

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] I. Maulana, W. Apriandari, and A. Pambudi, "ANALISIS SENTIMEN BERBASIS ASPEK TERHADAP ULASAN APLIKASI MYPERTAMINA MENGGUNAKAN SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE," *IDEALIS : InDonEsiA journal Information System*, vol. 6, pp. 172–181, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.36080/idealis.v6i2.3022.
- [2] D. A. Kodai and W. Suleman, "SANKSI PIDANA TERHADAP TINDAK PIDANA PENYALAHGUNAAN BAHAN BAKAR BERSUBSIDI DI INDONESIA," *Journal Evidence Of Law*, vol. 2, pp. 197–208, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.59066/jel.v2i2.427.
- [3] M. F. Fadhillah, E. Herlina, and I. Setiawan, "PENGARUH WORD OF MOUTH DAN PRODUCT QUALITY TERHADAP BRAND TRUST (Studi pada Konsumen SPBU Pertamina 34.46123 Kawalu Tasikmalaya)," <https://jurnal.unigal.ac.id/bmej/article/view/4567/7649>.
- [4] A. A. Musaddad, F. Ahzani, W.K., M. Susilowati, and L. Arif, "Implementasi Sistem Pelayanan Rakyat Sidoarjo (SIPRAJA) sebagai Inovasi Pelayanan Publik," *Jurnal Syntax Transformation*, vol. 1, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.46799/jurnal syntax transformation.v1i6.76.
- [5] R. F. Jatnika, I. Kaniawulan, and D. Singasatia, "ANALISIS PENERIMAAN APLIKASI MYPERTAMINA MENGGUNAKAN METODE TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE MODEL (TAM)," *JURNAL TEKNOLOGI INFORMASI DAN KOMUNIKASI*, vol. 14, pp. 347–357, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.51903/jtikp.v14i2.714.
- [6] R. P. Hairadifa, T. Asra, and A. Sinnun, "ANALISIS USER EXPERIENCE DAN INNOVATION RESISTANCE PENGGUNAAN APLIKASI MYPERTAMINA MENGGUNAKAN PENDEKATAN UTAUT2 DAN UCD," *INTI Nusa Mandiri*, vol. 19, pp. 230–239, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.33480/inti.v19i2.4983.
- [7] A. A. Sinurat, C. Hendriyani, and F. Damayanti, "MyPertamina Application To Increase Consumer Engagement," *The International Journal of Business Review (The Jobs Review)*, vol. 5, pp. 65–74, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.17509/tjr.v5i1.48470.
- [8] B. P. S. Indonesia, "Jumlah Kendaraan Bermotor Menurut Provinsi dan Jenis Kendaraan (unit), 2023 - Tabel Statistik," <https://www.bps.go.id/id/statistics-table/3/Vj3NNGRGa3dkRk5MTIU1bVNFOTVVbmQyVURSTVFUMDkJMw==/jumlah-kendaraan-bermotor-menurut-provinsi-dan-jenis-kendaraan-unit---2023.html>.
- [9] P. P. Niaga, "Laporan Tahunan 2023," 2023.
- [10] P. P. Niaga, "Delivering Energy Sustaining The Future," 2024.
- [11] X. Zhang and Z. Zhang, "Leaking my face via payment: Unveiling the influence of technology anxiety, vulnerabilities, and privacy concerns on user resistance to facial recognition payment," *Telecomm Policy*, vol. 48, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.telpol.2023.102703.
- [12] A. P. PERDANA, "Dugaan Kebocoran Data MyPertamina Menjadi Ujian UU Perlindungan Data Pribadi," <https://www.kompas.id/baca/ekonomi/2022/11/11/masih-diinvestigasi-bocornya-data-juga-menjadi-ujian-uu-pdp>.
- [13] E. Soesanto, A. Wijayanti, M. E. Musyafa, and N. Cahyani, "MENGELOLA OBJEK VITAL, PENGAMANAN FILE, DAN PENGAMANAN CYBER TERHADAP MANAJEMEN SEKURITI PADA PT. PERTAMINA (PERSERO)," *Cross-border*, vol. 6, p. 39, Jun. 2023.

- [14] R. A. Softina, F. M. Amin, and N. Wahyudi, "Analisis Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Innovation Resistance dan Intention to Use Terhadap Penerapan Pembayaran Non Tunai," *JURNAL SISTEM INFORMASI BISNIS*, vol. 12, pp. 26–35, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.21456/vol12iss1pp26-35.
- [15] P. Kaur, A. Dhir, N. Singh, G. Sahu, and M. Almotairi, "An innovation resistance theory perspective on mobile payment solutions," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 55, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102059.
- [16] Y. Cao, J. Li, X. Qin, and B. Hu, "Examining the effect of overload on the mhealth application resistance behavior of elderly users: An sor perspective," *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, vol. 17, pp. 1–23, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.3390/ijerph17186658.
- [17] O. Telaumbanua, S. Waruwu, F. Hulu, S. M. Kakisin, and P. Lahagu, "Analisis Resistensi Pegawai dalam Melakukan Pelayanan Pada Penerapan Aplikasi E-Samsat Sumut Bermartabat di UPT Samsat Gunungsitoli," *Innovative: Journal Of Social Science Research*, vol. 4, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.31004/innovative.v4i1.8229.
- [18] W. K. S. Leung, S. P. M. Law, M. L. Cheung, M. K. Chang, C. Y. Lai, and N. Liu, "From resistance to acceptance: developing health task measures to boost mHealth adoption among older adults: mixed-methods approach and innovation resistance," *Internet Research*, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1108/INTR-02-2024-0327.
- [19] C. C. Chen, C. H. Chang, and K. L. Hsiao, "Exploring the factors of using mobile ticketing applications: Perspectives from innovation resistance theory," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 67, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2022.102974.
- [20] A. D. Puspitasari and Y. Alversia, "Barrier Factors That Affect Consumer Purchase Intention on Green Cosmetics Product: Applying Innovation Resistance Theory," *Jurnal Aplikasi Bisnis dan Manajemen*, vol. 9, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.17358/jabm.9.3.728.
- [21] G. Migliore, R. Wagner, F. S. Cechella, and F. Liébana-Cabanillas, "Antecedents to the Adoption of Mobile Payment in China and Italy: an Integration of UTAUT2 and Innovation Resistance Theory," *Information Systems Frontiers*, vol. 24, pp. 2099–2122, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10796-021-10237-2.
- [22] M. Z. Hossain, "A Modified Innovation Resistance Theory Approach to E-Tourism Resistance Intention in Bangladesh," *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation*, vol. 18, pp. 59–71, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.4067/s0718-27242023000400059.
- [23] Gilbert, S. Alam, and M. I. Sulisty, "ANALISIS SENTIMEN BERDASARKAN ULASAN PENGGUNA APLIKASI MYPERTAMINA PADA GOOGLE PLAYSTORE MENGGUNAKAN METODE NAÏVE BAYES," *STORAGE: Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik dan Ilmu Komputer*, vol. 2, pp. 100–108, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.55123/storage.v2i3.2333.
- [24] M. Talwar, L. Corazza, R. Bodhi, and A. Malibari, "Why do consumers resist digital innovations? An innovation resistance theory perspective," *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, vol. 9, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1108/IJOEM-03-2022-0529.
- [25] L. Y. Leong, T. S. Hew, K. B. Ooi, and B. Lin, "A meta-analysis of consumer innovation resistance: is there a cultural invariance?," *Industrial Management*

- and Data Systems, vol. 121, pp. 1784–1823, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1108/IMDS-12-2020-0741.
- [26] S. Ram and J. N. Sheth, "Consumer resistance to innovations: The marketing problem and its solutions," *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, vol. 6, p. 5, Feb. 1989, doi: 10.1108/EUM0000000002542.
 - [27] H. W. Kim and A. Kankanhalli, "Investigating user resistance to information systems implementation: A status quo bias perspective," *MIS Q*, vol. 33, pp. 567–582, Sep. 2009, doi: 10.2307/20650309.
 - [28] H. Liang and Y. Xue, "Avoidance of Information Technology Threats: A Theoretical Perspective," <https://misq.umn.edu/avoidance-of-information-technology-threats-a-theoretical-perspective.html>.
 - [29] D. Choi, C. Y. Chung, T. Seyha, and J. Young, "Factors affecting organizations' resistance to the adoption of blockchain technology in supply networks," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 12, pp. 1–37, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12218882.
 - [30] E. Bizzo, "Acceptance and resistance to e-learning adoption in developing countries: a literature review*," *Ensaio*, vol. 30, pp. 458–483, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.1590/S0104-403620220003003342.
 - [31] J. Yoo, S. Choi, Y. Hwang, and M. Y. Yi, "The role of user resistance and social influences on the adoption of smartphone: Moderating effect of age," *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*, vol. 33, pp. 36–58, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.4018/JOEUC.20210301.oa3.
 - [32] A. Gunasinghe and S. Nanayakkara, "Role of technology anxiety within UTAUT in understanding non-user adoption intentions to virtual learning environments: the state university lecturers' perspective," *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, vol. 13, p. 284, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1504/ijtel.2021.10036681.
 - [33] M. I. Alkhawaja, M. S. A. Halim, and A. Afthanorhan, "Technology anxiety and its impact on e-learning system actual use in Jordan public universities during the Coronavirus disease pandemic," *European Journal of Educational Research*, vol. 10, pp. 1639–1647, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.12973/EU-JER.10.4.1639.
 - [34] T. H. Tsai, W. Y. Lin, Y. S. Chang, P. C. Chang, and M. Y. Lee, "Technology anxiety and resistance to change behavioral study of a wearable cardiac warming system using an extended TAM for older adults," *PLoS One*, vol. 15, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0227270.
 - [35] W. Peng and K. Robinson-Tay, "Assessing the characteristics and outcomes of perceived usefulness and ease of use for autonomous vehicle adoption," *Transp Res Part F Traffic Psychol Behav*, vol. 111, pp. 391–408, May 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.trf.2025.03.014.
 - [36] S. S. Iriani and A. L. Andjarwati, "ANALYSIS OF PERCEIVED USEFULNESS, PERCEIVED EASE OF USE, AND PERCEIVED RISK TOWARD ONLINE SHOPPING IN THE ERA OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC," Dec. 2020.
 - [37] A. S. Al-Adwan, N. A. Albelbisi, O. Hujran, W. M. Al-Rahmi, and A. Alkhalifah, "Developing a holistic success model for sustainable e-learning: A structural equation modeling approach," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 13, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13169453.
 - [38] B. L. Handoko, A. S. L. Lindawati, H. Sarjono, and M. Mustapha, "Innovation Diffusion and Technology Acceptance Model in Predicting Auditor Acceptance of

- Metaverse Technology," *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 443–456, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.33168/JSMS.2023.0528.
- [39] E. Kim, "In-store shopping with location-based retail apps: perceived value, consumer response, and the moderating effect of flow," *Information Technology and Management*, vol. 22, pp. 83–97, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10799-021-00326-8.
- [40] M. A. Almaiah *et al.*, "Investigating the Role of Perceived Risk, Perceived Security and Perceived Trust on Smart m-Banking Application Using SEM," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 15, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15139908.
- [41] H. A. Widyanto, K. A. Kusumawardani, and H. Yohanes, "Safety first: extending UTAUT to better predict mobile payment adoption by incorporating perceived security, perceived risk and trust," *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, vol. 13, pp. 952–973, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1108/JSTPM-03-2020-0058.
- [42] S. Turgut and A. E. Neuhaus, "The Relationship Between Dispositional Resistance to Change and Individual Career Management: A Matter of Occupational Self-Efficacy and Organizational Identification?," *Journal of Change Management*, vol. 20, pp. 171–188, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1080/14697017.2020.1720774.
- [43] N. Dar, S. Ahmad, K. Badar, and Y. M. Kundi, "Unraveling the link between innovative work behavior and despotic leadership: the roles of supervisor conflict and dispositional resistance to change trait," *International Journal of Conflict Management*, vol. 35, pp. 816–834, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1108/IJCM-09-2023-0180.
- [44] G. Prakarsa, V. M. Nasution, I. N. Ramadhiana, and A. R. Ginanjar, "Integration of Mobile Perceived Compatibility, Mobile Perceived Financial Resources, and Mobile Perceived System Quality with TAM in Virtual Hotel Operator Applications in Indonesia," *International Journal of Global Operations Research*, vol. 1, pp. 62–70, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.47194/ijgor.v1i2.36.
- [45] Nurhasanah, A. Launtu, D. Dharmawan, S. Ekasari, and Purwanti, "Analysis of The Influence of Perceived Compatibility, Perceived Ease of Use and Perceived Usefulness on Intention to Use Mobile Banking Digital Transaction Services of Banking Customers," *Jurnal Informasi dan Teknologi*.
- [46] R. T. Huang, M. K. Jabor, T. W. Tang, and S. C. Chang, "Examine the moderating role of mobile technology anxiety in mobile learning: a modified model of goal-directed behavior," *Asia Pacific Education Review*, vol. 23, pp. 101–113, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s12564-021-09703-y.
- [47] E. Al Khalifah *et al.*, "Technology Anxiety in Virtual Reality Adoption: Examining the Impact of Age, Past Experience, and Cybersickness," *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3562383.
- [48] K. Kharisma and U. S. Aesy, "ANALISIS TINGKAT KEBERMANFAATAN MYPERTAMINA MENGGUNAKAN K-MEANS CLUSTERING," *Journal of Information System Management (JOISM)*, vol. 4, pp. 91–96, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.24076/joism.2023v4i2.967.
- [49] M. Bakhar, T. I. Fajri, C. H. S. A, N. Ahmad, and U. W. Nuryanto, "User Acceptance Analysis of My Pertamina Application Using UTAUT Model," *Jurnal Sistim Informasi dan Teknologi*, vol. 5, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.37034/jsisfotek.v5i2.254.

- [50] P. De Boeck *et al.*, "Questioning Psychological Constructs: Current Issues and Proposed Changes," *Psychol Inq*, vol. 34, pp. 239–257, 2023, doi: 10.1080/1047840X.2023.2274429.
- [51] E. K. Ghani, M. M. Ali, M. N. R. Musa, and A. A. Omonov, "The Effect of Perceived Usefulness, Reliability, and COVID-19 Pandemic on Digital Banking Effectiveness: Analysis Using Technology Acceptance Model," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, vol. 14, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.3390/su141811248.
- [52] S. Adapa, S. M. Fazal-e-Hasan, S. B. Makam, M. M. Azeem, and G. Mortimer, "Examining the antecedents and consequences of perceived shopping value through smart retail technology," *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 52, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101901.
- [53] B. L. Handoko, A. S. L. Lindawati, H. Sarjono, and M. Mustapha, "Innovation Diffusion and Technology Acceptance Model in Predicting Auditor Acceptance of Metaverse Technology," *Journal of System and Management Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 443–456, 2023, doi: 10.33168/JSMS.2023.0528.
- [54] A. Tahar, H. A. Riyadh, H. Sofyani, and W. E. Purnomo, "Perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, perceived security and intention to use e-filing: The role of technology readiness," *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, vol. 7, pp. 537–547, Sep. 2020, doi: 10.13106/JAFEB.2020.VOL7.NO9.537.
- [55] H. Siagian, Z. J. H. Tarigan, S. R. Basana, and R. Basuki, "The effect of perceived security, perceived ease of use, and perceived usefulness on consumer behavioral intention through trust in digital payment platform," *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, vol. 6, pp. 861–874, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.5267/j.ijdns.2022.2.010.
- [56] K. Gonzalez, F. F. Portocarrero, and M. L. Ekema, "Disposition activation during organizational change: A meta-analysis," *Pers Psychol*, vol. 76, pp. 829–883, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.1111/peps.12513.
- [57] S. Yamao and T. Sekiguchi, "There is nothing like staying at home: Japanese employees' dispositional resistance to change and their expatriation willingness," *Journal of Global Mobility*, vol. 12, pp. 573–590, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1108/JGM-11-2023-0083.
- [58] T. Grupp, S. Wallbach, and E. Croitor, "The Role of Resistance to Change in Software Updates' Impact on Information Systems Continuance," in https://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2020_rp/8/, Jun. 2020.
- [59] S. Yahaya, S. N. A. Hamid, and S. N. M. Nafi, "Influence of UTAUT, perceived compatibility, and perceived credibility on m-commerce adoption," *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, pp. 49–58, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.21833/ijaas.2023.11.007.
- [60] G. Prakarsa, "Integration of Mobile Perceived Compatibility, Mobile Perceived Financial Resources, and Mobile Perceived System Quality with TAM in Virtual Hotel Operator Applications in Indonesia," *International Journal of Global Operations Research*, vol. 1, pp. 62–70, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.47194/ijgor.v1i2.36.
- [61] R. T. Huang and C. L. Yu, "Exploring online green behavior among college students in Taiwan: A moderated mediation model of perceived compatibility," *Multimed Tools Appl*, vol. 81, pp. 421–436, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s11042-021-11526-3.

- [62] M. Y. Jeng, F. Y. Pai, and T. M. Yeh, "Antecedents for Older Adults' Intention to Use Smart Health Wearable Devices-Technology Anxiety as a Moderator," *Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 12, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3390/bs12040114.
- [63] M. L. Wilson, A. C. Huggins-Manley, A. D. Ritzhaupt, and K. Ruggles, "Development of the Abbreviated Technology Anxiety Scale (ATAS)," *Behav Res Methods*, vol. 55, pp. 185–199, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3758/s13428-022-01820-9.
- [64] C.-Y. Tsai, Y.-L. Tzeng, Y.-K. Teng, and T.-S. Chang, "The mediating role of technology anxiety in the impact of loneliness on technology behavioral intention among community-dwelling older adults," *PLoS One*, vol. 20, p. e0321144, May 2025, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0321144.
- [65] S. Heidenreich, E. Freisinger, and C. Landau, "The dark side of business model innovation: An empirical investigation into the evolvement of customer resistance and the effectiveness of potential countermeasures," *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, vol. 39, pp. 824–846, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.1111/jpim.12627.
- [66] M. Li, X. Bai, S. Xing, and X. Wang, "How the smart product attributes influence consumer adoption intention," *Front Psychol*, vol. 14, Feb. 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1090200.
- [67] S. N. Shetu, Md. M. Islam, and S. I. Promi, "An Empirical Investigation of the Continued Usage Intention of Digital Wallets: The Moderating Role of Perceived Technological Innovativeness," *Future Business Journal*, vol. 8, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.1186/s43093-022-00158-0.
- [68] A. Asrulla, R. Risnita, M. S. Jailani, and F. Jeka, "Populasi dan Sampling (Kuantitatif), Serta Pemilihan Informan Kunci (Kualitatif) dalam Pendekatan Praktis," *Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai*, vol. 7, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.31004/jptam.v7i3.10836.
- [69] A. Caniago and A. E. Rustanto, "Kualitas Pelayanan dalam Meningkatkan Minat Beli Konsumen Pada UMKM di Jakarta (Studi Kasus Pembelian Melalui Shopee)," *Responsive*, vol. 5, p. 19, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.24198/responsive.v5i1.39338.
- [70] F. Abdjul, J. D. D. Massie, and Y. Mandagie, "PENGARUH CONTENT MARKETING, SEARCH ENGINE OPTIMIZATION DAN SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN PEMBELIAN MAHASISWA FEB UNSRAT DI E-COMMERCE SOCIOLLA," *Jurnal EMBA : Jurnal Riset Ekonomi, Manajemen, Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, vol. 10, p. 225, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.35794/emba.v10i3.41752.
- [71] P. G. Subhaktiyasa, "Menentukan Populasi dan Sampel: Pendekatan Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif," *Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan*, vol. 9, pp. 2721–2731, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.29303/jipp.v9i4.2657.
- [72] B. Simamora, "Skala Likert, Bias Penggunaan dan Jalan Keluarnya," *Jurnal Manajemen*, vol. 12, pp. 84–93, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.46806/jman.v12i1.978.
- [73] A. Sulistiawan, E. Y. D. Sari, and N. Z. Situmorang, "Validitas dan Reliabilitas Konstruk Komitmen Organisasi dengan Pendekatan Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)," *Psikostudia : Jurnal Psikologi*, vol. 10, p. 61, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.30872/psikostudia.v10i1.5478.
- [74] H. M. Yazdi, R. Setyadi, and S. Astiti, "Pengujian Penerapan Layanan Sistem Informasi Pada Dinas Perhubungan Menerapkan Metode DeLone and McLean," *JURIKOM (Jurnal Riset Komputer)*, vol. 9, p. 644, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.30865/jurikom.v9i3.4174.

- [75] A. Prabowo, I. Ilhamsyah, and D. Prawira, "Penerimaan Google Classroom Sebagai Media Pembelajaran Daring Menggunakan PLS-SEM," *CYBERNETICS*, vol. 6, p. 61, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.29406/cbn.v6i02.4466.
- [76] R. F. Oktaviani, R. Meidiyustiani, Q. Qodariah, and R. Arif, "PERAN LITERASI DIGITAL TERHADAP WIRAUSAHA MUDA: STUDI KASUS PADA MAHASISWA DI JAKARTA," *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan STIE Muhammadiyah Palopo*, vol. 10, p. 100, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.35906/jep.v10i1.1917.
- [77] S. Muliani and D. H. Perkasa, "PENGARUH KOMPENSASI KEPUASAN KERJA DAN MOTIVASI TERHADAP ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR (OCB) PEGAWAI PPSU DI SALAH SATU KELURAHAN DAERAH JAKARTA UTARA," *AGILITY: Lentera Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*, vol. 1, pp. 115–126, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.59422/lmsdm.v1i03.103.
- [78] M. Nusrang, Muh. Fahmuddin, and H. Hafid, "PENERAPAN METODE STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING-PARTIAL LEAST SQUARES (SEM-PLS) DALAM MENGEVALUASI FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI PDRB DI INDONESIA," *SEMINAR NASIONAL DIES NATALIS 62*, vol. 1, pp. 543–548, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.59562/semnasdies.v1i1.1088.
- [79] A. R. Pratiwi and M. Muzakki, "Perceived Organizational Support Terhadap Komitmen Organisasi dan Kinerja Karyawan," *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen dan Bisnis*, vol. 22, pp. 111–120, Apr. 2021, doi: 10.30596/jimb.v22i1.5282.
- [80] D. H. Perkasa and M. Magito, "DETERMINAN FAKTOR BLUE ECONOMY DALAM APLIKASI PRAKTIS SDM PERHOTELAN DI PULAU TIDUNG KEPULAUAN SERIBU," *Jesya*, vol. 7, pp. 840–852, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.36778/jesya.v7i1.1495.
- [81] A. Jumani, N. N. Sawitri, A. W. N. Fikri, D. P. Faeni, and I. R. Maulia, "Pengaruh Lingkungan Kerja, Disiplin Kerja Dan Komunikasi Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Kantor Kelurahan Jatimulya," *JIEM: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Dan Manajemen*, vol. 2, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.61722/jiem.v2i2.978.
- [82] A. Alvin, P. Nastiti, and E. Marsella, "Identifikasi Faktor Loyalitas Pengguna pada Shopee Games Menggunakan Expectation-Confirmation Model (ECM)," *Edu Komputika Journal*, vol. 10, pp. 38–45, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.15294/edukomputika.v10i1.61821.
- [83] Lady Lady, D. Flowerensia, and A. Sentoso, "ANALISIS PENGARUH FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI BRAND EQUITY TERHADAP PURCHASE INTENTION DARI PELANGGAN RESTORAN MAKANAN SEHAT," *Jurnal Manajemen Terapan dan Keuangan*, vol. 13, pp. 335–349, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.22437/jmk.v13i02.31554.
- [84] J. An, K. Wan, Z. Xiang, X. Zhu, J. An, and Y. Yang, "Effects of privacy concerns on older adults' discontinuous usage intention: the chain mediating effect of technology anxiety and the moderating role of perceived price value," *Front Public Health*, vol. 13, May 2025, doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1543409.

Lampiran

1. Daftar Revisi

No	Revisi	Halaman
1	Perbaiki data pada latar belakang	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 1-2
2	Perbaiki rumusan masalah	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 3
3	Perbaiki batasan masalah dan kriteria responden	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 3 dan halaman 28
4	Perbaiki gambar tahapan penelitian	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 20
5	Perbaiki penjelasan uji kualitas instrumen	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 29
6	Perbaiki penjelasan pengolahan dan analisis data kuesioner	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 30
7	Perbaiki seluruh pie chart demografi responden	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 32-34
8	Penambahan informasi penyesuaian skala Likert untuk variabel bermakna positif dan negatif	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 34
9	Penambahan data hasil uji instrumen validitas dan reliabilitas	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 34-36
10	Perbaiki tabel hasil uji hipotesis	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 43
11	Perbaiki interpretasi hipotesis	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 44-49
12	Perbaiki kesimpulan	Telah diperbaiki pada halaman 50

2. Hasil Uji Instrumen

1) Uji Validitas

a. Variabel *Perceived Usefulness*

Correlations

		PU1	PU2	PU3	TotalPU
PU1	Pearson Correlation	1	,947**	,877**	,973**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
PU2	Pearson Correlation	,947**	1	,900**	,979**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
PU3	Pearson Correlation	,877**	,900**	1	,954**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000
	N	30	30	30	30
TotalPU	Pearson Correlation	,973**	,979**	,954**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	
	N	30	30	30	30

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

b. Variabel *Perceived Complexity*

Correlations

		COM1	COM2	COM3	COM4	TotalCOM
COM1	Pearson Correlation	1	,897**	,920**	,864**	,956**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
COM2	Pearson Correlation	,897**	1	,940**	,910**	,972**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
COM3	Pearson Correlation	,920**	,940**	1	,887**	,973**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
COM4	Pearson Correlation	,864**	,910**	,887**	1	,951**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000		,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
TotalCOM	Pearson Correlation	,956**	,972**	,973**	,951**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	
	N	30	30	30	30	30

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

c. Variabel *Perceived Security*

Correlations

		PS1	PS2	PS3	TotalPS
PS1	Pearson Correlation	1	,885**	,778**	,944**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
PS2	Pearson Correlation	,885**	1	,854**	,962**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
PS3	Pearson Correlation	,778**	,854**	1	,928**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000
	N	30	30	30	30
TotalPS	Pearson Correlation	,944**	,962**	,928**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	
	N	30	30	30	30

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

d. Variabel *Dispositional Resistance to Change*

Correlations

		DRC1	DRC2	DRC3	TotalDRC
DRC1	Pearson Correlation	1	,409*	,664**	,795**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,025	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
DRC2	Pearson Correlation	,409*	1	,674**	,827**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,025		,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
DRC3	Pearson Correlation	,664**	,674**	1	,924**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000
	N	30	30	30	30
TotalDRC	Pearson Correlation	,795**	,827**	,924**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	
	N	30	30	30	30

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

e. Variabel *Perceived Compatibility*

Correlations

		PC1	PC2	PC3	TotalPC
PC1	Pearson Correlation	1	,911**	,816**	,961**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
PC2	Pearson Correlation	,911**	1	,788**	,952**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
PC3	Pearson Correlation	,816**	,788**	1	,921**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000
	N	30	30	30	30
TotalPC	Pearson Correlation	,961**	,952**	,921**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	
	N	30	30	30	30

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

f. Variabel Technology Anxiety

Correlations

		TA1	TA2	TA3	TotalTA
TA1	Pearson Correlation	1	,865**	,755**	,932**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
TA2	Pearson Correlation	,865**	1	,747**	,932**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30
TA3	Pearson Correlation	,755**	,747**	1	,915**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000
	N	30	30	30	30
TotalTA	Pearson Correlation	,932**	,932**	,915**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	
	N	30	30	30	30

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

g. Variabel Adoption Intention

		Correlations				
		INT1	INT2	INT3	INT4	TotalINT
INT1	Pearson Correlation	1	,955**	,942**	,942**	,974**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000	,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
INT2	Pearson Correlation	,955**	1	,960**	,960**	,983**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000		,000	,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
INT3	Pearson Correlation	,942**	,960**	1	1,000**	,991**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000		,000	,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
INT4	Pearson Correlation	,942**	,960**	1,000**	1	,991**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000		,000
	N	30	30	30	30	30
TotalINT	Pearson Correlation	,974**	,983**	,991**	,991**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,000	,000	,000	
	N	30	30	30	30	30

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

2) Uji Reliabilitas

a. Variabel *Perceived Usefulness*

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,966	3

b. Variabel *Perceived Complexity*

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,974	4

c. Variabel *Perceived Security*

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,934	3

d. Variabel *Dispositional Resistance to Change*

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,808	3

e. Variabel *Perceived Compatibility*

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,939	3

f. Variabel *Technology Anxiety*

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,908	3


g. Variabel *Adoption Intention*

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,989	4

3. Hasil Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas

a. Graphical Output



b. Outer Loadings

Outer loadings - Matrix								Copy to Excel/Word
	Adoption Intention	Dispositional Resistance to Change	Perceived Compatibility	Perceived Complexity	Perceived Security	Perceived Usefulness	Technology Anxiety	
COM1				0.883				
COM2				0.929				
COM3				0.920				
COM4				0.896				
DRC1		0.730						
DRC2		0.883						
DRC3		0.880						
INT1	0.945							
INT2	0.967							
INT3	0.959							
INT4	0.965							
PC1			0.894					
PC2			0.926					
PC3			0.948					
PS1					0.927			
PS2					0.930			
PS3					0.862			
PU1						0.938		
PU2						0.952		
PU3						0.926		
TA1							0.948	
TA2							0.949	
TA3							0.909	

c. R-Square

R-square - Overview		
	R-square	R-square adjusted
Adoption Intention	0.499	0.496
Technology Anxiety	0.558	0.552

d. Construct Validity Reliability

Construct reliability and validity - Overview				
	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability (rho_a)	Composite reliability (rho_c)	Average variance extracted (AVE)
Adoption Intention	0.971	0.972	0.979	0.920
Dispositional Resistance to Change	0.781	0.810	0.872	0.696
Perceived Compatibility	0.914	0.955	0.945	0.852
Perceived Complexity	0.928	0.930	0.949	0.823
Perceived Security	0.892	0.903	0.933	0.822
Perceived Usefulness	0.932	0.933	0.957	0.881
Technology Anxiety	0.929	0.929	0.955	0.875

e. Discriminant Validity – HTMT

Discriminant validity - Heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT) - Matrix								Copy to Excel/Word
	Adoption Intention	Dispositional Resistance to Change	Perceived Compatibility	Perceived Complexity	Perceived Security	Perceived Usefulness	Technology Anxiety	
Adoption Intention								
Dispositional Resistance to Change	0.560							
Perceived Compatibility	0.762	0.518						
Perceived Complexity	0.369	0.580	0.370					
Perceived Security	0.726	0.478	0.811	0.472				
Perceived Usefulness	0.723	0.545	0.783	0.439	0.820			
Technology Anxiety	0.463	0.599	0.401	0.765	0.506	0.469		

f. Discriminant Validity – Fornell Larcker

Discriminant validity - Fornell-Larcker criterion								Copy to Excel/Word
	Adoption Intention	Dispositional Resistance to Change	Perceived Compatibility	Perceived Complexity	Perceived Security	Perceived Usefulness	Technology Anxiety	
Adoption Intention								
Dispositional Resistance to Change	0.497	0.834						
Perceived Compatibility	0.721	0.460	0.923					
Perceived Complexity	0.352	0.498	0.346	0.907				
Perceived Security	0.673	0.416	0.736	0.432	0.907			
Perceived Usefulness	0.689	0.480	0.724	0.411	0.747	0.939		
Technology Anxiety	0.441	0.517	0.380	0.710	0.463	0.438	0.936	

g. Discriminant Validity – Cross Loadings

Discriminant validity - Cross loadings								
	Adoption Intention	Dispositional Resistance to Change	Perceived Compatibility	Perceived Complexity	Perceived Security	Perceived Usefulness	Technology Anxiety	
COM1	0.241	0.422	0.276	0.883	0.353	0.327	0.593	
COM2	0.323	0.435	0.310	0.929	0.403	0.357	0.669	
COM3	0.305	0.429	0.277	0.920	0.354	0.323	0.647	
COM4	0.402	0.518	0.387	0.896	0.454	0.477	0.665	
DRC1	0.302	0.730	0.207	0.344	0.174	0.234	0.336	
DRC2	0.487	0.883	0.468	0.416	0.417	0.474	0.469	
DRC3	0.433	0.880	0.435	0.476	0.409	0.456	0.474	
INT1	0.945	0.489	0.709	0.333	0.670	0.689	0.412	
INT2	0.967	0.453	0.692	0.317	0.633	0.656	0.397	
INT3	0.959	0.455	0.672	0.337	0.615	0.634	0.432	
INT4	0.965	0.507	0.693	0.365	0.661	0.663	0.450	
PC1	0.636	0.376	0.894	0.279	0.631	0.659	0.284	
PC2	0.656	0.412	0.926	0.322	0.670	0.647	0.324	
PC3	0.699	0.471	0.948	0.346	0.725	0.696	0.420	
PS1	0.617	0.389	0.680	0.393	0.927	0.673	0.418	
PS2	0.585	0.397	0.664	0.430	0.930	0.686	0.461	
PS3	0.636	0.343	0.661	0.347	0.862	0.675	0.375	
PU1	0.643	0.462	0.657	0.409	0.712	0.938	0.446	
PU2	0.663	0.463	0.692	0.389	0.686	0.952	0.393	
PU3	0.634	0.426	0.690	0.357	0.705	0.926	0.394	
TA1	0.390	0.477	0.321	0.687	0.431	0.392	0.948	
TA2	0.365	0.449	0.314	0.697	0.380	0.348	0.949	
TA3	0.479	0.523	0.429	0.611	0.487	0.486	0.909	

4. Hasil Uji Hipotesis

Path coefficients - Mean, STDEV, T values, p values					
	Original sample (O)	Sample mean (M)	Standard deviation (STDEV)	T statistics (O/STDEV)	P values
Dispositional Resistance to Change -> T...	0.174	0.176	0.051	3.392	0.001
Perceived Compatibility -> Technology ...	-0.021	-0.019	0.065	0.330	0.741
Perceived Complexity -> Technology A...	0.555	0.555	0.051	10.876	0.000
Perceived Security -> Technology Anxiety	0.137	0.138	0.071	1.937	0.053
Perceived Usefulness -> Adoption Inten...	0.614	0.612	0.044	14.093	0.000
Perceived Usefulness -> Technology An...	0.040	0.036	0.067	0.597	0.550
Technology Anxiety -> Adoption Intent...	0.172	0.173	0.048	3.596	0.000