7 TOTAL_SMM
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

```

Correlations

[DataSet0]

		Correlations							
		SMM1	SMM2	SMM3	SMM4	SMM5	SMM6	SMM7	TOTAL_SMM
SMM1	Pearson Correlation	1	.805**	.513**	.347**	.195*	.049	.132	.740**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.026	.580	.137	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
SMM2	Pearson Correlation	.805**	1	.549**	.415**	.206*	.012	.105	.753**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.019	.897	.237	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
SMM3	Pearson Correlation	.513**	.549**	1	.539**	.381**	.076	.044	.713**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.394	.623	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
SMM4	Pearson Correlation	.347**	.415**	.539**	1	.425**	.228**	.176*	.669**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.009	.046	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
SMM5	Pearson Correlation	.195*	.206*	.381**	.425**	1	.678**	.394**	.661**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.026	.019	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
SMM6	Pearson Correlation	.049	.012	.076	.228**	.678**	1	.697**	.512**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.580	.897	.394	.009	.000		.000	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
SMM7	Pearson Correlation	.132	.105	.044	.176*	.394**	.697**	1	.490**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.137	.237	.623	.046	.000	.000		.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129
TOTAL_SMM	Pearson Correlation	.740**	.753**	.713**	.669**	.661**	.512**	.490**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129	129	129

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

\

```

CORRELATIONS
/VARIABLES=BA1 BA2 BA3 BA4 BA5 TOTAL_BA
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

```

Correlations

		Correlations					
		BA1	BA2	BA3	BA4	BA5	TOTAL_BA
BA1	Pearson Correlation	1	.843**	.402**	.140	.154	.758**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.112	.081	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129
BA2	Pearson Correlation	.843**	1	.510**	.269**	.294**	.849**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.002	.001	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129
BA3	Pearson Correlation	.402**	.510**	1	.656**	.293**	.761**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.001	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129
BA4	Pearson Correlation	.140	.269**	.656**	1	.472**	.646**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.112	.002	.000		.000	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129
BA5	Pearson Correlation	.154	.294**	.293**	.472**	1	.587**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.081	.001	.001	.000		.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129
TOTAL_BA	Pearson Correlation	.758**	.849**	.761**	.646**	.587**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	129	129	129	129	129	129

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

CORRELATIONS

/VARIABLES=BE1 BE2 BE3 BE4 TOTAL_BE

/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG

/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

Correlations

		Correlations				
		BE1	BE2	BE3	BE4	TOTAL_BE
BE1	Pearson Correlation	1	.724**	.352**	.051	.763**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.563	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129
BE2	Pearson Correlation	.724**	1	.497**	.049	.810**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.581	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129
BE3	Pearson Correlation	.352**	.497**	1	.597**	.820**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129
BE4	Pearson Correlation	.051	.049	.597**	1	.522**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.563	.581	.000		.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129
TOTAL_BE	Pearson Correlation	.763**	.810**	.820**	.522**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	129	129	129	129	129

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

CORRELATIONS

```

/VARIABLES=PI1 PI2 PI3 PI4 TOTAL_PI
/PRINT=TWOTAIL NOSIG
/MISSING=PAIRWISE.

```

Correlations

		Correlations				
		PI1	PI2	PI3	PI4	TOTAL_PI
PI1	Pearson Correlation	1	.852**	.377**	.083	.896**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.351	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129
PI2	Pearson Correlation	.852**	1	.499**	.106	.929**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.234	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129
PI3	Pearson Correlation	.377**	.499**	1	-.015	.664**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.862	.000
	N	129	129	129	129	129
PI4	Pearson Correlation	.083	.106	-.015	1	.254**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.351	.234	.862		.004
	N	129	129	129	129	129
TOTAL_PI	Pearson Correlation	.896**	.929**	.664**	.254**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.004	
	N	129	129	129	129	129

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

RELIABILITY

```
/VARIABLES=SMM1 SMM2 SMM3 SMM4 SMM5 SMM6 SMM7  
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL  
/MODEL=ALPHA.
```

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	129	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	129	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.775	7

```

RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=BA1 BA2 BA3 BA4 BA5
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA.

```

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	129	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	129	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.769	5

```

RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=BE1 BE2 BE3 BE4
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA.

```

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	129	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	129	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.718	4

```

RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=PI1 PI2 PI3 PI4
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA.

```

Reliability

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	129	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	129	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.709	4

Lampiran 7 Uji Hipotesis

```

REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA CHANGE
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT Total_BA
/METHOD=ENTER Total_SMM.

```

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_SMM ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BA

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	Change Statistics			Sig. F Change
						F Change	df1	df2	
1	.253 ^a	.064	.057	1.161	.064	8.667	1	127	.004

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_SMM

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	11.675	1	11.675	8.667	.004 ^b
	Residual	171.084	127	1.347		
	Total	182.760	128			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BA

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_SMM

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	16.223	2.372		6.838	.000
	Total_SMM	.212	.072	.253	2.944	.004

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BA

```

REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA CHANGE
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT Total_BE
/METHOD=ENTER Total_SMM.

```

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_SMM ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BE

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics			Sig. F Change
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2
1	.038 ^a	.001	-.006	.602	.001	.186	1	127

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_SMM

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.067	1	.067	.186	.667 ^b
	Residual	46.088	127	.363		
	Total	46.155	128			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BE

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_SMM

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	17.664	1.231		14.345	.000
	Total_SMM	.016	.037	.038	.431	.667

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BE

```

REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA CHANGE
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT Total_PI
/METHOD=ENTER Total_SMM.

```

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_SMM ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R		Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	Change Statistics			Sig. F Change
						F Change	df1	df2	
1	.254 ^a	.065	.057	.803	.065	8.774	1	127	.004

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_SMM

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	5.658	1	5.658	8.774	.004 ^b
	Residual	81.893	127	.645		
	Total	87.550	128			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_SMM

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	13.569	1.641		8.266	.000
	Total_SMM	.147	.050	.254	2.962	.004

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

```

REGRESSION
/MISSING LISTWISE
/STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA CHANGE
/CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
/NOORIGIN
/DEPENDENT Total_PI
/METHOD=ENTER Total_BE.

```

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_BE ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	Change Statistics			Sig. F Change
						F Change	df1	df2	
1	.120 ^a	.015	.007	.824	.015	1.871	1	127	.174

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_BE

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1.271	1	1.271	1.871	.174 ^b
	Residual	86.279	127	.679		
	Total	87.550	128			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_BE

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	21.445	2.209		9.710	.000
	Total_BE	-.166	.121	-.120	-1.368	.174

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

```

REGRESSION
  /MISSING LISTWISE
  /STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA CHANGE
  /CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
  /NOORIGIN
  /DEPENDENT Total_PI
  /METHOD=ENTER Total_BA.

```

Regression

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_BA ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	Change Statistics			Sig. F Change
						F Change	df1	df2	
1	.173 ^a	.030	.022	.818	.030	3.930	1	127	.050

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_BA

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	2.628	1	2.628	3.930	.050 ^b
	Residual	84.923	127	.669		
	Total	87.550	128			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_BA

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	15.644	1.405		11.133	.000
	Total_BA	.120	.060	.173	1.982	.050

a. Dependent Variable: Total_PI

Regression

[DataSet0]

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_PI, Total_SMM ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BA

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.277 ^a	.077	.062	1.157

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_PI, Total_SMM

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	13.997	2	6.998	5.225	.007 ^b
	Residual	168.763	126	1.339		
	Total	182.760	128			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BA

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_PI, Total_SMM

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	13.939	2.934		4.751	.000
	Total_SMM	.187	.074	.223	2.521	.013
	Total_PI	.168	.128	.117	1.316	.190

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BA

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Total_PI, Total_SMM ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BE

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.140 ^a	.020	.004	.599

a. Predictors: (Constant), Total_PI, Total_SMM

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	.904	2	.452	1.258	.288 ^b
	Residual	45.251	126	.359		
	Total	46.155	128			

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BE

b. Predictors: (Constant), Total_PI, Total_SMM

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	19.035	1.519		12.529	.000
	Total_SMM	.031	.038	.074	.807	.421
	Total_PI	-.101	.066	-.139	-1.526	.129

a. Dependent Variable: Total_BE

The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available on Emerald Insight at:
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/0263-4503.htm>

MIP
43,1

28

Received 2 June 2023
Revised 6 October 2023
10 November 2023
25 December 2023
4 March 2024
19 May 2024
Accepted 30 May 2024

The impact of social media marketing on brand awareness, brand engagement and purchase intention in emerging economies

Jusuf Zeqiri

*Faculty of Business and Economics, South East European University,
Tetovo, North Macedonia*

Paul Sergius Koku

*College of Business, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton,
Florida, USA*

Costinel Dobre and Anca-Maria Milovan

*Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, West University of Timisoara,
Timisoara, Romania*

Vjollca Visoka Hasani

Faculty of Economics, AAB College, Prishtina, Kosovo, and

Tetiana Paientko

*Faculty of Economics and Law, Berlin University of Applied Sciences,
Berlin, Germany*

Abstract

Purpose – Purpose. Based on the Uses and Gratifications Theory (UGT), theory of consumer brand engagement (CBE), and empirical findings, we examine the impact of social media marketing (SMM) on brand awareness (BA), consumer brand engagement (CBE), and purchase intention in emerging economies.

Design/methodology/approach – Data were collected in North Macedonia, Albania, Kosovo, Romania, and Ukraine from 1808 social media users, through a self-administered online survey. Partial least square structural equation modeling was used to assess the theoretical model, and a multi-group analysis to explore the differences between countries.

Findings – Social media marketing has a positive impact on brand awareness, brand engagement, and purchase intention, while country moderates the relationship between brand engagement and purchase intention. We reveal differences among countries regarding SMM's impact on brand engagement and purchase intention.

Practical implications – The study promotes SM's impact on brand communications, providing consumer insights that help companies design effective SMM strategies, using similarities and differences in emerging economies. The different levels of CBE and their different influences on purchase intention require a focus on the motivations for brand engagement in social media and the type of content preferred by consumers in each country. The originality of our research lies in our examination of the impact of social media marketing on consumer behavior in five emerging countries. Additionally, we are investigating how the country of origin influences the relationship between social media marketing, brand awareness, consumer behavior, and purchase intention in different countries.

Originality/value – The originality of our research lies in our examination of the impact of social media marketing on consumer behavior in five emerging countries. Additionally, we are investigating how the country of origin influences the relationship between social media marketing, brand awareness, consumer behavior, and purchase intention in different countries.

Keywords Social media marketing, Consumer brand engagement, Brand awareness, Purchase intention, Emerging economies

Paper type Research paper



Marketing Intelligence & Planning
Vol. 43 No. 1, 2025
pp. 28-49
© Emerald Publishing Limited
0263-4503
DOI 10.1108/MIP-06-2023-0248

1. Introduction

Social media has facilitated complex and intense interactions between brands and consumers for over a decade (Li *et al.*, 2021). As a participatory platform, it encourages consumers to engage, connect, and share ideas with other like-minded consumers, but also with brands on a wider scale. The widespread use of social media has enabled consumers to voice their opinions about companies' products and services (Cheung *et al.*, 2022b), transforming them from passive receivers of marketing communication into influencers and active creators (Bazi *et al.*, 2020). As a result of these growing trends, companies have invested considerable efforts and resources in marketing strategies that facilitate consumer participation on social media platforms (Dabbous and Barakat, 2020) – trends and strategic approaches that are also evident in the countries where the current research was conducted.

By using social media marketing (SMM) to encourage consumers to engage with a brand online through activities such as consuming, contributing, and creating content (Muntinga *et al.*, 2011), companies can increase consumer brand engagement and positive consumer behaviors (Cheung *et al.*, 2021c). From the many effects social media marketing campaigns have on consumer reactions, i.e., positive word-of-mouth, greater brand awareness, brand equity, purchase intent, value co-creation, brand engagement, emotions, and purchases (Koay *et al.*, 2022; Cheung *et al.*, 2021a, b; Bazi *et al.*, 2020; Godey *et al.*, 2016), this study considered the direct effects on brand awareness, consumer brand engagement and purchase intention, but also the effects that brand awareness and consumer brand engagement have on the purchase intent.

Consumer brand engagement (CBE) reflects how consumers interact with engaging organizations (Beckers *et al.*, 2018). The evolution of technology and social media has added complexity to the consumer-firm relationship (Steinhoff *et al.*, 2019) and engagement models (Wirtz *et al.*, 2019), requiring cohesive alignment. Despite some promising conceptual developments regarding consumer brand engagement, the attention given by researchers to the importance of social media marketing activities on consumer brand engagement (CBE) is limited (Cheung *et al.*, 2020b).

Moreover, Hollebeek (2018) highlights the necessity of CBE research in various cultural contexts. While few notable studies are confirming SMM's significant positive effects on brand equity, including its components—brand awareness and brand image (Godey *et al.*, 2016; Seo and Park, 2018; Hafez, 2022)—there is a lack of cross-cultural studies that explore these relationships. Although Godey *et al.* (2016) collected data from four countries; they only evaluated a particular sector: luxury goods. Therefore, their findings should be compared to other contexts of research. Given the significant number of studies asserting the importance of cultural differences among countries in shaping consumers' behavior through SMM (Godey *et al.*, 2016; Cheung *et al.*, 2020a), we consider the country as a moderating factor. In our study, we collected data from social media users in North Macedonia, Albania, Kosovo, Romania, and Ukraine, countries that share similarities but also present cultural and behavioral differences.

Behavioral differences among the analyzed countries, especially regarding the use of social media and relationships with brands, are poorly addressed in the literature. The existing literature either refers to the degree of new technology adoption (Tsiotsou, 2019) or provides various statistics on social media use in these countries. Tsiotsou (2019) found that consumers from Eastern Europe leave more reviews than consumers from Southern Europe. This feature can be explained by the higher proportion of social media users in the post-strip stage in Romania and Ukraine, who demonstrate a higher level of engagement. According to datareportal.com, social media penetration reached 74% of the total population in Ukraine, 67.3% in Romania, 56.1% in Kosovo, 57.5% in North Macedonia, and 56.4% in Albania in 2023. Although Facebook has the highest market share in all countries, it accounts for 94.96% in Romania, 91.68% in Albania, and only 37.4% in Ukraine (statcounter.com).

The analyzed countries are also grouped into different clusters based on the speed of technology adoption and internet penetration rates. [Jayaram et al. \(2015\)](#) suggest including Romania in a cluster with medium adoption speed, while Albania is classified in a cluster with low adoption speed.

To the best of our knowledge, there is limited research on the effects of social media marketing campaigns on brand awareness or consumer brand engagement in countries from Eastern Europe/Balkan countries. Therefore, with this study, we aim to fill these research gaps.

2. Literature review and hypotheses development

2.1 Uses and gratifications and consumer brand engagement theories

For the theoretical foundations of this research, we considered the Uses and Gratification Theory (UGT) and the consumer brand engagement theory (CBE). Empirical findings from previous studies that use UGT and CBE allow us to examine how consumers engage with brands' social media content and how their engagement generates marketing outcomes, specifically purchase intent. UGT is one of the most popular theories used to explain consumer desires and the effects of various behavioral intentions. UGT allows an understanding of how individuals actively seek and use certain media channels to satisfy their specific needs ([Dolan et al., 2016](#)), and postulates that individuals are active recipients of media channels, and their behavior of using various media channels is driven by specific goals ([Cheung et al., 2021b](#)). Specific needs refer to obtaining rewards ("gratifications") such as knowledge enhancement, entertainment and relaxation, social interaction, and obtaining rewards or economic benefits ([Ko et al., 2005](#)).

In the context of rapid social media platform development, characterized by high interactivity between companies and users, or users among themselves ([Kujur and Singh, 2020](#)), UGT becomes a valuable framework for marketing researchers. It helps to understand and explain reasons for social media platform use ([Khan, 2017](#); [Sheth and Kim, 2017](#); [Bazi et al., 2020](#)), identify the factors that motivate consumer engagement with brand pages on social platforms ([Oliveira et al., 2016](#); [Dolan et al., 2016](#)), and examine the influence of different types of social media content on online engagement ([Cheung et al., 2021a](#); [Dolan et al., 2016](#); [Buzeta et al., 2020](#)).

In this study, we focus on the relationships between perceptions of SMM activities, which are closely related to the type of posted content, social media use, engagement motivations, consumer brand engagement, brand awareness, and purchase intention. The term perceived social media marketing is used in literature ([Kim and Ko, 2012](#)) and is associated with the benefits/motivations that determine consumers' use of social media platforms.

Following [Buzeta et al. \(2020\)](#), who classified motivation for social media use into categories such as entertainment, integration and social interaction, personal identity, information, remuneration, and empowerment, we investigate perceptions and motivations that relate to consumers' need for information, entertainment, and social interaction. Based on other empirical studies ([Cheung et al., 2020a, b](#); [Kim and Ko, 2012](#)), we considered perception dimensions linked to social interaction. In the survey, we included items that refer to information sharing and opinion exchange ("I prefer using social media to share information about brands with my friends"). We also took trendiness into account as a characteristic of social media. This is why we included questions about how trendy respondents perceive information sharing to be, as well as their interest in learning information or news about the brand on social media. To understand what motivates consumers to use and engage in social media, we also considered the entertainment dimension, "Advertisements through social media draw my attention to brands".

Regarding SMM communication strategy, companies display different content to gratify consumers' needs and deliver benefits, stimulating their engagement in social media (Khan, 2017). Kulikovskaja *et al.* (2023) identify three types of social media content: infotainment content - in other approaches treated as separate constructs; informational and entertainment content (Buzeta *et al.*, 2020; Meire *et al.*, 2019); remunerative content, and relational content. Each type is the counterpart of a motivation for using social media platforms and engaging with brands in social media, as found in UGT-based approaches. For example, infotainment content refers to content that delivers information and/or entertainment to users with new, factual, useful, educational, and/or interesting information (Gavilanes *et al.*, 2018). It can be associated with the motivation of entertainment (escape from routine, relaxation, having fun, playing, etc.), respectively the motivation for information (to be aware of news, news about brands) in the approach of Buzeta *et al.* (2020) based on UGT. On the other hand, social content refers to the degree to which social media content satisfies the need for social interaction and integration of consumers (Dolan *et al.*, 2016). It corresponds to integration and social interaction (creating communities, peer support, sense of belonging, etc.) from the approaches based on UGT.

Theories regarding CBE originate in the relationship marketing literature (Hollebeek *et al.*, 2014). Studies on the impact of social media on consumer engagement with brands have primarily focused on motivations or drivers of CBE in social media (Bazi *et al.*, 2020; Buzeta *et al.*, 2020; Dolan *et al.*, 2016; Muntinga *et al.*, 2011; Cheung *et al.*, 2020a; Khan, 2017; Oliveira *et al.*, 2016) and on antecedents and outcomes of CBE together (Cheung *et al.*, 2019, 2020a; Kulikovskaja *et al.*, 2023). Other authors have focused on the topic of CBE dimensions/sub-dimensions (Cheung *et al.*, 2020a; Dessart *et al.*, 2015, 2016) or the topic of engagement intensity (Muntinga *et al.*, 2011). Dessart *et al.* (2016) break down CBE into cognitive (attention, absorption), affective (enthusiasm, joy), and behavioral (sharing, learning, and endorsing) dimensions, and Muntinga *et al.* (2011) identify three stages of engagement-social media content consumption, content sharing and content creation. For this study, similar to Dessart *et al.* (2016), we considered the following consumer brand engagement dimensions: the cognitive dimension ("I am very focused when I see brands on social media."), the affective dimension ("Brands seen on social media make me feel very positive") and the activation dimension (by including the items "Social media engages me during different brand activities" and "I am in close contact with others who use the same brands as me on social media" in the questionnaire). We posit that CBE antecedents, or the benefits of using social media correspond to certain CBE motivations, and CBE outcomes reflected in the purchase intention.

2.2 Hypotheses development

Buzeta *et al.* (2020), building upon the Uses and Gratifications Theory (UGT), state that online brand-related consumption (e.g., viewing a brand-related video, reading product reviews) can benefit brand awareness. Key assumptions of UGT are that consumers are goal-directed in their media selection behavior and actively interpret and integrate media messages, including advertisements (Phua *et al.*, 2017). Brand awareness reflects the consumer's ability to recognize and recall a brand in different situations (Aaker, 1996). On social media platforms, brand awareness can be achieved through various means and activities that connect the consumer to the brand, such as advertising, sales promotion, public relations, etc. Regarding social media, Barreda *et al.* (2015) demonstrated that virtual interactivity, system quality, information content quality, and rewarding activities contribute to brand awareness. A study by Cheung *et al.* in 2021c, which observed the impact of social media marketing (SMM) activities on Chinese consumers' online brand-related activities on the WeChat platform, revealed that entertaining and interactive SMM

efforts stimulate consumer awareness. Games, contests, two-way communication, and enjoyable consumer experiences are likely to prompt users to read about brand content and visit a brand page.

In today's landscape, consumers often discover brands through endorsement messages from social media influencers. These posts are highly valued as they provide detailed information, reasons for purchase, and information about trending products from brands (Cheung *et al.*, 2022a). According to Cheung *et al.* (2022b), reading information, watching videos, or viewing pictures about brands from social media influencer posts is primarily a result of their entertaining and interactive value. Features like Q&As, hashtags, tags, votes, and fun content are expected to enhance consumer attention. The idea of encouraging brands to provide consumers with interesting and entertaining information, such as video content and photos, and sharing amusing stories on platforms like Facebook and Instagram to increase brand recall and recognition is also supported by Ismail (2017).

In a cross-country study on the influences of social media marketing campaigns on brand awareness and brand image, Godey *et al.* (2016) found significant relationships, but with varying intensity from one country to another. In France, social media marketing activities had a more pronounced influence on awareness than on image, in Italy, they obtained an equal score, while in China and India, the influence was higher on brand image than on brand awareness.

Social media marketing stimulates consumers to subscribe to brand pages (Cheung *et al.*, 2019), where they interact with the respective brands, and consequently, their ability to remember these brands is stronger. In other words, they develop brand awareness. Based on the above, we formulate the following hypothesis:

- H1. Perceived social media marketing (social interaction, trendiness/informational, entertainment) positively influences brand awareness.

Consumer brand engagement (CBE) is defined as the "consumers' positively valenced brand-related cognitive, emotional and behavioral activity during or related to focal consumer/brand interactions" (Hollebeek *et al.*, 2014, p. 154). CBE is linked to consumers' brand-related thought processing, effect, and consumers' energy, effort, and time spent on a brand (Hollebeek *et al.*, 2014). In a quantitative research, Cheung *et al.* (2020a) concluded that effective social media marketing strategies lead to increased CBE. Trendy topics and updates on social media pages motivate consumers to spend more cognitive effort to understand the focal brand better. The authors suggest that interactive social media content such as live streaming, chat rooms, and rewards for active consumers that generate feedback also foster consumer engagement with the brand.

We find in the aforementioned the informational and remunerative content referred to by numerous authors who based their studies on CBE and UGT motivations (Buzeta *et al.*, 2020; Dolan *et al.*, 2016; Gavilanes *et al.*, 2018; Meire *et al.*, 2019) or to perceived social media marketing elements (Cheung *et al.*, 2020a). Osei-Frimpong and McLean (2018) validate a positive relationship between social presence and social brand engagement. Group membership, strong relationships, or the value of information are responsible for social brand engagement. As social media communication "is interactive, participatory, collaborative, personal and shared at the same time" (Tsai and Men, 2017, p. 3), companies can obtain consumer engagement and build meaningful relationships (Osei-Frimpong and McLean, 2018), which again refers to recent research based on UGT (Buzeta *et al.*, 2020; Kulikovskaja *et al.*, 2023).

In a study regarding the relationship between social media influencers and consumer brand engagement, Cheung *et al.* (2022a, b) found that among social media consumers in Malaysia, social interaction, reward, and entertainment are key motivational factors that drive consumer-influencer engagement behaviors, which, in turn, foster consumer

engagement with endorsed brands. Interactive posts that facilitate brand experience sharing and the inclusion of various social media technologies, like voting, question-answer posts, and challenges, facilitate consumer participation, thereby driving consumers' intention to engage (Wirtz *et al.*, 2019; Cheung *et al.*, 2022a, b). Based on the above, we formulated the following hypothesis:

H2. Perceived social media marketing (social interaction, trendiness/informational, entertainment) positively influences on social media brand engagement.

Social media positively impacts at every stage of the decision-making process (Ranawi *et al.*, 2019): need recognition, information search, alternatives evaluation, purchase intention, purchase, and loyalty. From their cognitive need, consumers embark on information-seeking endeavors before crystallizing their purchase intentions, and the need leads, based on UGT (Ranawi *et al.*, 2019), to the motivation to acquire information about the product they want to buy. According to UGT, one of the main motivations for using social media platforms is information/information seeking, alongside various other motivations, including entertainment, social interaction, personal identity, remuneration, and empowerment (Muntinga *et al.*, 2011; Buzeta *et al.*, 2020). In our research, as we have pointed out, the SMM construct comprises items that refer to the motivations or perceived benefits of using social media platforms from the perspective of UGT.

Purchase intention refers to the probability that consumers will plan or be inclined to buy a product or service in the future (Wu *et al.*, 2011), and Choedon and Lee (2020) link it to the user's probability and willingness to buy a product that he was recommended while using social media platforms. Based on the literature review, Dabbous and Baraket (2020) show that in a social media context, consumers who are satisfied with positive brand interactions might want to purchase the brand.

Social media marketing activities facilitate the quick and viral delivery of offers that grab the attention of consumers, generating increased purchase intention (Baird and Parasnis, 2011). Pjero and Kercini (2015), in their study regarding social media and its influence on consumer behavior, carried out in Albania, show that information about products and services offered in the virtual world can positively impact purchase intentions. Moreover, Binwani and Ho (2019) suggest that purchase intention is driven by social media suggestions, a conclusion also validated in the study of Hajli (2015), as social commerce constructs have a positive effect on the user's intention to buy. Given that social media facilitates the social interaction of consumers, it leads to increased trust and the intention to buy (Hajli, 2014). The purchase intention is considered one of the outcomes of social media marketing activities (Yadav and Rahman, 2017; Khan, 2022), that takes into account the evaluation consumers make regarding products/brands. Moreover, the brand's participation in social media can lead to the development of relationships with consumers, which may positively impact on the purchase intention towards the brand (Dehghani and Tumer, 2015). By joining a brand group on Facebook, consumers receive information from other users that could influence their brand perceptions and purchase intentions (Zhao *et al.*, 2008). Based on the above, we formulated the following hypothesis:

H3. Perceived social media marketing activities have a positive influence on purchase intention.

The relationship between consumer engagement and brand usage intent has been studied more than the relationship between other variables specific to brands (Vander Schee *et al.*, 2020). Hollebeek *et al.* (2014) demonstrated that brand usage intent is an outcome of consumer-brand engagement in a LinkedIn user context. Fang (2017) found that consumer engagement is positively related to brand repurchase intention in the context of branded apps, while Harrigan *et al.* (2018) also showed a significant relationship between consumer-

brand engagement and brand usage intent in the context of tourism brands on social media. [Husnain and Toor \(2017\)](#) found in their quantitative study, which was applied to a sample of 300 social media users in Pakistan that consumer engagement is in a positive relationship with their purchase intention. [Hanaysha \(2021\)](#) also confirmed that brand engagement has positively effects purchase intention, validating the relationship after questioning a sample of 258 fast-food restaurant consumers from the United Arab Emirates.

[Floh et al. \(2013\)](#) suggest that a high number of online reviews can lead to online purchase intention, information backed by the research of [Yagci and Sanchoy Das \(2018\)](#), according to which the online reviews that offer a large quantity of information based on experiences with the products can influence their purchase decision. [Tran \(2020\)](#) argues, starting from the UGT theory, that online reviews, such as comments or pictures, have directly and positively influence consumer purchase intention.

H4. Consumer brand engagement has a positive influence on purchase intention.

The research carried out up to this point regarding the relationship between brand awareness and purchase intention is relatively vague, especially regarding the relationship between the two variables in the online environment. So far, [Dabbous and Barakat \(2020\)](#) have validated the positive influence of creating brand awareness in social networks on purchase intentions in offline stores. In their study, carried out on a sample of 392 Facebook Millennial users, the authors also found that brand awareness mediates the positive relationship between content quality, brand interactivity in the social network and offline purchase intention. In another study, [Chen et al. \(2021\)](#) used a sample of 2276 Chinese users to test the influence of WeChat platform usage on the purchase intentions regarding South Korean brands. Based on their empirical results, the authors confirm that social media content marketing activities promote brand recognition and enhance consumers' purchase intention. For [Kapferer \(2008\)](#), brand awareness is the most important condition and essential step in any search for a brand, directly affecting the purchase decision, and, according to [Evans et al. \(2021\)](#), companies using social media platforms can promote their brands and create awareness that leads to the buying behavior.

Starting from the theory that emphasizes that a successful brand is a brand with a high score for awareness, the perceived awareness impacts the evaluation of the brand and leads to an increase in its purchase intention. Based on the above, we formulated the following hypothesis:

H5. Brand Awareness has a positive influence on purchase intention.

Therefore, based on the above, we conceptualize the following framework of the study in [Figure 1](#).




Figure 1.
Research model

Source(s): Authors' illustration

3. Methodology

3.1 Sample and data collection

The research utilized a structured questionnaire to investigate the effects of social media marketing (SMM) on brand awareness, brand engagement, and purchase intention. A total of 1808 social media users' responses were collected in North Macedonia, Albania, Kosovo, Romania, and Ukraine. The sample was selected using a mixed nonprobability method, convenience sampling, and snowballing method. The first respondents were randomly chosen, students, alumni, or marketing professionals, after which the selection of the sample was expended by using snowballing method. 19.9% of the respondents were from North Macedonia, 18.9% from Kosovo, 17.4% from Albania, 25.3% from Romania, and 18.5% from Ukraine. The complete profiles of the respondents are detailed in Table 1. The questionnaire was translated from English into the mother tongue of each country included in the sample and was administered online via the Google Forms platform, from June to September 2020.

North Macedonia, Albania, Kosovo, Romania, and Ukraine, located in Southeastern Europe, share geographical proximity, intertwined histories, and economies, which results in similarities in consumer behavior, cultural norms, and social media usage. These similarities improve data comparability and validate the findings. Cultural similarities arising from historical, linguistic, and geographical ties can lead to shared consumer preferences and

		Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	605	33.5
	Female	1,203	66.5
	Total	1808	100.0
Age	Under 20	603	33.4
	21–30	810	44.8
	31–40	220	12.2
	41–50	131	7.2
	More than 51	44	2.4
	Total	1808	100.0
SOC_EXP	1–2 years	37	2.0
	3–4 years	197	10.9
	5–6 years	467	25.8
	More than 7 years	1,107	61.2
	Total	1808	100.0
Country	North Macedonia	360	19.9
	Kosovo	341	18.9
	Albania	315	17.4
	Romania	458	25.3
	Ukraine	334	18.5
	Total	1808	100
Occupation	College student (part time)	861	47.6
	Working full-time	608	33.6
	Working part-time and going to college	236	13.1
	Working part-time only	103	5.7
	Total	1808	100.0
Income	Up to 250 EU	669	37.0
	251–400 EU	437	24.2
	401–600 EU	316	17.5
	More than 601EU	386	21.3
	Total	1808	100.0

Source(s): Authors' illustration

Table 1.
Demographic profile of
respondents

behaviors. Additionally, the role of social media in brand promotion and consumer engagement in these countries may differ from that in developed nations due to variations in technology penetration, consumer behavior, and economic conditions.

3.2 Measurement scale

This research utilized a structured questionnaire to investigate the impact of SMM on brand awareness, consumer brand engagement, and purchase intentions, with country added to the model as a moderator variable to test its effect on the relationships between independent and dependent variables. The measurement scales for SMM, brand awareness, and consumer brand engagement were adapted from [Emini and Zeqiri \(2021\)](#) and for purchase intention from [Muça and Zeqiri \(2020\)](#) (Table 2). Respondents had to rate statements using 5 Likert-point scales.

4. Results

We employed IBM Amos 26 and SPSS 20 to analyze the proposed research model. Further, we used structural equation modeling (SEM) to test observant and latent variables. We used a

Items	Standardized loadings	Alpha	AVE
SMM7 - It is interesting to share information on social media about brands	0.731		
SMM6 - Social media provides me with the brand information I look for	0.682		
SMM5 - I prefer using social media to share information about brands with my friends	0.689		
SMM4 - Advertisements through social media draw my attention to brands	0.678		
SMM3 - Sharing information using social media is trendy	0.585		
SMM2 - Social media marketing provides opportunities for sharing information about brands	0.456		
SMM1 - I prefer sharing content about brands that I like using social media	0.602	0.825	0.474
BA1 - Social media increases my brand awareness and makes me aware of brands	0.671		
BA2 - Social media provides me with more information regarding the characteristics of brands	0.751		
BA3 - Through social media, I can easily remember brands	0.736		
BA4 - I can easily recognize brands through social media	0.773		
BA5 - I can easily distinguish different brands through social media	0.672	0.841	0.521
PI1 - My intention is to continue buying brands that I see on social media	0.746		
PI2 - I recommend brands that I prefer to others using social media	0.732		
PI3 - I intend to purchase brands that I prefer based on discussions on social media	0.713		
PI4 - My intention is to stay loyal to this brand in the future	0.344	0.721	0.573
BE4 - I am very focused when I see brands on social media	0.782		
BE3 - Brands seen on social media make me feel very positive	0.734		
BE2 - Social media engages me during different brand activities	0.735		
BE1 - I am in close contact with others who use the same brands as me on social media	0.689	0.825	0.541

Table 2.
Convergent validity

Source(s): Authors' calculations using IBM AMOS 26
Authors' illustration

two-step strategy for assessing the structural equation modeling. To analyze the appropriateness of the measurement model, a confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was used and in the second step, structural equation modeling (SEM) was assessed. A bootstrapping method was used to test the significance of the path coefficients and the factor loadings (Hair *et al.*, 2016).

4.1 Measurement model, reliability, and validity

A confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was carried out to evaluate the goodness-of-fit indices and proceed with testing to check whether the following indices fall within threshold indices. Thus, for proceeding with another further test, the following: chi-square/df ratio, comparative fit index (CFI), goodness-of-fit index (GFI), adjusted goodness-of-fit index (AGFI), normed fit index (NFI), Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) were checked. Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability were used to calculate the scale reliability of the four constructs. The proposed threshold of 0.70 or greater reliability coefficient indicates good reliability (Hair *et al.*, 2016).

This study used SEM to analyze the proposed research model and the model fit. Further, SEM was used to test the hypothesis and validate the conceptual model. To assess the model fit, the SEM evaluates R², β , and t-values (Zeqiri *et al.*, 2020) were used. Therefore, the SEM technique is used to evaluate the measurement model and to estimate the structural model. The obtained results from CFA showed that chi-square/df was 8.423, indicating a satisfactory fit; a ratio of five or less is a good fit (Wheaton *et al.*, 1977), CFI (0.924), GFI (0.927), AGFI (0.907), NFI (0.914), TLI (0.912), and RMSEA (0.064) all denote an adequate fit of the model. To test the normality of the data, we performed the normality test using the skewness and kurtosis approach. The data are seen to be normal when skewness and kurtosis are aligned with the proposed normality thresholds. If the data range from -2 to $+2$ for skewness, and -7 to $+7$ for kurtosis, then the data are within the corresponding limits (Byrne, 2010). As Table 3 shows, all the data fall between the threshold, thus indicating a good normality fit.

		Mean	Std. Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis
SMM	SMM1	3.3009	1.11941	-0.318	-0.521
	SMM2	4.2500	0.81321	-1.284	2.357
	SMM3	4.0702	0.87645	-0.951	1.027
	SMM4	3.8496	0.99947	-0.927	0.664
	SMM5	3.4425	1.09686	-0.423	-0.462
	SMM6	3.7163	0.99791	-0.670	0.032
	SMM7	3.6543	0.98717	-0.692	0.235
BA	BA1	3.8761	0.92922	-0.854	0.688
	BA2	3.7671	0.99277	-0.741	0.173
	BA3	3.7959	0.96010	-0.710	0.155
	BA4	3.9452	0.84805	-0.839	0.975
	BA5	3.6676	0.95766	-0.612	0.084
CBE	BE1	2.8899	1.11266	0.085	-0.642
	BE2	2.9004	1.10642	-0.021	-0.737
	BE3	3.4463	0.95058	-0.448	0.143
	BE4	2.9773	1.09536	-0.084	-0.618
PI	PI1	3.1742	1.09183	-0.319	-0.526
	PI2	3.3822	1.07980	-0.447	-0.442
	PI3	3.2760	1.07682	-0.307	-0.576
	PI4	3.3490	1.01204	-0.310	-0.280

Source(s): Authors' illustration

Table 3.
Assessment of data
quality

MIP
43,1

Validity tests were conducted in two stages to check if the items describe the context of the construct. Firstly, we carried convergent validity followed by a discriminant validity test (Hair *et al.*, 2016).

38

4.2 Convergent validity

Convergent validity examines whether an item of a construct is similar to another item in the construct compared to their correlation within the same construct (Becker *et al.*, 2013). Convergent validity is usually tested by assessing the loadings, average variance extracted (AVE), and composite reliability (Zeqiri *et al.*, 2020).

Cronbach's alphas are 0.825 for social media marketing, 0.841 for brand awareness, 0.825 for brand engagement, and 0.721 for purchase intention. The results show that all four constructs had reliability coefficients greater than the proposed threshold of 0.70. We can conclude that if the factor loading exceeds the 0.7 threshold and the average variance extracted (AVE) exceeds 0.5, convergent validity is reached (Fornell and Larcker, 1981).

4.3 Discriminant validity

Discriminant validity examines how items differ statistically from other item constructs (Franke and Sarstedt, 2019). As can be seen in Table 4, the AVE is greater than 0.5 for all constructs except Social MM. Therefore, to achieve discriminant and convergent validity, the square root of the AVE should be greater than the correlations among the constructs (see Table 4).

4.4 Structural model estimation

As shown in Figure 2, for the proposed model, all paths were significant at 0.05 levels. It is estimated that the predictors of purchase intention explain 81.3% of its variance, consumer brand engagement is explained by 66.3% of its variance, and brand awareness is explained by 66.6% of its variance by the model.


Furthermore, the results of the model supported all the hypotheses, and the values of the path analysis are significant. For example, the path analysis of social media marketing shows 0.173, 0.468, and 0.508, brand awareness shows 0.128, and consumer brand engagement shows 0.385.

Table 5 displays the results of the path coefficients of the constructs. According to the table, the direct relationships of brand awareness, consumer brand engagement, and social media marketing are statistically significant. The findings indicate a significant ($p < 0.001$) and positive relationship between social media marketing and brand awareness, supporting H1. Specifically, when social media marketing increases by 1, brand awareness goes up by 0.508. Social media marketing also impacts consumer brand engagement; when social media marketing increases by 1, consumer brand engagement goes up by 0.468 units, supporting H2. The results also support H3, as social media marketing has an impact on purchase

Construct	SMM	BA	CBE	PI
Social media marketing (SMM)	0.689			
Brand awareness (BA)	0.400	0.722		
Consumer brand engagement (CBE)	0.398	0.328	0.757	
Purchase intention (PI)	0.411	0.276	0.447	0.736

Table 4.
Discriminant validity

Note(s): Squared correlations; AVE in the diagonal
Source(s): Authors' illustration



Source(s): Authors' illustration

Figure 2.
SEM model

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
H1	Brand awareness	← SMM	0.508	0.013	38.345	***	Supported
H2	Brand engagement	← SMM	0.468	0.013	36.802	***	Supported
H3	Purchase intention	← SMM	0.173	0.016	10.532	***	Supported
H4	Purchase intention	← CBE	0.385	0.019	20.287	***	Supported
H5	Purchase intention	← BA	0.128	0.018	7.028	***	Supported

Source(s): Authors' calculations using IBM AMOS 26
Authors' illustrationTable 5.
Results of hypothesis
testing

intention, with a path coefficient of 0.173 and $p < 0.001$. Specifically, when social media marketing increases by 1, purchase intention goes up by 0.326. Furthermore, brand engagement is also significant ($p < 0.001$); a one-unit increase in consumer brand engagement results in a 0.385 increase in purchase intention, supporting H4. Table 5 also indicates a significant ($p < 0.001$) relationship between brand awareness and purchase intention. When brand awareness increases by 1, purchase intention increases by 0.128, supporting H5.

4.5 Multi-group analysis (MGA) and moderating effect of country

A multi-group analysis (MGA) was used to explore the differences between countries in the study. The results were based on critical ratios that showed the t-values and p-values of groups concerning the dimensions used in the model.

To find out whether the country dimension moderates the relationship between exogenous and endogenous variables, we carried out an analysis for the second model

MIP
43,1

40

(Figure 3). First, we had to check the significant relationship between country and purchase intention. Then we came up with a product variable by computing independent variables with country variables. Table 6 shows that the country only moderates the relationship between consumer brand engagement and purchase intention, with a critical ratio of 3.050 and p-value 0.002.

Table 6 also reveals that only CBE is moderated by the country on the relationship with purchase intention. Thus, the country moderates the relationship between CBE and purchase intention. The second step is to check critical ratios among groups. If critical ratios are above the recommended threshold of ± 1.96 , then we can assume that there are differences between country groups. Table 6 shows that consumer brand engagement critical ratios are higher than the recommended threshold, thus supporting the H8. Therefore, we can conclude that the country moderates the relationship between brand engagement and purchase intention.

Figure 4 shows the moderation effect of the country on the relationship between CBE and purchase intention. The graph shows that the more participants from different countries in the study, the more differences are in their perceptions concerning consumer brand engagement with purchase intention. Therefore, we can assume that consumer brand engagement increases purchase intentions in some countries.

Figure 3.
Moderating effect of
country

Source(s): Authors' illustration

		Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	p-value	Label
Purchase intention	← Social media marketing_X_Country	0.015	0.018	0.832	0.405	Not moderating
Purchase intention	← Brand awareness_X_Country	0.000	0.017	-0.012	0.990	Not moderating
Purchase intention	← Consumer brand engagement_X_Country	0.049	0.016	3.050	0.002	Moderating
Purchase intention	← Country	0.047	0.011	4.164	***	Moderating


Table 6.
Indirect effects
(moderating effects)

Source(s): Authors' illustration

Table 7 shows the country path loading to check the differences in social media marketing, brand awareness, and consumer brand engagement between these five countries.

Henseler *et al.* (2015) recommend that differences occur between groups if the *t*-value is higher than 1.96 and the *p*-value is lower than 0.05. Results from Table 7 show that Social_MMM (SMM) has a significant (*p*-value <0.000) impact on purchase intention (PI) in North Macedonia, Kosovo, Albania, Romania, and Ukraine. Regarding consumers' perception in Albania, results revealed that brand awareness (BA) did not impact purchase intention. In contrast, the other two dimensions (SMM and CBE) impacted PI. To find out if there were significant differences between countries in their perceptions regarding the impact of SMM, CBE, and BA on PI, we used the country as a moderating factor to explore the significant differences between countries. Table 8 shows critical ratios between countries concerning SMM, CBE, and BA dimensions. Table 8 also showed differences and similarities concerning dimensions within countries in the study. Results revealed that Romanian consumers differ greatly in their perception concerning the impact of CBE on purchase intention compared to the three Balkan countries, with critical ratios of 2.095 (with Mac), 3.238 (with Kos), and 1.979 (with Alb). The results in Ukraine also revealed the differences in the impact of CBE on purchase intention compared to the three Balkan countries, with critical ratios of 1.968 (with Mac), 2.925 (with Kos), and 1.851 (with Alb).

Romania and Ukraine scored higher in their path coefficient loadings compared to three Balkan countries concerning the impact of consumer brand engagement on purchase



Source(s): Authors' illustration

Figure 4.
Country moderating
effect of consumer
brand engagement
(CBE) on purchase
intention

Country	Estimates and <i>p</i> -values	Purchase intention ← SMM	Purchase intention ← CBE	Purchase intention ← BA
N. Macedonia	Estimate <i>p</i> -value	0.202 ***	0.354 ***	0.104 0.025
Kosovo	Estimate <i>p</i> -value	0.131 ***	0.289 ***	0.146 ***
Albania	Estimate <i>p</i> -value	0.116 ***	0.367 ***	0.055 0.164
Romania	Estimate <i>p</i> -value	0.149 ***	0.474 ***	0.162 ***
Ukraine	Estimate <i>p</i> -value	0.190 ***	0.490 ***	0.027 0.602

Source(s): Authors' illustration

Table 7.
Comparison of the path
coefficients results
between countries

Table 8.
Critical ratios for
differences between
country parameters

	Mac2	Mac3	Mac1	Kos2	Kos3	Kos1	Alb2	Alb3
Mac2	0							
Mac3	2.32	0						
Mac1	1.414	-3.39	0					
Kos2	1.387	3.916	0.463	0				
Kos3	1.537	-1.05	2.907	2.337	0			
Kos1	1.062	3.543	0.685	0.221	2.203	0		
Alb2	1.781	4.371	0.212	0.313	3.185	0.582	0	
Alb3	3.073	0.215	4.306	4.378	1.317	3.961	4.137	0
Alb1	2.769	5.089	0.812	1.431	3.992	1.638	1.026	4.859
Rom2	1.165	3.925	0.817	0.374	2.691	0.06	0.752	4.457
Rom3	5.289	2.095	6.256	6.638	3.238	6.109	7.318	1.979
Rom1	0.791	3.408	1	0.613	2.258	0.315	0.966	3.849
Ukr2	0.253	2.835	1.409	1.089	1.723	0.786	1.449	-3.22
Ukr3	4.511	1.968	5.502	5.612	2.925	5.244	6.059	1.851
Ukr1	0.818	4.878	1.125	1.674	3.916	1.853	1.483	5.272

Note(s): Values in italics denote significant differences between countries**Source(s):** Authors' illustration

intention, with 0.474 and 0.490, respectively, whereas North Macedonia, Kosovo, and Albania scored lower, with 0.354, 0.289, and 0.367, respectively.

Table 8 also revealed that the three Balkan countries had no significant differences based on lower critical ratios, less than the recommended thresholds of $-1.96/+1.96$. Thus, the results confirmed that there were no significant differences between North Macedonia, Kosovo, and Albania concerning the impact of SMM, CBE, and BA on PI.

5. Discussion and conclusions

5.1 Discussion

This study aimed to examine the impact of social media marketing on brand awareness, brand engagement, and purchase intention in emerging economies, specifically in North Macedonia, Albania, Kosovo, Romania, and Ukraine. Perceived social media marketing was found to have a positive relationship with brand awareness. Our findings support previous studies (Alalwan *et al.*, 2017; Barreda *et al.*, 2015; Dabbous and Barakat, 2020; Godey *et al.*, 2016; Hutter *et al.*, 2013).

In addition, social media platforms enable companies to communicate with consumers to boost their level of consumer brand engagement (Tsai and Men, 2017), which in turn leads to increased satisfaction, loyalty, trust, and word of mouth (Brodie *et al.*, 2013). The results also supported the hypothesis that perceived social media marketing impacts consumer brand engagement (CBE). These findings are aligned with previous studies by Hollebeek (2011), Bazi *et al.* (2020), Godey *et al.* (2016), Rasool *et al.* (2020) and Lee and Hsieh (2022).

Our results are consistent with other studies based on UGT and CBE theories, emphasizing the influence of perceived social media benefits and social media platform use motivations on consumer brand engagement. They also highlight the impact of consumer brand engagement and perceived social media marketing on brand outcomes, such as brand awareness and purchase intention.

Following other studies (Dabbous and Barakat, 2020; Pjero and Kercini, 2015; Choedon and Lee, 2020; Yadav and Rahman, 2017; Khan, 2022), our results also support the hypothesis that social media marketing impacts purchase intention. Social media marketing activities facilitate the quick and viral delivery of offers that grab consumers' attention, generating increased purchase intention (Baird and Parasnis, 2011).

Our research findings uncovered some interesting facts about the multi-group analysis of different countries' perceptions of social media marketing, brand awareness, and consumer brand engagement on purchase intention. Our empirical results show that the country does not moderate the relationship between brand awareness and SMM on purchase intention, but it does moderate the relationship between consumer brand engagement and purchase intention. Furthermore, the results indicate that Romanian consumers have significantly different perceptions of the impact of CBE on purchase intention compared to consumers in three Balkan countries. The critical ratios are 2.095 (with Macedonia), 3.238 (with Kosovo), and 1.979 (with Albania). Similarly, the results from Ukraine also revealed the differences in the impact of consumer brand engagement (CBE) on purchase intention compared to the three Balkan countries, with critical ratios of 1.968 (with Mac), 2.925 (with Kos), and 1.851 (with Alb).

Romania and Ukraine scored higher in their path coefficient loadings compared to three Balkan countries concerning the impact of consumer brand engagement on purchase intention, with 0.474 and 0.490, respectively, whereas North Macedonia, Kosovo, and Albania scored lower, with 0.354, 0.289, and 0.367, respectively.

5.2 Theoretical implication

To the best of our knowledge, our research is the first study to use a multi-group analysis to explore the differences between some emerging countries regarding SMM, brand awareness, consumer brand engagement, and purchase intention. This uniqueness enriches the literature on SMM in emerging markets.

First, the study reveals that the use of social media platforms in emerging countries bears many resemblances to their usage in developed counterparts, resulting in comparable outcomes concerning the interaction between brands and consumers. The findings align closely with existing literature, suggesting minimal disparities between social media marketing strategies in emerging versus developed countries. We attribute this to economic globalization and the accelerated dissemination of digital technologies, which hasten communication between companies and between companies and consumers.

Second, this research extends the understanding that besides the many similarities these emerging countries embrace, there are also differences. Findings indicate that consumer brand engagement is more contextual, and there are differences in the adoption process of social media in these countries. In this line the findings provide evidence that the adoption process of social media marketing, and geodemographics' context play an impactful role in the consumer journey. Based on the results, emerging countries from the western Balkans (North Macedonia, Albania, and Kosovo) had lower engagement scores than the other clusters of emerging countries (Romania and Ukraine).

Notably, the empirical evidence reveals the importance of consumer brand engagement as a key driver for consumer purchase intention, followed by brand awareness. These results are consistent with the results of previous studies (Hollebeek *et al.*, 2014).

5.3 Practical implications

This research provides several managerial implications that can be considered in online branding campaigns. Our study suggests that SMM campaigns generate and develop brand awareness, including brand recognition and recall. We show that SM campaigns can generate and develop user engagement with brands and that user engagement positively influences purchase intention. Our study also revealed differences in the moderating influence of the country on the relationship between social media consumer brand engagement and purchase intention.

The differences highlighted by the averages obtained for each of the SMM dimensions (information, entertainment, social interaction, personal identity, etc.) for each country may have implications for the choice of content marketing as a marketing strategy used to attract, retain, and engage with the audiences through the creation and sharing of relevant articles, videos, podcasts, and specific content.

Since Romanian consumers use SM to create their self-identity and display their tastes ($M = 3.63$; $SD = 1.31$) more than the average of consumers from the five countries ($M = 3.30$; $SD = 1.11$), they could feel attracted to personalized messages, collections, personal dialogue, and a customized interface more than consumers from the other analyzed countries. Albanian consumers could be attracted by games, branded videos, and contests, as they place more importance on entertainment-based content ($M = 3.96$; $SD = 0.71$) than the 5-country group average ($M_{\text{group}} = 3.65$; $SD = 0.98$). Consumers in Kosovo ($M = 3.59$; $SD = 0.94$) use SM for social interaction above the group average ($M = 3.44$; $SD = 1.09$), so they might be drawn to interactive content, social interaction opportunities, reviews, Website registration options, customer clubs integration, and participation in community forums. Kosovar and Romanian consumers can be attracted and retained on social media platforms by informational content (news, product/collection presentations, tutorials, webinars, press releases), as consumers from Kosovo ($M = 3.88$; $SD = 0.88$) and Romania ($M = 3.83$; $SD = 1.11$) perceive SM as information channels to a greater extent than consumers from the other three countries ($M_{\text{group}} = 3.71$; $SD = 0.99$).

Keeping up with trends is a more important benefit for consumers in Kosovo ($M = 4.38$, $SD = 0.63$) and Albania ($M = 4.28$; $SD = 0.74$), so these consumers could be enticed by campaigns that emphasize conforming to fashion trends and staying updated with the latest styles, which may include elements such as celebrity endorsements and trend reports.

5.4 Limitations and future research

This study used samples only from some emerging countries; hence, it cannot be generalized in all contexts. Future research can focus on carrying out a cross-national study using a multi-group analysis to explore and compare the role of social media marketing both in some emerging economies and in more developed economies. Performing this approach would provide firms with more insights into similarities and differences regarding the role of social media marketing on consumer engagement in different countries.

References

- Aaker, D.A. (1996), "Measuring brand equity across products and markets", *California Management Review*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 102-120, doi: [10.2307/41165845](https://doi.org/10.2307/41165845).
- Alalwan, A., Rana, N.P., Dwivedi, Y.K. and Algharabat, R. (2017), "Social media in marketing: a review and analysis of the existing literature", *Telematics and Informatics*, Vol. 34 No. 7, pp. 1177-1190, doi: [10.1016/j.tele.2017.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.05.008).
- Baird, C.H. and Parasnis, G. (2011), "From social media to social customer relationship management", *Strategy and Leadership*, Vol. 39 No. 5, pp. 30-37, doi: [10.1108/10878571111161507](https://doi.org/10.1108/10878571111161507).
- Barreda, A.A., Bilgihan, A., Nusair, K. and Okumus, F. (2015), "Generating brand awareness in online social networks", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 50, pp. 600-609, doi: [10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.023).
- Bazi, S., Filieri, R. and Gorton, M. (2020), "Customers' motivation to engage with luxury brands on social media", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 112, pp. 223-235, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.02.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.02.032).
- Becker, J.-M., Rai, A., Ringle, C.M. and Völckner, F. (2013), "Discovering unobserved heterogeneity in structural equation models to avert validity threats", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 37 No. 3, pp. 665-694, doi: [10.25300/misq/2013/37.3.01](https://doi.org/10.25300/misq/2013/37.3.01), available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43825995>

- Beckers, S.F.M., van Doorn, J. and Verhoef, P.C. (2018), "Good, better, engaged? The effect of company-initiated customer engagement behavior on shareholder value", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 46 No. 3, pp. 366-383, doi: [10.1007/s11747-017-0539-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0539-4).
- Binwani, K.J. and Ho, J.S.Y. (2019), "Effects of social media on cosmetic brands", *Journal of Marketing Advances and Practices*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 1-10, 119-136.
- Brodie, R.J., Ilic, A., Juric, B. and Hollebeek, L. (2013), "Consumer engagement in a virtual brand community: an exploratory analysis", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 66 No. 1, pp. 105-114, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.07.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.07.029).
- Buzeta, C., De Pelsmacker, P. and Dens, N. (2020), "Motivations to use different social media types and their impact on consumers' online brand-related activities (COBRAs)", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 52 No. 1, pp. 79-98, doi: [10.1016/j.intmar.2020.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2020.04.004).
- Byrne, B.M. (2010), *Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming*, Taylor & Francis Group, New York.
- Chen, X., Shen, X., Huang, X. and Li, Y. (2021), "Research on social media content marketing: an empirical analysis based on China's 10 metropolis for Korean brands", *SAGE Open*, Vol. 11 No. 4, 215824402110529, doi: [10.1177/21582440211052951](https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211052951).
- Cheung, M.L., Pires, G.D. and Rosenberger III, P.J. (2019), "Developing a conceptual model for examining social media marketing effects on brand awareness and brand image", *International Journal of Economics and Business Research*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 243-261, doi: [10.1504/IJEBR.2019.098874](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEBR.2019.098874).
- Cheung, M.L., Pires, G. and Rosenberger, P.J. (2020a), "The influence of perceived social media marketing elements on consumer-brand engagement and brand knowledge", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 695-720, doi: [10.1108/APJML-04-2019-0262](https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-04-2019-0262).
- Cheung, M.L., Pires, G.D., Rosenberger, P.J. and De Oliveira, M.J. (2020b), "Driving consumer-brand engagement and co-creation by brand interactivity", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 38 No. 4, pp. 523-541, doi: [10.1108/MIP-12-2018-0587](https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-12-2018-0587).
- Cheung, M.L., Pires, G.D. and Rosenberger, P.J. (2021a), "Exploring consumer-brand engagement: a holistic framework", *European Business Review*, Vol. 33 No. 1, doi: [10.1108/ebv-10-2019-0256](https://doi.org/10.1108/ebv-10-2019-0256).
- Cheung, M.L., Pires, G.D., Rosenberger, P.J. and De Oliveira, M.J. (2021b), "Driving COBRAs: the power of social media marketing", *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 39 No. 3, pp. 361-376, doi: [10.1108/mip-11-2019-0583](https://doi.org/10.1108/mip-11-2019-0583).
- Cheung, M.L., Pires, G.D., Rosenberger III, P.J., Leung, W.K. and Chang, M.K. (2021c), "The role of social media elements in driving co-creation and engagement", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, Vol. 33 No. 10, pp. 1994-2018, doi: [10.1108/apjml-03-2020-0176](https://doi.org/10.1108/apjml-03-2020-0176).
- Cheung, M.L., Leung, W.K., Aw, E.C.X. and Koay, K.Y. (2022a), "I follow what you post!: the role of social media influencers' content characteristics in consumers' online brand-related activities (COBRAs)", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 66, 102940, doi: [10.1016/j.jretconser.2022.102940](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2022.102940).
- Cheung, M.L., Leung, W.K.S., Yang, M.X., Koay, K.Y. and Chang, M.K. (2022b), "Exploring the nexus of social media influencers and consumer brand engagement", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, Vol. 34 No. 10, pp. 2370-2385, doi: [10.1108/APJML-07-2021-0522](https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-07-2021-0522).
- Choedon, T. and Lee, Y.C. (2020), "The effect of social media marketing activities on purchase intention with brand equity and social brand engagement: empirical evidence from Korean cosmetic firms", *Knowledge Management Research*, Vol. 21 No. 3, pp. 141-160, doi: [10.15813/kmr.2020.21.3.008](https://doi.org/10.15813/kmr.2020.21.3.008).
- Dabbous, A. and Barakat, K.A. (2020), "Bridging the online offline gap: assessing the impact of brands' social network content quality on brand awareness and purchase intention", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 53, 101966, doi: [10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101966](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101966).
- Dehghani, M. and Tumer, M. (2015), "A research on effectiveness of Facebook advertising on enhancing purchase intention of consumers", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 49, pp. 597-600, doi: [10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.03.051).

- Dessart, L., Cleopatra Veloutsou, C. and Anna Morgan-Thomas, A. (2015), "Consumer engagement in online brand communities: a social media perspective", *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, Vol. 24 No. 1, pp. 28-42, doi: [10.1108/JPBM-06-2014-0635](https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-06-2014-0635).
- Dessart, L., Cleopatra Veloutsou, C., Anna Morgan-Thomas, A., Dessart, L., Veloutsou, C. and Morgan-Thomas, A. (2016), "Capturing consumer engagement: duality, dimensionality and measurement", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 32 Nos 5-6, pp. 399-426, doi: [10.1080/0267257X.2015.1130738](https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2015.1130738).
- Dolan, R., Conduit, J., Fahy, J. and Goodman, S. (2016), "Social media engagement behaviour: a uses and gratifications perspective", *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 24 Nos 3-4, pp. 261-277, doi: [10.1080/0965254X.2015.1095222](https://doi.org/10.1080/0965254X.2015.1095222).
- Emini, A. and Zeqiri, J. (2021), "Social media marketing and purchase intention: evidence from Kosovo", *Ekonomika Misao I Praksa*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 475-492, doi: [10.17818/EMIP/2021/2.8](https://doi.org/10.17818/EMIP/2021/2.8).
- Evans, D., Bratton, S. and McKee, J. (2021), *Social Media Marketing*, AG Printing & Publishing, Indianapolis.
- Fang, Y.-H. (2017), "Beyond the usefulness of branded applications: insights from consumer-brand engagement and self-construal perspectives", *Psychology and Marketing*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 40-58, doi: [10.1002/mar.20972](https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20972).
- Floh, A., Koller, M. and Zauner, A. (2013), "Taking a deeper look at online reviews: the asymmetric effect of valence intensity on shopping behaviour", *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol. 29 Nos 5-6, pp. 646-670, doi: [10.1080/0267257X.2013.776620](https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2013.776620).
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D.F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 39-50, doi: [10.1177/002224378101800104](https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800104).
- Franke, G. and Sarstedt, M. (2019), "Heuristics versus statistics in discriminant validity testing: a comparison of four procedures", *Internet Research*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 430-447, doi: [10.1108/IntR-12-2017-0515](https://doi.org/10.1108/IntR-12-2017-0515).
- Gavilanes, J.M., Flatten, T.C. and Brettel, M. (2018), "Content strategies for digital consumer engagement in social networks: why advertising is an antecedent of engagement", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 47 No. 1, pp. 4-23, doi: [10.1080/00913367.2017.1405751](https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2017.1405751).
- Godey, B., Manthiou, A., Pederzoli, D., Rokka, J., Aiello, G., Donvito, R. and Singh, R. (2016), "Social media marketing efforts of luxury brands: influence on brand equity and consumer behavior", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 69 No. 12, pp. 5833-5841, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.181](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.181).
- Hafez, Md. (2022), "Unpacking the influence of social media marketing activities on brand equity in the banking sector in Bangladesh: a moderated mediation analysis of brand experience and perceived uniqueness", *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, Vol. 2 No. 2, 100140, doi: [10.1016/j.jjimei.2022.100140](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2022.100140).
- Hair, J.F., Jr., Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C. and Sarstedt, M. (2016), *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Hajli, M.N. (2014), "A study of the impact of social media on consumers", *International Journal of Market Research*, Vol. 56 No. 3, pp. 387-404, doi: [10.2501/IJMR-2014-025](https://doi.org/10.2501/IJMR-2014-025).
- Hajli, M.N. (2015), "Social commerce constructs and consumer's intention to buy", *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 35 No. 2, pp. 183-191, doi: [10.1016/j.jinfomgt.2014.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinfomgt.2014.12.005).
- Hanaysha, J.R. (2021), "An examination of social media advertising features, brand engagement and purchase intention in the fast food industry", *British Food Journal*, Vol. 124 No. 11, pp. 4143-4160, doi: [10.1108/BJFJ-08-2021-0907](https://doi.org/10.1108/BJFJ-08-2021-0907).
- Harrigan, P., Evers, U., Miles, M.P. and Daly, T. (2018), "Customer engagement and the relationship between involvement, engagement, self-brand connection and brand usage intent", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 88, pp. 388-396, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.11.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.11.046).

- Henseler, J., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2015), "A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 43 No. 1, pp. 115-135, doi: [10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8).
- Hollebeek, L. (2011), "Exploring customer brand engagement: definition and themes", *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 19 No. 7, pp. 555-573, doi: [10.1080/0965254X.2011.599493](https://doi.org/10.1080/0965254X.2011.599493).
- Hollebeek, L.D. (2018), "Individual-level cultural consumer engagement styles: conceptualization, propositions, and implications", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 35 No. 1, pp. 42-71, doi: [10.1108/TMR-07-2016-0140](https://doi.org/10.1108/TMR-07-2016-0140).
- Hollebeek, L., Glynn, M. and Brodie, R. (2014), "Consumer brand engagement in social media: conceptualization, scale development and validation", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 28 No. 2, pp. 149-165, doi: [10.1016/j.intmar.2013.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.12.002).
- Husnain, M. and Toor, A. (2017), "The impact of social network marketing on consumer purchase intention in Pakistan: consumer engagement as a mediator", *Asian Journal of Business and Accounting*, Vol. 10 No. 1, pp. 167-199, doi: [10.5267/j.msl.2019.3.015](https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.3.015).
- Hutter, K., Hautz, J., Dennhardt, S. and Füller, J. (2013), "The impact of user interactions in social media on brand awareness and purchase intention: the case of MINI on Facebook", *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, Vol. 22 Nos 5/6, pp. 342-351, doi: [10.1108/JPBM-05-2013-0299](https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-05-2013-0299).
- Ismail, A.R. (2017), "The influence of perceived social media marketing activities on brand loyalty the mediation effect of brand and value consciousness", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, Vol. 29 No. 1, pp. 129-144, doi: [10.1108/APJML-10-2015-0154](https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-10-2015-0154).
- Jayaram, D., Manrai, A. and Manrai, L.A. (2015), "Effective use of marketing technology in Eastern Europe: web analytics, social media, customer analytics, digital campaigns and mobile applications", *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, Vol. 20 No. 39, pp. 118-133, doi: [10.1016/j.jefas.2015.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jefas.2015.07.001).
- Kapferer, J.N. (2008), *The New Strategic Brand Management: Creating and Sustaining Brand Equity Long Term*, Kogan Page Publishers, London.
- Khan, M.L. (2017), "Social media engagement: what motivates user participation and consumption on YouTube?", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 66, pp. 236-247, doi: [10.1016/j.chb.2016.09.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.09.024).
- Khan, I. (2022), "Do brands' social media marketing activities matter? A moderation analysis", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 64, 102794, doi: [10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102794](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102794).
- Kim, A.J. and Ko, E. (2012), "Do social media marketing activities enhance customer equity? An empirical study of luxury fashion brand", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 65 No. 10, pp. 1480-1486, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.10.014).
- Ko, H., Cho, C.-H. and Roberts, M.S. (2005), "Internet uses and gratifications: a structural equation model of interactive advertising", *Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 57-70, doi: [10.1080/00913367.2005.10639191](https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2005.10639191).
- Koay, K.Y., Cheung, M.L., Soh, P.C.H. and Teoh, C.W. (2022), "Social media influencer marketing: the moderating role of materialism", *European Business Review*, Vol. 34 No. 2, pp. 224-243, doi: [10.1108/ebv-02-2021-0032](https://doi.org/10.1108/ebv-02-2021-0032).
- Kujur, F. and Singh, S. (2020), "Visual communication and consumer-brand relationship on social networking sites - uses and gratifications theory perspective", *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 30-47, doi: [10.4067/S0718-18762020000100104](https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-18762020000100104).
- Kulikovskaja, V., Hubert, M., Grunert, K.G. and Zhao, H. (2023), "Driving marketing outcomes through social media-based customer engagement", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 74, pp. 1-15, doi: [10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103445](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103445).
- Lee, C.T. and Hsieh, S.H. (2022), "Can social media-based brand communities build brand relationships? Examining the effect of community engagement on brand love", *Behaviour and Information Technology*, Vol. 41 No. 6, pp. 1270-1285, doi: [10.1080/0144929X.2021.1872704](https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2021.1872704).

- Li, F., Larimo, J. and Leonidou, L.C. (2021), "Social media marketing strategy: definition, conceptualization, taxonomy, validation, and future agenda", *Journal of the Academia of Marketing Science*, Vol. 49 No. 1, pp. 51-70, doi: [10.1007/s11747-020-00733-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00733-3).
- Meire, M., Hewett, K., Ballings, M., Kumar, V. and Van den Poel, D. (2019), "The role of marketer-generated content in customer engagement marketing", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 83 No. 6, pp. 21-42, doi: [10.1177/002224291987](https://doi.org/10.1177/002224291987).
- Muça, S. and Zeqiri, J. (2020), "Purchase intention of customers towards luxury brands in North Macedonia: theory of planned behaviour approach", *International Journal of Islamic Marketing and Branding*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 99-113, doi: [10.1504/IJIMB.2020.111146](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJIMB.2020.111146).
- Muntinga, D.G., Moorman, M. and Smit, E.G. (2011), "Introducing COBRAs", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 30 No. 1, pp. 13-46, doi: [10.2501/IJA-30-1-013-046](https://doi.org/10.2501/IJA-30-1-013-046).
- Oliveira, M., Huertas, M. and Lin, Z. (2016), "Factors driving young users' engagement with Facebook: evidence from Brazil", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 54, pp. 54-61, doi: [10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.038).
- Osei-Frimpong, K. and McLean, G. (2018), "Examining online social brand engagement: a social presence theory perspective", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 128, pp. 10-21, doi: [10.1016/j.techfore.2017.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.10.010).
- Phua, J., Jin, S.V. and Kim, J. (2017), "Gratifications of using Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, or Snapchat to follow brands: the moderating effect of social comparison, trust, tie strength, and network homophily on brand identification, brand engagement, brand commitment, and membership intention", *Telematics Informatics*, Vol. 34 No. 1, pp. 412-424, doi: [10.1016/j.tele.2016.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2016.06.004).
- Pjero, E. and Kercini, D. (2015), "Social media and consumer behavior – how does it work in Albania reality?", *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 141-146, doi: [10.5901/ajis.2015.v4n3s1p141](https://doi.org/10.5901/ajis.2015.v4n3s1p141).
- Rasool, A., Shah, F.A. and Islam, J.U. (2020), "Customer engagement in the digital age: a review and research agenda", *Current Opinion in Psychology*, Vol. 36, pp. 96-100, doi: [10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.05.003).
- Seo, E.-J. and Park, J.-W. (2018), "A study on the effects of social media marketing activities on brand equity and customer response in the airline industry", *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Vol. 66, pp. 36-41, doi: [10.1016/j.jairtraman.2017.09.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2017.09.014).
- Sheth, S. and Kim, J. (2017), "Social media marketing: the effect of information sharing, entertainment, emotional connection and peer pressure on the attitude and purchase intentions", *GSTF Journal on Business Review*, Vol. 5 No. 1, pp. 62-70.
- Steinboff, L., Arli, D., Weaven, S. and Kozlenkova, I.V. (2019), "Online relationship marketing", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 47 No. 3, pp. 369-393, doi: [10.1007/s11747-018-0621-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-018-0621-6).
- Ranawi, F.I., Yaakub, T.S.T. and Jusoh, M.S. (2019), "Influence of social media on consumers' purchase intention: a study in Malaysian university", *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 1 No. 2, pp. 1-6, doi: [10.26666/rmp.ijbm.2019.2.1](https://doi.org/10.26666/rmp.ijbm.2019.2.1).
- Tran, L.T.T. (2020), "Online reviews and purchase intention: a cosmopolitanism perspective", *Tourism Management Perspectives*, Vol. 35, 100722, doi: [10.1016/j.tmp.2020.100722](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmp.2020.100722).
- Tsai, W.-H.S. and Men, L.R. (2017), "Consumer engagement with brands on social network sites: a cross-cultural comparison of China and the USA", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 23 No. 1, pp. 2-21, doi: [10.1080/13527266.2014.942678](https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2014.942678).
- Tsiotsou, R.H. (2019), "Rate my firm: cultural differences in service evaluations", *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 33 No. 7, pp. 815-836, doi: [10.1108/jsm-12-2018-0358](https://doi.org/10.1108/jsm-12-2018-0358).
- Vander Schee, B.A., Peltier, J. and Dahl, A.J. (2020), "Antecedent consumer factors, consequential branding outcomes and measures of online consumer engagement: current research and future directions", *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 239-268, doi: [10.1108/JRIM-01-2020-0010](https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-01-2020-0010).

- Wheaton, B., Muthen, B., Alwin, D.F. and Summers, G.F. (1977), "Assessing reliability and stability in panel models", *Sociological Methodology*, Vol. 8, pp. 84-136, doi: [10.2307/270754](https://doi.org/10.2307/270754).
- Wirtz, J., Orsingher, C. and Cho, H. (2019), "Engaging customers through online and offline referral reward programs", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 53 No. 9, pp. 1962-1987, doi: [10.1108/EJM-10-2017-0756](https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-10-2017-0756).
- Wu, P.C., Yeh, G.Y.Y. and Hsiao, C.R. (2011), "The effect of store image and service quality on brand image and purchase intention for private label brands", *Australasian Marketing Journal*, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 30-39, doi: [10.1016/j.ausmj.2010.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2010.11.001).
- Yadav, M. and Rahman, Z. (2017), "Measuring consumer perception of social media marketing activities in e-commerce industry: scale development and validation", *Telematics and Informatics*, Vol. 34 No. 7, pp. 1294-1307, doi: [10.1016/j.tele.2017.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.06.001).
- Yagci, I.A. and Sanchoy Das, S. (2018), "Measuring design-level information quality in online reviews", *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, Vol. 30, pp. 102-110, doi: [10.1016/j.elerap.2018.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2018.05.010).
- Zeqiri, J., Kareva, V. and Alija, S. (2020), "The impact of blended learning on students' performance and satisfaction in South East European university", *ENTRENOVA-ENTERPRISE RESEARCH INNOVATION*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 233-244.
- Zhao, S., Grasmuck, S. and Martin, J. (2008), "Identity construction on Facebook: digital empowerment in anchored relationships", *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 24 No. 5, pp. 1816-1836, doi: [10.1016/j.chb.2008.02.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2008.02.012).

Further reading

- Social Media Stats Worldwide (2023), available at: <https://gs.statcounter.com/social-media-stats/all/> (accessed 16 December 2023).
- Kim, M. and Song, D. (2018), "When brand-related UGC induces effectiveness on social media: the role of content sponsorship and content type", *International Journal of Advertising*, Vol. 37 No. 1, pp. 105-124, doi: [10.1080/02650487.2017.1349031](https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1349031).
- Liu, X., Shin, H. and Burns, A. (2019), "Examining the impact of luxury brand's social media marketing on customer engagement: using big data analytics and natural language processing", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 125, pp. 815-826, doi: [10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.04.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.04.042).
- Moran, G., Muzellec, L. and Johnson, D. (2020), "Message content features and social media engagement: evidence from the media industry", *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, Vol. 29 No. 5, pp. 533-545, doi: [10.1108/JPBM-09-2018-2014](https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-09-2018-2014).
- Tse, S.Y., Wang, D.T., Cheung, M.L. and Leung, W.K. (2023), "Do digital platforms promote or hinder corporate brand prestige?", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 57 No. 4, pp. 987-1013, doi: [10.1108/ejm-11-2021-0837](https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-11-2021-0837).
- Global Social Media Use (2022), available at: <https://datareportal.com/social-media-users/> (accessed 16 December 2023).

Corresponding author

Vjollca Visoka Hasani can be contacted at: vjollca.hasani@universitetiaab.com

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:
www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm
Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com