

BAB V

PENUTUP

5.1. Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan hasil analisis data dan pembahasan mengenai pengaruh religiusitas subjektif terhadap perilaku memberi individu di Indonesia kepada orang tua non-biologis tidak serumah yang telah dilakukan pada bab sebelumnya, dapat diambil beberapa kesimpulan sebagai berikut:

- 1) Religiusitas subjektif tidak berpengaruh terhadap *private transfer* kepada orang tua non-biologis tidak serumah di Indonesia.
- 2) Pendapatan berpengaruh positif terhadap *private transfer* kepada orang tua non-biologis tidak serumah di Indonesia.
- 3) Pendidikan berpengaruh positif terhadap *private transfer* kepada orang tua non-biologis tidak serumah di Indonesia.
- 4) Umur tidak berpengaruh terhadap *private transfer* kepada orang tua non-biologis tidak serumah di Indonesia.
- 5) Perbedaan jenis kelamin tidak berpengaruh terhadap *private transfer* kepada orang tua non-biologis tidak serumah di Indonesia.
- 6) Perbedaan area tempat tinggal tidak berpengaruh terhadap *private transfer* kepada orang tua non-biologis tidak serumah di Indonesia.

5.2.Saran

Berdasarkan kesimpulan yang telah disampaikan diatas, saran yang dapat diberikan terkait penelitian ini adalah sebagai berikut:

- 1) Temuan ini memberikan peluang bagi pembuat kebijakan dan pengembang program sosial untuk berfokus pada peningkatan kapasitas ekonomi individu dan akses pendidikan yang lebih mudah agar dapat mendorong kegiatan transfer dan mengatasi masalah kemiskinan jangka panjang.
- 2) Studi lebih lanjut perlu mengeksplorasi kelompok penerima manfaat lain, seperti anggota keluarga besar, komunitas lokal, atau populasi rentan, serta menggunakan data dari beberapa gelombang survei, dapat memberikan perspektif yang lebih luas dan memperkaya pemahaman tentang dinamika perilaku memberi di Indonesia.



DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Amalia, N., Moeis, J. P., Arundina, T., Pertiwi, R. H., & Mardhatillah, A. (2021). Impact Analysis of Religiosity and Altruism on Multidimensional Inequality. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 71, 517-525.
- Becker, G. S. (1974). A Theory of Social Interactions. *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(6), 1063-1093.
- Bentzen, J. S. (2021). In crisis, we pray: Religiosity and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 192, 541-583.
- Berger, P. L. (1967). *The Sacred Canopy: Elements of a Sociological Theory of Religion*. Anchor Book.
- Berman, J. Z., & Silver, I. (2022). Prosocial behavior and reputation: When does doing good lead to looking good? *Curent Opinion in Psychology*, 43, 102-107.
- Bročić, M., & Miles, A. (2021). College and the "Culture War": Assessing Higher Education's Influence on Moral Attitudes. *American Sociological Review*, 86(5).
- Cavallini, E., Rosi, A., Ceccato, I., Ronchi, L., & Lecce, S. (2021). Prosociality in aging: The contribution of traits and empathic concern. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 176.
- Cox, D. (1987). Motives for Private Transfers. *Journal of Political Economy*, 95(3), 508-546.
- Cuesta-Valiño, P., Penelas-Leguía, A., López-Sanz, J. M., & Ravina-Ripoll, R. (2024). Job satisfaction and happiness keys in the prosocial behavior of citizens in Europe. *BMC Psychology*, 12, 524.
- Cui, X., Li, B., He, R., Zhang, S., & Lei, L. (2021). The effects of prosocial spending on subjective well-being and its mechanism. *Advances in Psychological Science*, 29(7), 1279-1290.
- Dai, L., & Guo, Y. (2024). The problem and countermeasures of the failure of the rular social security system to reduce poverty. *Discover Sustainability*, 5, 54.
- Davis, L., & Rodriguez, Z. (2024). Do religious beliefs matter for economic values? *Journal of Institutional Economics*, 20.

- Doñate-Buendía, A., García-Gallego, A., & Petrović, M. (2022). meta-analysis, Gender and other moderators of giving in the dictator game: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 198, 280-301.
- Effendi, T. N. (2013). Budaya gotong royong masyarakat dalam perubahan sosial saat ini. *Jurnal Pemikiran Sosiologi*, 2(1).
- Erlandsson, A., Dickert, S., Moche, H., Västfjälla, D., & Chapman, C. (2024). Beneficiary effects in prosocial decision making: Understanding unequal valuations of lives. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 35(2), 293-340.
- Farid, M. (2021). Pembagian dan pengelolaan zakat. *Al-Iqtishad: Jurnal Ekonomi*, 1(1).
- Feener, R. M., & Wu, K. (2020). The ethics of religious giving in Asia: introduction. *Journal of Contemporary Religion*, 35, 1-12.
- Foster, A. D., & Rosenzweig, M. R. (2001). Imperfect Commitment, Altruism, and the Family: Evidence from Transfer Behavior in Low-Income Rural Areas. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 83(3), 389-407.
- Geertz, C. (1973). *The Interpretation of Cultures*. Basic Books.
- Giles, J., & Mu, R. (2024). Migration, Growth, and Poverty Reduction in Rural China: Retrospect and Prospects. *Policy Research Working Paper*.
- Grimm, M., Hartwig, R., Reitmann, A.-K., & Bocoum, F. Y. (2021). Inter-household transfers: An empirical investigation of the income-transfer relationship with novel data from Burkina Faso. *World Development*, 144.
- Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic Econometrics (5th ed.)*. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Hartmann, P., Eisend, M., Apaolaza, V., & D'Souza, C. (2017). Warm glow vs. altruistic values: How important is intrinsic emotional reward in proenvironmental behavior? *Journal of Environment Psychology*, 52, 43-55.
- Hou, C., Li, S., Shi, H., & Liu, Z. (2024). The influence of social exclusion on prosocial behavior of college students: the role of relational need threat and regulatory focus. *Frontiers Psychology*, 15.
- Huber, S., & Huber, O. W. (2012). The Centrality of Religiosity Scale (CRS). *Religions*, 3(3), 710-724.
- Jackson, K. (2022). Charitable giving with stochastic income. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics*, 97.

- Kelly, J. M., Kramer, S. R., & Shariff, A. F. (2024). Religiosity Predicts Prosociality, Especially When Measured by Self-Report: A Meta-Analysis of Almost 60 Years of Research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 150(3), 284-318.
- Kuang, Y., Wang, F., & Wang, Z. (2020). Social Class and Children's Prosociality: A Study in the Context of China's Dual Urban–Rural Structure. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 12(1).
- Ifirević, N., Arslanagić-Kalajdžić, M., & Lep, Ž. (2023). The role of higher education and civic involvement in converting young adults' social responsibility to prosocial behavior. *Scientific Reports*, 13(2559).
- Lin, J., Li, W., & Kou, Y. (2023). When and why does economic inequality predict prosocial behaviour? Examining the role of interpersonal trust among different targets. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 54(1), 136-153.
- Moche, H., & Västfjäll, D. (2021). To give or to take money? The effects of choice on prosocial spending and happiness. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 17(5), 742-753.
- Musgrave, R. A. (1959). *The Theory of Public Finance: A Study in Public Economy*.
- Nagel, T. (1970). *The possibility of altruism*. Princeton University Press.
- Nur, H., Taat, M. S., & Anwar, S. (2020). Alms Giving Behavior of Muslim Communities in Indonesia and Malaysia: A Comparative Study. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi Syariah (Journal of Islamic Economics)*, 12(1), 1-16.
- Nurman, Yusriadi, Y., & Hamim, S. (2022). Development of Pluralism Education in Indonesia: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, 9(3), 106-120.
- Olmos-Gómez, M. d., Ruiz-Garzón, F., Azancot-Chocron, D., & López-Cordero, R. (2023). Prosocial behaviour axioms and values: Influence of gender and volunteering. *Psicologia: Reflexão e Crítica*, 36(16).
- Ongudi, S., Thiam, D., & Wagner, N. (2023). Public transfers and crowding-in and -out of private transfers: Experimental evidence from Kenya. *World Development Perspectives*.
- Orenti, A., Zolin, A., Marubini, E., Antonelli, P., Ambrogi, F., & Cesana, B. (2024). Robust Regression as a Sensible Alternative to the Weighted Ordinary Least Squares Regression in case of Heteroskedasticity. A Tutorial. *Epidemiology Biostatistics Public Health*, 19(1).

- Purwatiningsih, A. P., Rahmadieni, R. Y., Setyawati, H. A., & Karim, B. A. (2023). Do Gender, Financial Income, And Religiosity Influence Indonesian's Donations? *International Journal Ihya' 'Ulum al-Din.*, 25(1).
- Ramazani, A., & Kermani, M. (2022). Spiritualism versus materialism: can religiosity reduce conspicuous consumption. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 13(8), 1639-1655.
- Rasheed, B. A., Adnan, R., Saffari, S. E., & Pati, K. d. (2014). Robust Weighted Least Squares Estimation of Regression Parameter in the Presence of Outliers and Heteroscedastic Errors. *Science & Technology*, 71(1), 11-17.
- Reich, L. M., Mahr, L. A., Vacondio, M., & Khalid, A. S. (2022). The Effect of Ingroup vs. Outgroup Members' Behavior on Charity Preference: A Drift-Diffusion Model Approach. *Frontiers Psychology*, 13.
- Romano, A., Stefania, B., Wilhelm, H., Matthias, P., & Matthias, S. (2021). Genorosity and cooperation across the life span: A lab-in-the-field study. *Psychology and aging*, 36(1), 108.
- Sheng, J., Luo, S., Jiang, B., Hu, Y., Lin, S., Wang, L., . . . Chen, J. (2022). How prosocial behaviors are maintained in China: The relationship between communist authority and prosociality. *Frontier Psychology*, 13.
- Sparrow, E., Swirsky, L., Kudus, F., & Spaniol, J. (2021). Aging and altruism: A meta-analysis. *Psychology and Aging*, 36(1), 49-56.
- Suss, J. (2023). Higher income individuals are more generous when local economic inequality is high. *Plos one*, 18(6).
- Susyanti, S., Tabroni, I., & Rabiatul, S. (2022). Pola asuh extended family terhadap tumbuh kembang anak. *Al-Madrasah*, 6(3).
- Thu, T. V., Vu, D., Lee, K., & Mai, L. N. (2021). Prosocial Behaviors Among the Vietnamese Population During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Implications for Social Education Programs. *The Open Psychology Journal*, 14, 342-350.
- Triandaru, S., & Mukhopadhyaya, P. (2024). Is Private Transfer in Indonesia Altruistic? Empirical Analysis on Private Household Transfers Motivated by Altruism, Exchange, and Risk Sharing. *Southeast Asian Journal of Economics*, 12(2), 1-30.
- Wicaksono, P., Murniati, N., & Aufari, M. R. (2024). Does Religiosity Impact Productivity? Insight from Indonesia Family Life Survey. *Educational Administration Theory and Practice Journal*, 30(5), 14163-14173.

- Williamson, O. E. (2000). The New Institutional Economics: Taking Stock, Looking Ahead. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 38(3), 595-613.
- Yuliawati, L. (2024). Unveiling the veil: exploring how wellbeing motivations shape anonymous and public prosocial behavior in Indonesia. *BMC Psychology*, 12(299).
- Zheng, X., Chen, J., & Li, Y. (2021). The association between charitable giving and happiness: Evidence from the Chinese General Social Survey. *Quality & Quantity*, 55, 2103-2138.



LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 Data Variabel IFLS Wave 5

Variabel	Buku	Kode	Definisi
<i>Private Transfer</i>	IIIB	TF04	Apa yang Ibu/Bapak/Sdr berikan kepada [...] dalam 12 bulan terakhir, dan berapa jumlahnya?
Religiusitas Subjektif	IIIA	TR13	Berapa kali Ibu/Bapak/Sdr shalat/sembahyang dalam sehari?
Pendapatan	K	AR15b	Berapa jumlah pendapatan yang diperoleh dari bekerja selama 12 bulan terakhir
	II	UT09	Kira-kira berapa rupiah jumlah penerimaan bersih (keuntungan) dari hasil usaha tani dalam 12 bulan terakhir?
	II	UT14	Berapa rupiah jumlah hasil sewa/kontrak/bagi hasil [...] dalam 12 bulan terakhir?
	II	NT09	Kira-kira berapa rupiah jumlah penerimaan bersih (keuntungan) dari hasil usaha [...] ini dalam 12 bulan terakhir?
	II	NT26	Berapa rupiah nilai seluruh hasil sewa atau bagi hasil dari barang-barang (harta) yang digunakan untuk usaha [...] ini dalam 12 bulan terakhir?
	II	HR05	Berapa jumlah hasil sewa/kontrak/bunga/bagi hasil [...] dalam 12 bulan terakhir?
	II	HI14	Berapa rupiah jumlah penghasilan semua anggota rumah tangga dari [...] dalam 12 bulan terakhir?
Pendidikan	IIIA	DL06	Apa tingkat pendidikan tertinggi yang pernah/sedang diikuti oleh Ibu/Bapak/Sdr?
Umur	IIIA	COV3	Berapa umur Ibu/Bapak/Sdr.?
Jenis Kelamin	IIIA	COV5	Jenis Kelamin
Area	K	SC05	Daerah

Lampiran 2 Hasil Uji Multikolinearitas

```
. correlate religious logincome educ gender ages area
(obs=28,197)
```

	religi~s	loginc~e	educ	gender	ages	area
religious	1.0000					
logincome	0.0331	1.0000				
educ	0.0355	0.2702	1.0000			
gender	-0.0300	0.0795	0.0450	1.0000		
ages	0.1259	0.0675	-0.2272	-0.1289	1.0000	
area	-0.0323	-0.2163	-0.2407	0.0371	0.0213	1.0000

Lampiran 3 Hasil Regresi Model 1

```
. regress logtransfer religious, robust
```

```
Linear regression               Number of obs   =       856
                                F(1, 854)         =       0.32
                                Prob > F           =     0.5712
                                R-squared          =     0.0003
                                Root MSE       =     1.5428
```

logtransfer	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
religious	.0112538	.0198679	0.57	0.571	-.0277418	.0502495
_cons	12.60642	.1059831	118.95	0.000	12.39841	12.81444

Lampiran 4 Hasil Regresi Model 2

```
. regress logtransfer religious logincome educ ages gender area, robust
```

```
Linear regression               Number of obs   =       808
                                F(6, 801)         =     14.44
                                Prob > F           =     0.0000
                                R-squared          =     0.0769
                                Root MSE       =     1.5096
```

logtransfer	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
religious	-.0068139	.0212239	-0.32	0.748	-.048475	.0348472
logincome	.1995841	.0464127	4.30	0.000	.1084793	.2906889
educ	.0581688	.0144505	4.03	0.000	.0298035	.086534
ages	-.0009297	.0046112	-0.20	0.840	-.0099812	.0081218
gender	.2306909	.2296359	1.00	0.315	-.2200682	.6814501
area	-.1716997	.1082579	-1.59	0.113	-.3842023	.0408029
_cons	8.651977	.7786458	11.11	0.000	7.12355	10.1804