

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abdelhady, M. H., Kamal, N. M., Abd, H., & Samie, E. (2020). Impact of Affiliate Marketing on Customer Loyalty. In *Journal of the Faculty of Tourism and Hotels-University of Sadat City* (Vol. 4, Issue 1).
- Adel, M. A. (2023). The Influence of Affiliate Marketing on Tourist Decision-Making. In *JAAUTH* (Vol. 25, Issue 1). <https://jaauth.journals.ekb.eg>
- Agustin, D., Ashri, D., & Wahjudi, S. (2023). *Shopee Affiliate Viral Marketing's Effect on Generation Z Buying Interests in Purchasing Fashion Products on Shopee*. 51–56. <https://doi.org/10.5220/0011875700003582>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Alexandrescu, M.-B., & Milandru, M. (2018a). Promotion as a form of Communication of the Marketing Strategy. *Land Forces Academy Review*, 23(4), 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.2478/raft-2018-0033>
- Alexandrescu, M.-B., & Milandru, M. (2018b). Promotion as a form of Communication of the Marketing Strategy. *Land Forces Academy Review*, 23(4), 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.2478/raft-2018-0033>
- Asadiyah, E., Ilma, M. A., Rozi, M. F., & Putri, K. A. S. (2023). The Role of Affiliate Marketing on Purchase Decision Moderated Purchase Interest on Tiktok. *Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting*, 23(23), 76–84. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2023/v23i231172>
- Azmi, N., Afriyani, T., & Kurniaty, D. (2025). The Influence of TikTok Affiliate Digital Marketing Strategy on Generation Z Purchase Intentions in Jakarta, Indonesia. *Golden Ratio of Marketing and Applied Psychology of Business*, 5(1), 168–184. <https://doi.org/10.52970/grmapb.v5i1.891>
- Bougie & Sekaran. (2019). *Research Methods For Business: A Skill Building Approach* (8th edition). Wiley Global Education US.
- Chew, S. S., & Leng, H. K. (2016). The Role of Social Influence in Purchasing Sports Apparel. *Athens Journal of Sports*, 3(4), 275–284. <https://doi.org/10.30958/ajspo.3.4.4>
- Chiang, C.-H. (2018). Experiential Value affects Purchase Intentions for Online-to-Offline Goods: Consumer Feedback as a Mediator. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 6(2), 2333–6099. <https://doi.org/10.15640/jmm.v6n2a2>
- Creswell, John. W. (2017). Research Design (Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches). *SAGE Publications*.
- Crowder, M. J. et al. (2017). *Statistical Analysis of Reliability Data*. CHAPMAN & HALL/CRC.
- Diputra, I. A. W., & Yasa, N. N. (2021). The Influence of Product Quality, Brand Image, Brand Trust on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty. In *American International Journal of Business Management (AIJBM)* (Vol. 4, Issue 01).

- Dung & An. (2024). Research on the Purchase Intention Through Tiktok Affiliate. *IRJEMS International Research Journal of Economics and Management Studies*.
- Fratama, F., Pardede, R., Samara, A., & Susanti, M. (2023). The Influence of Trust and Perceived Usefulness on Purchase Intention with Price Mediation. *International Journal of Economics (IJE)*, 2(2), 833–840. <https://doi.org/10.55299/ijec.v2i2.660>
- Goh, S. K., Jiang, N., Faiz, M., Hak, A., & Tee, P. L. (2016). International Review of Management and Marketing Determinants of Smartphone Repeat Purchase Intention among Malaysians: A Moderation Role of Social Influence and a Mediating Effect of Consumer Satisfaction. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 6(4), 993–1004. <http://www.econjournals.com>
- Ha, N. T., Nguyen, T. L. H., Nguyen, T. P. L., & Nguyen, T. Do. (2019). The effect of trust on consumers' online purchase intention: An integration of tam and tpb. *Management Science Letters*, 9(9), 1451–1460. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.5.006>
- Hair et al. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: Vol. 7th edition*. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hardianawati. (2023). Affiliated Marketing Content in Shopee Through TikTok Media on Purchase Decisions. *Revista de Gestao Social e Ambiental*, 17(4). <https://doi.org/10.24857/rgsa.v17n4-025>
- He, H., & Zhu, L. (2020). Online shopping green product quality supervision strategy with consumer feedback and collusion behavior. *PLoS ONE*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229471>
- Helmi, S. S. A. L. S. (2022). The Role of Brand Image as a Mediation of The Effect of Advertising and Sales Promotion on Customer Purchase Decision. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*. <https://doi.org/10.7176/jesd/13-8-09>
- Hervina Sihombing, E., Tambunan, D., & Amelia, R. (2023). The Influence of Marketing and Affiliate Marketing Communications on Increasing Sales Value (Study The Case of Online Merchants on the Shopee Marketplace in Medan City). *Jurnal Ekonomi*, 12(03), 2023. <http://ejournal.seaninstitute.or.id/index.php/Ekonomi>
- Hidayat, R., Menhard, M., Charli, C. O., Masnum, A., & Hartoyo, B. (2023). Determination Purchase Intention and Purchase Decision: Brand Image and Promotion Analysis (Marketing Management Literature Review). *Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting*. <https://doi.org/10.38035/dijefa.v4i1>
- Iriani, S. S., & Andjarwati, A. L. (2020). Analysis of Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and Perceived Risk Toward Online Shopping in The Era of Covid-19 Pandemic. In *Systematic Reviews in Pharmacy* (Vol. 11, Issue 12).
- Isma, A. R., Hudayah, S., & Indriastuti, H. (2021). The Influence of Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and Perceived Risk on Purchase Interest

- and Use Behavior Through Bukalapak Application in Samarinda. *Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR) Peer Reviewed-International Journal*, 5(3). <https://jurnal.stie-aas.ac.id/index.php/IJEBAR>
- Kaur, P., Stoltzfus, J., & Yellapu, V. (2018). Descriptive statistics. *International Journal of Academic Medicine*, 4(1), 60. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJAM.IJAM_7_18
- Marmat, G. (2022). Online brand communication and building brand trust: social information processing theory perspective. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*, 71(6–7), 584–604. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GKMC-12-2020-0195>
- Mulla, S. (2022). consumer buying behavior. *IJFANS International Journal of Food and Nutritional Sciences*.
- Nengah, N., & Kertiriasih, R. (2023). *The Effect of Affiliate Marketing on Consumer Behavior, Purchase Intention and Purchase Decision*.
- Patwary, A. K., Mohamed, M., Rabiul, M. K., Mehmood, W., Ashraf, M. U., & Adamu, A. A. (2022). Green purchasing behaviour of international tourists in Malaysia using green marketing tools: theory of planned behaviour perspective. *Nankai Business Review International*, 13(2), 246–265. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NBRI-06-2021-0044>
- Phuong Dung, P. T., Minh An, H., Huy, P. Q., & Dinh Quy, N. Le. (2023). Understanding the startup's intention of digital marketing's learners: An application of the theory of planned behavior (TPB) and technology acceptance method (TAM). *Cogent Business and Management*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2219415>
- Pratista, N. D., & Marsasi, E. G. (2023). Effects Of Perceived Usefulness And Perceived Ease Of Use For Driving Purchase Intention. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, 28(03), 488–509. <https://doi.org/10.24912/jm.v28i3.1940>
- Pulido, C. M., Redondo-Sama, G., Sordé-Martí, T., & Flecha, R. (2018). Social impact in social media: A new method to evaluate the social impact of research. *PLoS ONE*, 13(8). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203117>
- Purwanto, A., & Sudargini, Y. (2021). Partial Least Squares Structural Suation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Analysis for Social and Management Research : A Literature Review. *Journal of Industrial Engineering & Management Research*, 2(4). <https://doi.org/10.7777/jiemar.v2i4>
- Raborar, J., Jr Etrata, A., Timothy Giron, K. F., Uriah Alcantara, M. M., Ivan Gonzaga, A. O., Lou Raborar, J. O., John Woo Ventura, A., & Etrata, A. E. (2025). Can Affiliate Posts as a Marketing Strategy Influence Generation Z's Purchase Intention? *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, 14, 53. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388628839>
- Raji, R. A., Rashid, S., & Ishak, S. (2019). The mediating effect of brand image on the relationships between social media advertising content, sales promotion content and behaviuoral intention. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 13(3), 302–330. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-01-2018-0004>

- Rehman, S. U., Bhatti, A., Mohamed, R., & Ayoup, H. (2019). The moderating role of trust and commitment between consumer purchase intention and online shopping behavior in the context of Pakistan. *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40497-019-0166-2>
- Sadriwala, M. F., & Sadriwala, K. F. (2022). Perceived Usefulness and Ease of Use of Artificial Intelligence on Marketing Innovation. *International Journal of Innovation in the Digital Economy*, 13(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijide.292010>
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2021). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. In *Handbook of Market Research* (pp. 1–47). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-2
- Stewart, K. J. (2011). Trust Transfer on the Communication. *Organization Science*, Vol. 17, No. 1.
- Toska, A., Zeqiri, J., Ramadani, V., & Ribeiro-Navarrete, S. (2023). Covid-19 and consumers' online purchase intention among an older-aged group of Kosovo. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 18(12), 5921–5939. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-12-2021-1875>
- Wang, M., Sun, L. L., & Hou, J. D. (2021). How emotional interaction affects purchase intention in social commerce: the role of perceived usefulness and product type. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*, 14, 467–481. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PRBM.S301286>
- Ye, W. J., & Lee, A. J. T. (2021). Mining sentiment tendencies and summaries from consumer reviews. *Information Systems and E-Business Management*, 19(1), 107–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-020-00482-4>

APPENDIX

Appendix 1 Draft of Questionnaire

Section 1 of 10

Halo!

Perkenalkan saya Margaretha Vania Clarissa dari jurusan Manajemen Internasional di Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. Saat ini saya sedang menempuh tugas akhir sebagai syarat untuk kelulusan dengan melakukan penelitian mengenai "**Dampak Konten Pemasaran TikTok Affiliate Terhadap Niat Beli Gen Z**".

Saya mengucapkan terima kasih atas ketersediaan Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i untuk meluangkan waktu dalam pengisian kuesioner ini. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk memahami bagaimana indikator Persepsi Kegunaan, Kepercayaan, Promosi, Umpan Balik, Dampak Sosial terhadap Niat Beli Melalui Pemasaran TikTok *Affiliate*.

Dengan ini, saya memohon waktu dan kesediaan Anda untuk mengisi kuesioner singkat ini. Waktu yang dibutuhkan untuk mengisi kuesioner ini diperkirakan akan memakan waktu 5-10 menit.

Kriteria responden adalah sebagai berikut:

1. Merupakan Generasi Z (lahir antara tahun 1997-2012).
2. Pernah menonton video promosi *affiliate* di platform TikTok setidaknya 3x dalam kurun waktu 6 bulan terakhir.

Data yang Anda berikan dalam kuesioner ini akan sangat berharga untuk mendapatkan wawasan yang lebih mengenai pengaruh konten pemasaran afiliasi TikTok pada niat beli Gen Z di Indonesia. **Saya menjamin bahwa jawaban Anda akan dirahasiakan dan hanya akan digunakan untuk keperluan**

akademis. Bila ada hal yang ingin ditanyakan mengenai kuesioner ini, Anda dapat menghubungi email : vaniaclarissa1516@gmail.com.

Saya sangat menghargai waktu dan partisipasi anda. Mohon untuk menjawab seluruh pertanyaan dengan jujur dan teliti.

Terima kasih.

Link : <https://forms.gle/aPryRSNHRw9rPJy9>



Section 2 of 10

Filter Question 1

1. Apakah Anda termasuk Generasi Z? (lahir antara tahun 1997 - 2012)
 - ☐ **Ya**
 - ☐ **Tidak**

Section 3 of 10

Filter Question 2

1. Apakah Anda pernah menonton video promosi affiliate di platform TikTok setidaknya 3x dalam kurun waktu 6 bulan terakhir?
 - ☐ **Ya**
 - ☐ **Tidak**

Apa itu **Affiliate**?

Affiliate adalah bentuk kerja sama di mana seseorang membantu mempromosikan produk atau layanan milik perusahaan atau toko, lalu mendapatkan **komisi** (uang) jika ada orang yang membeli lewat link yang ditautkan. Orang yang melakukan ini disebut **affiliate** **marketer**.

Klik next/selanjutnya untuk mengisi kuesioner.

Section 4 of 10

PERCEIVED USEFULNESS (PERSEPSI KEGUNAAN)

Perceived usefulness atau persepsi kegunaan adalah sejauh mana seseorang percaya bahwa menggunakan sistem atau teknologi tertentu akan meningkatkan kinerja atau produktivitas mereka.

PETUNJUK PENGISIAN

Survei ini menggunakan Likert Scale 1-5, dengan panduan angka sebagai berikut :

1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju

2 = Tidak Setuju

3 = Netral

4 = Setuju

5 = Sangat Setuju

1. TikTok *affiliate* dikenal menawarkan produk berkualitas baik.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

2. Produk yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok *affiliate* sering kali sesuai dengan kebutuhan saya dan keluarga.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

3. Produk bermerek juga tersedia melalui TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

4. TikTok *affiliate* menawarkan produk yang relevan dengan kebutuhan saya.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

5. TikTok *affiliate* menyediakan layanan pelanggan yang baik

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

Section 5 of 10

TRUST (KEPERCAYAAN)

Trust atau kepercayaan adalah persepsi umum mengenai toko online yang memengaruhi tindakan mereka.

1. Saya merasa merek yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok *affiliate* dapat dipercaya.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

2. *Review* yang diberikan oleh TikTok *affiliate* sering saya jadikan pertimbangan.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

3. Garansi dan layanan *after-sales* dari penjual memengaruhi kepercayaan saya pada produk yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

4. Saya percaya dengan apa yang dikatakan oleh TikTok *affiliate* tentang produk dan layanan

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2

- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

5. Berdasarkan pengalaman saya, TikTok *affiliate* bisa dipercaya, jujur, dan peduli pada pelanggan.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

Section 6 of 10

PROMOTION (PROMOSI)

Promotion atau Promosi sebagai proses mendidik, mempengaruhi, membujuk, dan mengingatkan target pasar tentang bisnis dan penawaran mereka untuk meyakinkan orang untuk menerima dan membeli apa yang ditawarkan.

1. Diskon dari TikTok *affiliate* menjadi salah satu hal yang saya perhatikan.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

2. Bonus atau keuntungan tambahan dari TikTok *affiliate* menjadi daya tarik tersendiri bagi saya.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

3. Diskon eksklusif, beli 1 gratis 1, atau *flash sale* melalui TikTok *affiliate* menjadi bagian yang menarik perhatian saya.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

4. Promosi flash sale dari TikTok affiliate sering saya perhatikan.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

Section 7 of 10

FEEDBACK (UMPAN BALIK)

Feedback atau Umpan balik konsumen dapat disebut sebagai informasi yang diberikan pelanggan mengenai pengalaman mereka menggunakan produk atau layanan.

1. Saya memperhatikan jumlah likes dan komentar di video TikTok *affiliate* sebelum mempertimbangkan pembelian suatu produk.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

2. *Review* positif dari pembeli sebelumnya di video TikTok *affiliate* membantu saya memahami produk tersebut.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

3. Komentar atau *feedback* dari pembeli sebelumnya di video TikTok *affiliate* memberi gambaran tentang produk yang ditawarkan.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

4. Saya pernah membagikan *review* saya ke orang lain setelah menggunakan produk yang saya beli dari TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

5. Saya merasa perlu memberi *review* kepada penjual setelah saya membeli produk dari TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

Section 8 of 10

SOCIAL IMPACT (PENGARUH SOSIAL)

Dampak sosial menggambarkan bagaimana informasi mempengaruhi kesejahteraan masyarakat serta perilaku, praktik, dan aktivitas individu dan kolektif mereka.

1. Saya mempertimbangkan pendapat teman-teman saya saat melihat produk yang ditawarkan TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Sangat Setuju

2. Saya mempertimbangkan pendapat keluarga dan kerabat saya saat melihat produk yang ditawarkan TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Sangat Setuju

3. Saya pernah membeli produk yang direkomendasikan oleh TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Sangat Setuju

4. Melakukan pembelian melalui TikTok *affiliate* adalah salah satu cara untuk mengekspresikan diri.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- 1
- 2
- 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

Section 9 of 10

PURCHASE INTENT THROUGH TIKTOK AFFILIATE MARKETING (NIAT PEMBELIAN MELALUI PEMASARAN AFILIASI TIKTOK)

Purchase Intent atau Niat beli adalah kecenderungan seseorang untuk membeli suatu merek atau melakukan tindakan yang berhubungan dengan pembelian.

1. Menurut saya, membeli produk melalui TikTok affiliate adalah pilihan yang bijak.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

2. Saya bersedia melakukan pembelian melalui TikTok *affiliate*.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

☐ 1

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 4

☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

3. Saya akan terus menggunakan TikTok *affiliate* untuk pembelian saya.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

4. Saya akan menyarankan teman dan keluarga untuk menonton video TikTok *affiliate* sebelum melakukan pembelian.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

5. Saya akan merekomendasikan pembelian produk dari TikTok *affiliate* ketika orang lain meminta saran.

Sangat Tidak Setuju

- ☐ 1
- ☐ 2
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 4
- ☐ 5

Sangat Setuju

Section 10 of 10

DEMOGRAFI RESPONDEN

Pertanyaan ini digunakan untuk mengetahui demografi responden.


1. Gender

- 
- Laki-Laki
 - Perempuan
 - 2. Pendapatan per bulan
 - Rp. 0 - Rp. 1.500.000
 - Rp. 1.500.000 - Rp. 3.000.000
 - Rp.3.000.000 - Rp.4.500.000
 - >Rp. 4.500.000
 - 3. Pekerjaan Anda saat ini
 - Pelajar/Mahasiswa
 - Wirausahawan
 - Karyawan
 - Lainnya
 - 4. Domisili berdasarkan provinsi
 - Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam
 - Sumatera Utara
 - Sumatera Selatan
 - Sumatera Barat
 - Bengkulu
 - Riau
 - Kepulauan Riau
 - Jambi
 - Lampung
 - Bangka Belitung
 - Kalimantan Barat
 - Kalimantan Timur
 - Kalimantan Selatan
 - Kalimantan Tengah
 - Kalimantan Utara
 - Banten
 - DKI Jakarta

- Jawa Barat
- Jawa Tengah
- DI Yogyakarta
- Jawa Timur
- Bali
- Nusa Tenggara Timur
- Nusa Tenggara Barat
- Gorontalo
- Sulawesi Barat
- Sulawesi Tengah
- Sulawesi Utara
- Sulawesi Tenggara
- Sulawesi Selatan
- Maluku Utara
- Maluku
- Papua Barat
- Papua
- Papua Tengah
- Papua Pegunungan
- Papua Selatan
- Papua Barat Daya

Terima Kasih telah berpartisipasi dalam pengisian kuesioner ini!
Have a nice day :)

Appendix 2 Prove of Submission from Respondents



Appendix 3 Raw Data

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ						
	Cap waktu	Apakah Anda termasuk Generasi Z? (lahir antara tahun 1997 - 2012)	Apakah Anda pernah menonton video promosi affiliate di platform TikTok setidaknya 3x dalam kurun waktu 6 bulan terakhir?	TikTok affiliate dikenal menawarkan produk berkualitas baik.	Produk yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok affiliate sering kali sesuai dengan kebutuhan saya dan keluarga.	Produk bermerek juga tersedia melalui TikTok affiliate.	TikTok affiliate menawarkan produk yang relevan dengan kebutuhan saya.	TikTok affiliate menyediakan layanan pelanggan yang baik.	Saya merasa merek yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok affiliate dapat dipercaya.	Review yang diberikan oleh TikTok affiliate sering kali memberikan pertimbangan.	Garansi dan layanan after sales dari penjual memengaruhi kepercayaan saya pada produk yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok affiliate.	Saya percaya dengan apa yang dikatakan oleh TikTok affiliate tentang produk dan layanan.	Berdasarkan pengalaman saya, TikTok affiliate bisa dipercaya, jujur, dan peduli pada pelanggan.	Diskon dari TikTok affiliate menjadi salah satu hal yang saya perhatikan.	Bonus atau keuntungan tambahan dari TikTok affiliate menjadi daya tarik tersendiri bagi saya.	Diskon eksklusif, beli 1 gratis 1, atau flash sale melalui TikTok affiliate menjadi bagian yang menarik perhatian saya.	Promosi flash sale dari TikTok affiliate sering saya perhatikan.	Saya sempat akan membeli produk sebelum melihat komentar di video TikTok affiliate.	Review positif dari pembeli sebelumnya di video TikTok affiliate membantu saya memahami gambaran tentang produk yang ditawarkan.	Komentar atau feedback dari pembeli sebelumnya di video TikTok affiliate.	Saya pernah membagikan review kepada orang lain setelah membeli produk yang saya beli dari TikTok affiliate.	Saya merasa perlu memberikan review kepada penjual setelah membeli produk yang saya beli dari TikTok affiliate.	Saya mempertimbangkan pendapat teman-teman saya saat melihat produk yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok affiliate.	Saya mempertimbangkan pendapat kerabat saya saat melihat produk yang ditawarkan oleh TikTok affiliate.	Saya pernah membeli produk yang direkomendasikan oleh TikTok affiliate.	Melakukan pembelian melalui TikTok affiliate adalah salah satu cara untuk mengeksplorasi pilihan yang bijak.	Menurut saya, pembelian melalui TikTok affiliate adalah pilihan yang bijak.	Saya bersedia melakukan pembelian melalui TikTok affiliate.	Saya akan terus menggunakan TikTok affiliate untuk pembelian saya.	Saya akan menyarankan teman dan keluarga untuk menonton video TikTok affiliate sebelum melakukan pembelian.	Saya akan merekomendasikan pembelian dari TikTok affiliate ketika orang lain meminta saran.	Gender	Pendapatan per bulan	Pekerjaan Anda saat ini	Domisili berdasarkan provinsi							
1																																										
2	2025/05/C	Ya		5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta						
3	2025/05/C	Tidak																																								
4	2025/05/C	Ya		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta			
5	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	3	Perempuan	Rp.1.500.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta		
6	2025/05/C	Ya		3	3	5	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	Perempuan	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta					
7	2025/05/C	Ya		5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Laki-laki	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta		
8	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Perempuan	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta		
9	2025/05/C	Ya		4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	Laki-laki	>Rp. 4.500	Wiraswasti	Jawa Timur		
10	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	Perempuan	Rp.1.500.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta					
11	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.	Pelajar/M	Bali		
12	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp.1.500.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta			
13	2025/05/C	Ya		4	3	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	3	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	Laki-laki	Rp.1.500.	Pelajar/M	Kalimantan Barat		
14	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.	Pelajar/M	Jawa Tengah	
15	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp.1.500.	Lainnya	DI Yogyakarta		
16	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	Perempuan	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta	
17	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta	
18	2025/05/C	Ya		4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	Perempuan	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Jawa Timur		
19	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta	
20	2025/05/C	Ya		5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	Perempuan	Rp.1.500.	Pelajar/M	DKI Jakarta		
21	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	Laki-laki	>Rp. 4.500	Karyawan	Jawa Barat		
22	2025/05/C	Tidak																																								
23	2025/05/C	Ya		4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp.1.500.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta		
24	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.	Wiraswasti	DKI Jakarta	
25	2025/05/C	Ya		4	5	3	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	Laki-laki	>Rp. 4.500	Wiraswasti	Jawa Tengah		
26	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	Laki-laki	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta	
27	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.	Pelajar/M	Banten	
28	2025/05/C	Ya		5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	4	3	3	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Perempuan	>Rp. 4.500	Karyawan	Jawa Timur	
29	2025/05/C	Ya		4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	Perempuan	Rp.1.500.	Karyawan	DI Yogyakarta	
30	2025/05/C	Ya		5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	Laki-laki	>Rp. 4.500	Wiraswasti	Jawa Timur	
31	2025/05/C	Ya		5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	Perempuan	Rp.0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DKI Jakarta	
	<	>	hasil kuesioner affiliate				+																																			

hasil kuesioner affiliate

+

:

Continue – Raw Data

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK			
30	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	Laki-laki	>Rp. 4.50k	Wirausahi	Jawa Timur				
31	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	3	4	5	5	4	4	3	5	4	3	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	Perempuan	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	DKI Jakarta				
32	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	Perempuan	>Rp. 4.50k	Pelajar/M	Banten				
33	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	3	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.i	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta				
34	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.i	Wirausahi	Kalimantan Selatan				
35	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Karyawan	Gorontalo				
36	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Banten				
37	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	Laki-laki	>Rp. 4.50k	Lainnya	Banten				
38	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.i	Pelajar/M	DKI Jakarta				
39	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	Perempuan	>Rp. 4.50k	Pelajar/M	Jawa Tengah			
40	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	Laki-laki	Rp. 1.500.	Lainnya	DI Yogyakarta				
41	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	Laki-laki	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam				
42	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Wirausahi	Sumatera Utara				
43	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	Laki-laki	Rp.3.000.i	Karyawan	Sumatera Selatan				
44	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Wirausahi	Kalimantan Tengah			
45	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	Laki-laki	>Rp. 4.50k	Wirausahi	Maluku Utara				
46	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta				
47	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.i	Pelajar/M	Jawa Tengah				
48	2025/05/C	Ya	Ya	4	5	2	3	5	5	4	2	3	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	3	5	4	5	4	5	3	4	Perempuan	>Rp. 4.50k	Pelajar/M	DI Yogyakarta				
49	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.i	Wirausahi	DI Yogyakarta				
50	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	2	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	4	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Wirausahi	Papua Selatan				
51	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	2	3	1	2	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.i	Lainnya	Maluku Utara			
52	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Pelajar/M	Nanggroe Aceh Darussalam			
53	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	3	1	4	3	4	Perempuan	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Lampung				
54	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Pelajar/M	Papua Pegunungan			
55	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	5	4	4	5	2	3	2	2	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	Perempuan	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Bengkulu			
56	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	2	1	3	1	1	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	Perempuan	>Rp. 4.50k	Lainnya	Jawa Barat			
57	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	3	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.i	Pelajar/M	Sumatera Selatan				
58	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	Perempuan	>Rp. 4.50k	Wirausahi	DI Yogyakarta			
59	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	4	Laki-laki	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Kalimantan Selatan				
60	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	3	1	4	4	5	4	5	4	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.i	Pelajar/M	Kepulauan Riau				
61	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	4	1	1	Perempuan	Rp.3.000.i	Karyawan	Nusa Tenggara Timur					
62	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	Perempuan	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Sulawesi Selatan			
63	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Wirausahi	DI Yogyakarta			
64	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Karyawan	Bali			
65	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	4	5	4	5	1	3	5	3	1	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Lainnya	Gorontalo			
66	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	1	5	3	3	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Lainnya	Bangka Belitung			
67	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Lainnya	Sulawesi Selatan			
68	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	Perempuan	Rp. 1.500.	Lainnya	Riau			
69	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	Laki-laki	Rp. 1.500.	Lainnya	Sumatera Utara			
70	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	Perempuan	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Jawa Tengah			
71	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	4	5	4	4	5	2	3	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	Laki-laki	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Jambi				
72	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	Laki-laki	Rp. 0 - Rp.	Pelajar/M	Papua Barat Daya				
73	2025/05/I	Ya	Ya	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	2	1	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	Perempuan	>Rp. 4.50k	Pelajar/M	Sumatera Barat				
< > hasil kuesioner affiliate +																																								

		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ				
73	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya			5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	2	1	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	Perempuan >Rp. 4.500 Pelajar/M Sumatera Barat							
74	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	Perempuan >Rp. 4.500 Pelajar/M DI Yogyakarta					
75	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	Perempuan Rp. 0 - Rp. Pelajar/M Sulawesi Tenggara					
76	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	3	1	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	Perempuan Rp. 0 - Rp. Pelajar/M Jambi					
77	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	Laki-laki Rp. 1.500. Karyawan Bali			
78	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		5	4	5	4	5	4	5	1	1	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	Perempuan Rp. 1.500. Karyawan Papua Tengah			
79	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		4	4	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	Perempuan >Rp. 4.500 Karyawan Papua		
80	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		4	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	Perempuan Rp. 1.500. Wiraswasti DI Yogyakarta		
81	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	Perempuan Rp. 1.500. Lainiya DI Yogyakarta		
82	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		3	4	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	3	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	Perempuan >Rp. 4.500 Pelajar/M DKI Jakarta		
83	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	1	3	3	Perempuan Rp. 1.500. Wiraswasti Nusa Tenggara Barat						
84	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	Perempuan Rp. 0 - Rp. Pelajar/M DI Yogyakarta		
85	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	Perempuan Rp. 1.500. Karyawan Jawa Barat	
86	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		3	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	Perempuan Rp. 1.500. Karyawan Papua Barat Daya	
87	2025/05/1 Ya	Ya		4	5	5	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4</																			

Continue – Raw Data

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y
116 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	2	3	4	1	5	5	5
117 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	2	4	2	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5
118 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5
119 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4
120 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	2	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4
121 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4
122 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
123 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5
124 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	1	5	1	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5
125 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4
126 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4
127 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4
128 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1
129 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		1	2	5	2	3	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5
130 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4
131 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5
132 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
133 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	3	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5
134 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
135 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	1
136 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	3
137 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		4	4	5	5	4	3	5	1	5	3	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5
138 2025/05/1Ya	Ya		5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5				


Universitas ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA																											
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V					
162 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	1	2	5	1	5					
164 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5				
165 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5				
166 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	3	4	3	3	3	3				
167 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4				
168 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4				
169 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5				
170 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	4	4	4	5	2	5	2	1	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5					
171 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1				
172 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			5	4	4	4	4	2	5	3	1	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4				
173 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	1	5	1	2	4	4	4	4	4				
174 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			3	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	4	4				
175 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1			
176 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5			
177 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4			
178 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3			
179 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1			
180 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			3	4	5	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4			
181 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4			
182 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	3			
183 2025/05/1Ya	Ya			3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	</		

Appendix 4 SmartPLS 4 (Data Processing)

Variable Indicator Data

SmartPLS 4													
SmartPLS Edit Themes													
Back Setup Add group Generate groups Clear groups Export to Excel / CSV													
Hasil kuesioner1													
Indicators 28													
Samples 201													
Missing values 0													
Indicators Correlations Data groups Raw data													
Name	No.	Type	Missings	Mean	Median	Scale min	Scale max	Observed min	Observed max	Standard deviation	Excess kurtosis	Skewness	Grainir-von Mises p-value
PCU1.1	1	MET	0	4.035	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.884	1.780	-1.200	0.000
PCU1.2	2	MET	0	4.184	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.826	3.305	-1.605	0.000
PCU1.3	3	MET	0	4.194	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.965	3.350	-1.734	0.000
PCU1.4	4	MET	0	4.174	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	2.196	-1.500	0.000
PCU1.5	5	MET	0	4.124	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.835	3.146	-1.811	0.000
TST2.1	6	MET	0	4.144	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.827	1.648	-1.275	0.000
TST2.2	7	MET	0	4.119	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.860	2.181	-1.399	0.000
TST2.3	8	MET	0	4.159	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.833	2.217	-1.435	0.000
TST2.4	9	MET	0	4.144	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.874	2.512	-1.529	0.000
TST2.5	10	MET	0	4.189	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.906	3.172	-1.599	0.000
PMT3.1	11	MET	0	4.070	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	1.044	1.702	-1.383	0.000
PMT3.2	12	MET	0	4.216	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.863	3.526	-1.697	0.000
PMT3.3	13	MET	0	4.129	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	1.019	2.749	-1.656	0.000
PMT3.4	14	MET	0	4.234	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.875	2.147	-1.372	0.000
FDB4.1	15	MET	0	4.119	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.870	2.759	-1.560	0.000
FDB4.2	16	MET	0	4.229	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.879	2.889	-1.571	0.000
FDB4.3	17	MET	0	4.194	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.829	2.786	-1.560	0.000
FDB4.4	18	MET	0	4.109	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	1.006	1.795	-1.372	0.000
FDB4.5	19	MET	0	4.075	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.872	2.897	-1.592	0.000
SIP5.1	20	MET	0	4.015	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.880	2.362	-1.437	0.000
SIP5.2	21	MET	0	4.149	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.981	2.830	-1.593	0.000
SIP5.3	22	MET	0	4.179	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.845	2.230	-1.435	0.000
SIP5.4	23	MET	0	4.189	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.815	2.354	-1.436	0.000
PIT6.1	24	MET	0	4.164	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.902	2.996	-1.519	0.000
PIT6.2	25	MET	0	4.159	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.911	2.549	-1.474	0.000
PIT6.3	26	MET	0	4.174	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.938	2.572	-1.521	0.000
PIT6.4	27	MET	0	4.134	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.866	2.308	-1.497	0.000
PIT6.5	28	MET	0	4.000	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.967	2.439	-1.430	0.000

First Outer Model



SmartPLS 4

SmartPLS Export Themes

Edit Save Excel HTML Create data file Compare

PLS-SEM algorithm

Graphical output

Final results

Path coefficients

Matrix

List

Bar chart

Indirect effects

Total effects

Outer loadings

Outer weights

Latent variables

Residuals

Conditional direct effects

Conditional indirect effects

Quality criteria

R-square

f-square

Construct reliability and validity

Discriminant validity

Collinearity statistics (VIF)

Model fit

Model selection criteria

Algorithm

Setting

Stop criterion changes

Posthoc minimum sample size

Execution log

© SmartPLS v.4.1.1.2

Path coefficients - Matrix

	FDB	PCU	PIT	PMT	SIP	TST
FDB			0.124			
PCU			0.192			
PIT						
PMT			0.220			
SIP			0.190			
TST			0.194			

SmartPLS 4

SmartPLS Export Themes

Edit Save Excel HTML Create data file Compare

PLS-SEM algorithm

Graphical output

Final results

Path coefficients

Matrix

List

Bar chart

Indirect effects

Total effects

Outer loadings

Outer weights

Latent variables

Residuals

Conditional direct effects

Conditional indirect effects

Quality criteria

R-square

f-square

Construct reliability and validity

Overview

Cronbach's alpha

Composite reliability (rho_a)

Composite reliability (rho_c)

Average variance extracted (AVE)

FDB

PCU

PIT

PMT

SIP

TST

© SmartPLS v.4.1.1.2

Construct reliability and validity - Overview

	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability (rho_a)	Composite reliability (rho_c)	Average variance extracted (AVE)
FDB	0.892	0.894	0.920	0.698
PCU	0.880	0.882	0.912	0.675
PIT	0.890	0.892	0.919	0.695
PMT	0.859	0.865	0.904	0.701
SIP	0.871	0.873	0.912	0.721
TST	0.873	0.875	0.908	0.663

SmartPLS 4

SmartPLS Export Themes

Edit Save Excel HTML Create data file Compare

PLS-SEM algorithm

Graphical

Graphical output

Final results

Path coefficients

Matrix

List

Bar chart

Indirect effects

Total effects

Outer loadings

Matrix

List

Outer weights

Latent variables

Residuals

Conditional direct effects

Conditional indirect effects

Quality criteria

R-square

f-square

Construct reliability and validity

Overview

Cronbach's alpha - Bar chart

Composite reliability (rho_a) - Bar chart

Composite reliability (rho_c) - Bar chart

Average variance extracted (AVE) - Bar chart

Discriminant validity

Collinearity statistics (VIF)

Model fit

Model selection criteria

Algorithm

Setting

Stop criterion changes

Posthoc minimum sample size

Execution log

Outer loadings - Matrix

	FDB	PCU	PIT	PMT	SIP	TST
FDB4.1	0.853					
FDB4.2	0.799					
FDB4.3	0.847					
FDB4.4	0.845					
FDB4.5	0.833					
PCU1.1		0.798				
PCU1.2		0.842				
PCU1.3		0.807				
PCU1.4		0.829				
PCU1.5		0.833				
PIT6.1			0.823			
PIT6.2			0.829			
PIT6.3			0.816			
PIT6.4			0.841			
PIT6.5			0.857			
PMT3.1				0.825		
PMT3.2				0.833		
PMT3.3				0.853		
PMT3.4				0.837		
SIP5.1					0.839	
SIP5.2					0.857	
SIP5.3					0.845	
SIP5.4					0.855	
TST2.4						0.828
TST2.1						0.822
TST2.2						0.800
TST2.3						0.791
TST2.5						0.830

Discriminant validity - Heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT) - List

	Heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT)
PCU <-> FDB	0.757
PIT <-> FDB	0.733
PIT <-> PCU	0.785
PMT <-> FDB	0.745
PMT <-> PCU	0.797
PMT <-> PIT	0.774
SIP <-> FDB	0.744
SIP <-> PCU	0.770
SIP <-> PIT	0.763
SIP <-> PMT	0.732
TST <-> FDB	0.776
TST <-> PCU	0.821
TST <-> PIT	0.779
TST <-> PMT	0.744
TST <-> SIP	0.782

SmartPLS v.4.1.1.2

Inner Model

SmartPLS 4

SmartPLS Export Themes

Edit Save Excel HTML Create data file Compare

PLS-SEM algorithm

Graphical

Graphical output

Final results

- Path coefficients
- Indirect effects
- Total effects
- Outer loadings
- Outer weights
- Latent variables
- Residuals
- Conditional direct effects
- Conditional indirect effects

Quality criteria

- R-square
 - Overview
 - R-square - Bar chart
 - R-square adjusted - Bar chart
- f-square
- Construct reliability and validity
- Discriminant validity
- Collinearity statistics (VIF)
- Model fit
- Model selection criteria

Algorithm

- Setting
- Stop criterion changes
- Posthoc minimum sample size
- Execution log

© SmartPLS v.4.1.1.2

R-square - Overview

	R-square	R-square adjusted
PIT	0.630	0.620

PLSpredict / CVPAT

Graphical

Graphical output

Final results

- PLSpredict MV summary
 - Overview
 - PLS-SEM prediction error (descriptives)
 - PLS-SEM predictions (descriptives)
- PLSpredict LV summary
 - PLS-SEM
 - PLS-SEM prediction error (descriptives)
 - PLS-SEM predictions (descriptives)
- CVPAT LV summary
 - MV predictions and errors
 - LV predictions and errors

Algorithm

- Setting
- Execution log

Histograms

- PLS-SEM MV error histogram
- PLS-SEM LV error histogram

Model and data

- Inner model
- Outer model

Graphical output

There are no graphical outputs for this algorithm. Please have a look at the report instead.

Graphic

```

graph LR
    PCU((PCU)) --> PIT((PIT))
    TST((TST)) --> PIT
    PMT((PMT)) --> PIT
    FDB((FDB)) --> PIT
    SIP((SIP)) --> PIT
    PCU --> TST
    TST --> PMT
    PMT --> FDB
    FDB --> SIP
  
```

PCU1.1, PCU1.2, PCU1.3, PCU1.4, PCU1.5, TST2.1, TST2.2, TST2.3, TST2.5, PMT3.1, PMT3.2, PMT3.3, PMT3.4, FDB4.1, FDB4.2, FDB4.3, FDB4.4, FDB4.5, SIP5.1, SIP5.2, SIP5.3, SIP5.4, PIT6.1, PIT6.2, PIT6.3, PIT6.4, PIT6.5

SmartPLS 4
SmartPLS Export Themes

Edit Save Excel HTML Create data file Compare

PLSPredict / CVPAT

Graphical
Graphical output

Final results
PLSPredict MV summary

- Overview
- PLS-SEM prediction error (descriptives)
- PLS-SEM predictions (descriptives)

PLSPredict LV summary

- PLS-SEM
- PLS-SEM prediction error (descriptives)
- PLS-SEM predictions (descriptives)

CVPAT LV summary
MV predictions and errors
LV predictions and errors

Algorithm
Setting
Execution log

Histograms
PLS-SEM MV error histogram
PLS-SEM LV error histogram


Model and data
Inner model
Outer model
Indicator data (original)
Indicator data (standardized)

PLSPredict LV summary - PLS-SEM

	Q^2_{predict}	RMSE	MAE
PIT	0.614	0.644	0.405

© SmartPLS v.4.1.1.2


Bootstrapping Method



Cek-turnitin-thesis.pdf			
ORIGINALITY REPORT			
18%	13%	9%	8%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY SOURCES			
1	goldenratio.id Internet Source	2%	
2	Submitted to Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta Student Paper	1%	
3	irjems.org Internet Source	1%	
4	e-journal.uajy.ac.id Internet Source	1%	
5	journal.undiknas.ac.id Internet Source	1%	
6	Marko Sarstedt, Christian M. Ringle, Joseph F. Hair. "Chapter 15-1 Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017 Publication	<1%	
7	Hurriyati Ratih, Tjahjono Benny, GafarAbdullah Ade, Sulastri, Lisnawati. "Advances in Business, Management and Entrepreneurship", CRC Press, 2020 Publication	<1%	
8	Submitted to Foreign Trade University - Ho Chi Minh Campus Student Paper	<1%	
9	Submitted to The Robert Gordon University Student Paper	<1%	
	Publication		
22	ejournal.seaninstitute.or.id Internet Source	<1%	
23	repository.psa.edu.my Internet Source	<1%	
24	Raja Ahmed Jamil, Urba Qayyum, Syed Ramiz ul Hassan, Tariq Iqbal Khan. "Impact of social media influencers on consumers' well-being and purchase intention: a TikTok perspective", European Journal of Management and Business Economics, 2023 Publication	<1%	
25	Submitted to University of South Africa (UNISA) Student Paper	<1%	
26	core.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%	
27	garuda.kemdikbud.go.id Internet Source	<1%	
28	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1%	
29	Submitted to Mahidol University Student Paper	<1%	
30	etd.aau.edu.et Internet Source	<1%	
31	Submitted to HELP UNIVERSITY Student Paper	<1%	
32	Satria Bangsawan, MS Mahrinasari, Ernie Hendrawaty, Rindu Rika Gamayuni et al. "The Future Opportunities and Challenges of Business in Digital Era 4.0", Routledge, 2020 Publication	<1%	
10	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	<1%	
11	repository.ub.ac.id Internet Source	<1%	
12	Submitted to National University of Ireland, Maynooth Student Paper	<1%	
13	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	<1%	
14	journal.cattleyadf.org Internet Source	<1%	
15	dinastipub.org Internet Source	<1%	
16	rgsa.emnuvens.com.br Internet Source	<1%	
17	Submitted to University of South Africa Student Paper	<1%	
18	Submitted to Foreign Trade University Student Paper	<1%	
19	Sai Kiran Oruganti, Dimitrios A Karras, Srinesh Singh Thakur, Janapati Krishna Chaithanya, Sukanya Metta, Amit Lathigara. "Digital Transformation and Sustainability of Business", CRC Press, 2025 Publication	<1%	
20	Submitted to Asia Pacific University College of Technology and Innovation (UCTI) Student Paper	<1%	
21	Hui He, Lilong Zhu. "Online shopping green product quality supervision strategy with consumer feedback and collusion behavior", PLOS ONE, 2020 Publication	<1%	
33	jkmosi.ppj.unp.ac.id Internet Source	<1%	
34	Niranjan Devkota, Sushanta Kumar Mahapatra. "Quantitative and Applied Research Methodology in Economics - Researching, Reporting and Publishing", Routledge, 2025 Publication	<1%	
35	Tuyen Dai Quang, Tan Vo-Thanh. "Routledge Handbook of Tourism and Hospitality Development in Vietnam", Routledge, 2025 Publication	<1%	
36	www.scribd.com Internet Source	<1%	
37	lup.lub.lu.se Internet Source	<1%	
38	محمد عبدالهادي، حميدة عبدالسميع، نهاد محمد كمال. "تأثير التسويق التابع على ولاء العميل"، مجلة كلية السياحة والفنادق - جامعة مدينة السادات، 2020 Publication	<1%	
39	Submitted to Shinawatra University Student Paper	<1%	
40	Siska Noviaristanti, Hasni Mohd Hanafi, Donny Trihanondo. "Understanding Digital Industry", Routledge, 2020 Publication	<1%	
41	Submitted to UNESCO-IHE Institute for Water Education Student Paper	<1%	
42	Submitted to University of West London Student Paper	<1%	
43	Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro Student Paper	<1%	

44	journal.article2publish.com Internet Source	<1 %
45	www.assumptionjournal.au.edu Internet Source	<1 %
46	journal.stiemb.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
47	repository.uph.edu Internet Source	<1 %
48	www2.mdpi.com Internet Source	<1 %
49	Submitted to Eastern Mediterranean University Student Paper	<1 %
50	Kuanchin Chen, Piotr Pietrzak. "Trust, Sustainability, and Resilience - Management and Consumer Perspectives", Routledge, 2025 Publication	<1 %
51	Salleh Mohd Radzi, Mohd Hafiz Mohd Hanafiah, Norzuwana Sumarjan, Zurinawati Mohi et al. "Heritage, Culture and Society - Research agenda and best practices in the hospitality and tourism industry", CRC Press, 2016 Publication	<1 %
52	internationalpublisher.id Internet Source	<1 %
53	"Innovative Mobile and Internet Services in Ubiquitous Computing", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019 Publication	<1 %
54	Haifen Lin. "Adoptive Management Innovation", Springer Science and Business Publication	<1 %
65	Daantje Derks, Arnold B. Bakker. "The Psychology of Digital Media at Work", Routledge, 2013 Publication	<1 %
66	Marisa Marisa, Azizul Azhar Ramli, Mohd Farhan Md Fudzee, Zubaile Abdullah. "Object retrieval analysis on plastic bottle waste recycling-based image control using convex hull algorithm and autoregressive integrated moving average prediction method", International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), 2025 Publication	<1 %
67	Ratih Hendayani, Indira Rachmawati, Hani Gita Ayuningtias, C. Cahyaningsih, Dania Syanetta Dennyra. "Synergizing Management, Technology and Innovation in Generating Sustainable and Competitive Business Growth", CRC Press, 2021 Publication	<1 %
68	Roberta Pires Vasconcellos, Michael Noetel, Taren Sanders, Chris Lonsdale, Philip Parker, James H. Conigrave. "Moderating effects of general need frustration on the association between electronic screen use and adolescents' socio-emotional problems", PsyArXiv, 2025 Publication	<1 %
69	Submitted to University of Warwick Student Paper	<1 %
70	askanydifference.com Internet Source	<1 %
71	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1 %
	Media LLC, 2018 Publication	
55	Kaushik Kumar, Chikesh Ranjan. "Writing Skills for Scientific Communication", CRC Press, 2025 Publication	<1 %
56	doaj.org Internet Source	<1 %
57	fastercapital.com Internet Source	<1 %
58	papers.ssrn.com Internet Source	<1 %
59	ray.uni-mate.hu Internet Source	<1 %
60	Submitted to ESC Rennes Student Paper	<1 %
61	Leo T. Mataruka, Joe Muzurura. "The adoption of social media tools for enhancing small and medium enterprises' performance: A synthesis of innovation of diffusion and technology-organisation-environment frameworks", Qeios Ltd, 2023 Publication	<1 %
62	Pantea Foroudi, Charles Dennis. "Researching and Analysing Business - Research Methods in Practice", Routledge, 2023 Publication	<1 %
63	Submitted to wpu Student Paper	<1 %
64	Submitted to Brickfields Asia College Student Paper	<1 %
72	Submitted to Bournemouth University Student Paper	<1 %
73	Darwis Harahap, Ahmad Afandi, Try Mahendra Siregar. "THE ISLAMIC BANKING CUSTOMERS' INTENTION TO USE DIGITAL BANKING SERVICES: AN INDONESIAN STUDY", Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance, 2023 Publication	<1 %
74	Submitted to Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Gadjah Mada Student Paper	<1 %
75	Submitted to Hampton University Student Paper	<1 %
76	Submitted to Midlands State University Student Paper	<1 %
77	Submitted to Strathmore University Student Paper	<1 %
78	Submitted to University of Greenwich Student Paper	<1 %
79	Submitted to University of Hull Student Paper	<1 %
80	digilib.unimed.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
81	sciedu.ca Internet Source	<1 %
82	sibresearch.org Internet Source	<1 %
83	Submitted to De La Salle University - Manila Student Paper	<1 %
84	Submitted to IAIN Padangsidempuan Student Paper	<1 %

REFERENCE JOURNAL



MARKETING | RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Influence of TikTok Affiliate Digital Marketing Strategy on Generation Z Purchase Intentions in Jakarta, Indonesia

Nurul Azmi^{1*}, Tri Afriyani², Dewi Kurniaty³

^{1,2,3} Department of Management and Business, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Paramadina, Jakarta, Indonesia. Email: nurul.azmi@students.paramadina.ac.id¹, tri.afriyani@students.paramadina.ac.id², dewi.kurniaty@paramadina.ac.id³

Received: December 07, 2024

Revised: January 10, 2024

Accepted: January 11, 2025

*Corresponding author: Nurul Azmi, Department of Management and Business, Faculty of Economic and Business, Universitas Paramadina, Jakarta, Indonesia.

E-mail: nurul.azmi@students.paramadina.ac.id

Abstract: This study aims to examine the influence of TikTok affiliate digital marketing strategies on the purchase intentions of Generation Z in Jakarta. To examine purchase intentions through TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta, variables such as perceived usefulness, trust, promotion, consumer feedback, and social impact were utilized. A quantitative method was employed by distributing questionnaires, and the data were examined using multiple linear regression analysis. The results indicate that social impact and consumer feedback have a positive and significant influence on purchase intentions. The implications of this research suggest that to enhance the purchase intentions of Generation Z in Jakarta, businesses and affiliate partners need to focus on consumer feedback or positive reviews from previous customers. Furthermore, Generation Z tends to place greater trust in recommendations from close connections such as friends, family, and relatives. To boost the purchase intentions of Generation Z in Jakarta, affiliate partners can create content highlighting positive customer reviews or businesses can collaborate with micro-influencers to build closer connections with the target

audience.

Keywords: TikTok Affiliate, Digital Marketing, Purchase Intention, Social Impact, Consumer's Feedback.

JEL Classification Code: M31, M37, D91, L86, O33

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of digital technology and business growth has intensified competition in the market, requiring businesses to implement various marketing strategies to stay ahead of their competitors. Additionally, companies must be able to deliver clear and distinct marketing communication to attract customers' purchase intentions, setting them apart from competitors. To maintain or

enhance market positioning, the right marketing strategy must be implemented. Currently, digital marketing strategies are widely used as tools to attract customers through social media platforms, which help drive purchasing intentions for the marketed products (Arieantony & Ina Oktaviana Matusin, 2023).

According to a survey by Katadata (2023), 97% of companies in Indonesia use social media for marketing. There are many social media platforms available for marketing purposes, such as Instagram, TikTok, Facebook, and X. Data from Business of Apps (2024) shows that TikTok was the most downloaded social media app in Indonesia in 2023, with 67.4 million downloads. Additionally, data from Hootsuite and We Are Social (2023) reveals that as of October 2023, there were 106.52 million TikTok users in Indonesia. TikTok allows users to create short videos with various special effects, and users can now shop directly through TikTok Shop (Purwaamijaya et al., 2022). TikTok's success lies in its ability to entertain and capture the attention of its audience with creative and dynamic content. The platform offers several marketing features, including TikTok affiliate marketing, which allows influencers and affiliates to promote products to their audiences in a more personal and direct manner. In this model, influencers or affiliate partners promote products through the content they create on TikTok, earning commissions based on sales generated from their affiliate links. In this context, digital marketing strategies through TikTok affiliates have significant potential to influence consumer behavior, particularly among Generation Z (Ardhana et al., 2023).




Figure 1. The Largest Number of Audiences Reaching TikTok Ads in 2024

Source: We Are Social, 2024


Based on the data presented in Table 1, it is evident that Shopee outperforms other affiliate programs in various affiliate marketing criteria. TikTok ranks second across all criteria, followed by Tokopedia in third place and Lazada in fourth. Although TikTok affiliates are not yet in the top position, the platform holds great potential among Generation Z as an alternative digital marketing strategy. With the right approach, TikTok can strengthen its position through a creative and personalized strategy that is better suited to the target market, particularly Generation Z in Jakarta.

Table 1. Affiliate Program Trends in the World of E-commerce

Affiliate Marketing Criteria	Social Media And E-Commerce
Affiliate program with the highest number of transaction values	Shopee 59 % Tiktok 27% Tokopedia 11% Lazada 2%
The most memorable affiliate programs	Shopee 69 % Tiktok 16% Tokopedia 12% Lazada 2%
Frequently used affiliate programs.	Shopee 70% Tiktok 16% Tokopedia 12% Lazada 2%
Affiliate program with the most profitable commissions	Shopee 70% Tiktok 16% Tokopedia 12% Lazada 2%
Affiliate program with the most complete product selection for each category	Shopee 66% Tiktok 18% Tokopedia 14% Lazada 2%
Affiliate Marketing Criteria	Social Media And E-Commerce
Affiliate program that offers the cheapest product prices	Shopee 66% Tiktok 19% Tokopedia 13% Lazada 2%
Affiliate program with the most free shipping promotions	Shopee 66% Tiktok 19% Tokopedia 13% Lazada 2%
Affiliate program with the biggest <i>discount / cashback</i>	Shopee 66% Tiktok 18% Tokopedia 13% Lazada 2%

Source: Snapcart, 2023

Purchasing behavior among Generation Z shows that they are more likely to be influenced by authentic and relevant digital content than by traditional advertising. This makes them highly connected to social media and often leads them to seek product recommendations from influencers they follow online (Suliman et al., 2022). According to a Jakpat survey (2023), 24% of Generation Z respondents, born between 1997 and 2012, use TikTok to search for information, followed by YouTube at 23% and Instagram at 22%. Currently, many TikTok users share information and product reviews through short videos. The hashtag feature makes it easier for users to search for videos related to specific topics. This is one of the reasons why TikTok affiliate marketing is an effective digital strategy for reaching this segment, especially in digitally connected Jakarta.



The graph below shows that e-commerce is the preferred shopping medium for Generation Z, with a percentage of 66.09%. This is followed by supermarkets at 13.25%, minimarkets at 10.60%, and social media at 4.01%, which shows a lower trend. However, social media is still used as a shopping medium by some members of Generation Z. This highlights the potential of TikTok affiliate marketing as a strategy to combine e-commerce and social media,

offering a more interactive shopping experience for Generation Z in Jakarta.




Figure 3. Where Does Gen Z Like To Shop?

Source: JEO Kompas.com, 2022

The graph below indicates that price is the primary factor Generation Z considers when shopping online, with 56% of respondents prioritizing it. This suggests that digital marketing strategies through TikTok affiliates should emphasize competitive pricing and appealing promotions. Additionally, high-quality content that showcases product quality is also effective in capturing Generation Z's attention and interest in the products being offered.




Figure 4. The Main Thing that Gen Z Pays Attention to When Shopping Online

Source: Data Goodstats 2024

The phenomenon depicted in the images above highlights the growth and competition of TikTok affiliates with other e-commerce platforms. With the increasing number of TikTok users and features that support business activities, TikTok affiliates have emerged as a digital marketing strategy that captures significant attention, particularly among younger audiences like Generation Z. According

to research by Romadlon et al. (2020), digital marketing has a positive and significant effect on purchase intention. Similarly, research by Arieantony and Ina Oktaviana Matusin (2023) confirms that digital marketing positively and significantly influences purchase intentions. Given this trend, it is crucial to investigate how digital marketing strategies through TikTok affiliates influence the purchasing intentions of Generation Z in Jakarta. This research aims to examine how variables such as perceived usefulness, trust, promotion, consumer feedback, and social impact drive the purchasing intentions of Generation Z in Jakarta. The findings of this study can provide insights into opportunities for business actors utilizing TikTok affiliates as part of their marketing strategies. Furthermore, it can benefit affiliate partners who earn commissions through the TikTok affiliate program. By implementing strategies relevant to the TikTok affiliate program, businesses can increase sales and profits while optimizing commission-based earnings.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Digital Marketing

Digital marketing can be defined as the utilization of the Internet and other interactive technologies to facilitate dialogue and interaction between businesses and consumers (Pandey et al., 2024). Furthermore, digital marketing encompasses the use of the internet and digital technologies to communicate and achieve marketing objectives while incorporating traditional communication elements (Wijastuti & Hamzah, 2023). In this context, research conducted by Indiani (2024) identified six key indicators for evaluating digital marketing, as follows:

1. Accessibility: The ability of marketers to provide information about the properties being sold through media that consumers commonly use.
2. Communication Ability: The ability of marketers to respond to all consumer inquiries through available communication channels.
3. Entertainment: The ability of marketers to create engaging and entertaining content.
4. Credibility: The level of trust consumers have in a property agent when a product is offered.

5. Informative: The ability of marketers to deliver complete and detailed information about the properties being sold.
6. Visibility: Efforts to optimize websites to achieve top rankings in search engine results.

Digital marketing takes various forms and can be implemented through channels such as social media, mobile marketing, affiliate marketing, search engine optimization, and other platforms (Otopah et al., 2024). The primary objectives of digital marketing are to provide information, foster engagement, persuade consumers, drive brand purchases, facilitate profitable transactions, and build lasting relationships (Purba & Wangke, 2024). Additionally, digital marketing offers a variety of approaches to promoting products or services online (Tamin & Veri, 2024). While there are no significant differences between traditional and digital marketing in an industrial context, digital marketing employs more innovative methods to communicate and deliver information to customers (Nuseir et al., 2023).

2.2. Affiliate Marketing

Affiliate marketing is a digital marketing strategy used by product owners, where commissions are given to individuals who successfully promote products to others (Sutandi et al., 2024). In practice, affiliate marketing involves three main entities: merchants, affiliates, and customers. Merchants provide products or services, affiliates promote them through various channels such as blogs, social media, or email marketing, and customers participate by making purchases or taking desired actions (Candara, 2024). Dung and An (2024) identified a strong relationship between affiliate marketing on TikTok and purchase intention. Content created by influencers or content creators plays a crucial role in shaping positive perceptions of products, building trust through credible recommendations, and leveraging social feedback to enhance product appeal. This process fosters an environment that encourages audiences to consider making purchases by combining elements of product information, social influence, and influencer credibility, thereby increasing purchase intent. This strategy continues to evolve with the integration of AI and Web3 technologies, enabling personalization, enhanced security through blockchain, and automated payments using smart contracts. These advancements contribute to greater efficiency and transparency in the

affiliate marketing process (Malenko & Shabala, 2024). Additionally, affiliate marketing is a process in which products or services are promoted and sold using a profit-sharing system. Most affiliate programs are offered by businesses in the e-commerce sector (Fitria et al., 2024). Overall, affiliate marketing involves promoting products from other individuals or companies in exchange for a commission earned when a purchase is made through a referral. The system works by directing visitors to the website using a special link provided by the merchant. The more people who review a product, the higher the likelihood of increasing sales, making this strategy popular among wholesalers due to its efficiency in reducing advertising costs and its significant impact on sales volume (Firmansyah et al., 2024).

2.3. Purchase Intention

Purchase intention refers to consumer behavior that includes intentions, considerations, and recommendations to purchase products based on experiences, usage, and desires. Marketers often use this concept to estimate sales and market share (Juliana, 2023). Purchase intention can be defined as the likelihood that a buyer will purchase the advertised product, with this probability increasing as the intention to purchase strengthens. Additionally, purchase intention serves as a measure of an individual's tendency to make a purchase, where a stronger purchase intention correlates with higher purchase intensity (Macheka et al., 2024). Additionally, purchase intention is a concept frequently used to predict customer behavior and is one of the key outcomes of customer experience (Guan et al., 2024). Several factors influence purchase intention, including consumer attitudes toward products or services, social influence from friends and colleagues, and cultural norms (Aziz et al., 2024). Purchase intention can also be defined as a consumer's willingness to buy a product or service under certain conditions, arising as a result of the consumer decision-making process (Arindaputri & Santoso, 2023). To analyze purchase intentions through TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta, several variables are considered: perceived usefulness, trust, promotion, consumer feedback, and social impact.

2.4. Relationship Between Perceived Usefulness and Purchase Intention

The relationship between perceived usefulness and purchase intention has been highlighted in various studies. According to research by

Irvania et al. (2022), perceived usefulness positively influences purchase intention. Similarly, Dung and An (2024) also found that perceived usefulness has a positive impact on purchase intention, with test results indicating that perceived usefulness has the strongest influence on purchase intention. However, contrasting findings were reported by Damayanti (2019), who stated that perceived usefulness negatively influences purchase intention. These differences in results could be attributed to variations in timing and the segmentation of questionnaire distribution. Therefore, the perceived usefulness variable will be re-tested to determine its influence on purchase intention.

H1: Perceived Usefulness (X1) has a positive correlation with purchase intention in the context of TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta.

2.5. Relationship Between Trust and Purchase Intention

In general, trust is a crucial factor influencing consumer purchase intentions, as it creates a sense of security and confidence for consumers when making decisions to purchase a product or service. Irvania et al. (2022) explained in their research that trust positively affects purchase intention. Similarly, Dung and An (2024) also identified a positive relationship between trust and purchase intention in their study. This highlights the significant role trust plays in shaping purchase intentions, particularly in online transactions. Therefore, this research aims to further explore and strengthen the trust variable in relation to purchase intentions.

H2: Trust (X2) positively correlates with purchase intention in the context of TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta.

2.6. The Relationship Between Promotions and Purchase Intentions

Promotion is an activity aimed at influencing consumer behavior, with the objective of informing them about the products being offered. Research by Wati and Indiani (2022) indicates that promotions have a positive effect on purchase intentions. Furthermore, a study by Novilia

et al. (2024) highlights that flash sale programs, as a component of promotional strategies, positively influence purchase intentions. This is because such programs offer products of equivalent quality at more affordable prices, despite limited time and availability. Therefore, this research aims to further explore and strengthen the promotion variable in relation to purchase intention.

H3: Promotion (X3) positively correlates with purchase intention in the context of TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta.

2.7. Relationship Between Consumer Feedback and Purchase Intention

Consumer feedback refers to information provided by customers about their experiences after using a product or service. Feedback is essential for potential consumers as it offers insight into the quality of the product. According to research by Dung and An (2024), feedback positively influences purchase intention. Therefore, this study aims to further explore and strengthen the consumer feedback variable in relation to purchase intention.

H4: Consumer Feedback (X4) positively correlates with purchase intention in the context of TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta.

2.8. Relationship Between Social Impact and Purchase Intention

Social impacts refer to changes resulting from new actions or specific activities in people's lives. The influence of social impact on purchase intention reflects the effect of the surrounding environment when individuals consider buying a product or service, such as advice from friends, family, or relatives. According to research by Dung and An (2024), social impact is not significantly related to purchase intention. Therefore, this study will re-examine the social impact variable in relation to purchase intention.

H5: Social Impact (X5) has a positive correlation with purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta.




Figure 5. Conceptual Framework

(Source: Dung & An, 2024)

3. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1. Instrument Design

This research employed a quantitative method using a questionnaire survey via Google Forms to collect primary data from respondents. The method aimed to identify the relationship between TikTok affiliate digital marketing strategies and the purchase intentions of Generation Z in Jakarta. The sampling technique used was non-probability sampling with a purposive sampling method, where respondents were selected based on specific criteria, namely TikTok users who had knowledge of affiliate marketing programs on the platform (Kertriasih, 2023). The respondents of this research consisted of Generation Z individuals who actively use TikTok and are exposed to marketing strategies through affiliate marketing. The sample size was determined based on the recommendation of Hair et al. (2017), which suggests multiplying the number of indicators (21)

by 5, resulting in a minimum sample size of 105 respondents. A total of 132 responses were collected after distributing the questionnaires. For data analysis, this research utilized both descriptive and inferential methods. Descriptive analysis was employed to describe the characteristics of the respondents, while validity and reliability tests, t-tests, and multiple linear regression tests were conducted to test hypotheses regarding the significance of the influence of perceived usefulness, trust, promotion, consumer feedback, social impact, and TikTok affiliate marketing on purchase intentions. This analysis was carried out with the assistance of Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software.

Table 2. Interpretation Variables in Research Methods

Variables	Code	Indicator	Reference Source
Perceived Usefulness (X1)	PK1	I buy products that have a good reputation for quality.	(Dung & An, 2024)
	PK2	I buy products that meet my and my family's needs.	
	PK3	I choose to buy branded products.	
	PK4	I choose to buy the products I need.	
	PK5	I choose to buy products that have good customer service.	
Trust (X2)	KP1	I chose to buy the product because I trust the brand.	
	KP2	I chose to buy the product because I believe in TikTok's evaluation.	
	KP3	The warranty and after-sales support from the seller influenced my trust in the product.	
Promotion (X3)	SP1	I choose to buy products when there is a discount.	
	SP2	I choose to buy products when there are additional prizes.	
	SP3	I choose to buy products when there are special promotions: golden time, buy 1 get 1 free, exclusive offers.	
	SP4	I choose to buy products when promotions are time limited.	
Consumer Feedback (X4)	UB1	My purchasing decision making process is easier when the Affiliate Video has a lot of likes and interactions.	
	UB2	My purchasing decision process is easier when the Affiliate Video has positive reviews from previous customers.	

	UB3	My purchasing decision-making process is easier when Affiliate Video content contains positive reviews from previous customers.	
Social Impact (X5)	DS1	My purchasing decisions on TikTok are influenced by my friends' opinions.	
	DS2	My purchasing decisions on TikTok are influenced by the opinions of my relatives.	
Variables	Code	Indicator	Reference Source
	DS3	I usually buy products suggested by my TikTok friends.	
Purchase intent through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y)	NB1	Buying through TikTok Affiliates is a good decision.	
	NB2	I will continue to shop through TikTok Affiliates.	
	NB3	I will recommend friends and relatives to buy through TikTok Affiliate.	

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Description of Respondent Characteristics

This study involved 132 respondents. Based on their age, the majority of respondents were aged 17–25 years, accounting for 71.2%. Regarding occupation, most respondents were students, comprising 56.1%. In terms of monthly expenditure, the highest percentage, 31.1%, fell within the range of IDR 1,001,000 to IDR 3,000,000. Additionally, online shopping was the most preferred shopping method, with 87.9% of respondents choosing this option.

Table 3. Description of Respondent Characteristics

No	Variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage
1	Age	< 17 years	2	1,5%
		17-25 years	94	71,2%
		> 25 years	36	27,3%
2	Work	Students	74	56,1%
		Private Sector Employee	47	35,6%
		Lecturer/Teacher	2	1,5%
		Housewife	1	0,8%
		Freelance	6	4,5%
		Entrepreneur	2	1,5%
3	Expenses per month	< Rp 100.000	3	2,3%
		Rp 101.000 – Rp 500.000	15	11,4%
		Rp 501.000 – Rp 1.000.000	24	18,2%

		Rp 1.001.000 – Rp 3.000.000	41	31,1%
		Rp 3.001.000 – Rp 5.000.000	29	22%
		> Rp 5.000.000	20	15,2%
4	Preferred Shopping Method	Shop Directly at the Store	16	12,1%
		Online Shopping	116	87,9%

Source: Processed Primary Data (2024)

4.2. Validity Test

In the validity test table below, the results indicate that all variable indicators for perceived usefulness (X1), promotion (X2), trust (X3), consumer feedback (X4), social impact (X5), and purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y) are valid. This is evidenced by the calculated r-value being greater than the table r-value, with a result of 0.1697. Therefore, it can be concluded that all variable questions are valid, and this research can proceed to the next testing phase.

Table 4. Validity Test Results

Variables	Code	R-Calculated	R-Table	Information
Perception of usefulness (X1)	PK1	0,495	0,1697	Valid
	PK2	0,510	0,1697	Valid
	PK3	0,346	0,1697	Valid
	PK4	0,463	0,1697	Valid
	PK 5	0,494	0,1697	Valid
Trust (X2)	KP1	0,583	0,1697	Valid
	KP2	0,642	0,1697	Valid
Variables	Code	R-Calculated	R-Table	Information
	KP3	0,658	0,1697	Valid
Promotion (X3)	SP1	0,509	0,1697	Valid
	SP2	0,486	0,1697	Valid
	SP3	0,560	0,1697	Valid
	SP4	0,426	0,1697	Valid
Consumer feedback (X4)	UB1	0,721	0,1697	Valid
	UB2	0,686	0,1697	Valid
	UB3	0,700	0,1697	Valid
Social impact (X5)	DS1	0,512	0,1697	Valid
	DS2	0,560	0,1697	Valid
	DS3	0,670	0,1697	Valid
Purchase intent through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y)	NB1	0,677	0,1697	Valid
	NB2	0,685	0,1697	Valid
	NB3	0,718	0,1697	Valid

Source: Primary Data Processed in 2024

4.3. Reliability Test

In the reliability test results table 5, it is shown that the variables—perceived usefulness (X1), trust (X2), promotion (X3), consumer feedback (X4), social impact (X5), and purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y)—obtained a Cronbach's alpha value greater than 0.6. Therefore, it can be concluded that all variables in this study are reliable and can be used as research instruments.

Table 5. Reliability Test Results

Variables	Cronbach's Alpha	Results	Information
Perception of usefulness (X1)	0,798	r between 0.600-0.800	Reliable
Trust (X2)	0,757	r between 0.600-0.800	Reliable
Promotion (X3)	0,812	r between 0.600-0.800	Reliable
Consumer feedback (X4)	0,885	r between 0.600-0.800	Reliable
Social impact (X5)	0,833	r between 0.600-0.800	Reliable
Purchase intent through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y)	0,874	r between 0.600-0.800	Reliable

Source: Primary Data Processed in 2024

4.4. Descriptive Test

In the descriptive test results, the perceived usefulness variable (X1) had the highest score from indicator label 1, which states, "I buy products that have a good reputation in terms of quality," with a value of 4.63 and a standard deviation of 0.812. This indicates that respondents generally have a positive perception of products with a good reputation for quality. Additionally, the results show that respondents' perceptions of this indicator do not vary significantly, suggesting that respondents were fairly consistent in their answers and shared similar views regarding this indicator. For the trust variable (X2), the highest value was obtained from indicator label 1, which states, "I choose to buy the product because I trust the brand," with a score of 4.35 and a standard deviation of 0.89. This indicates that respondents tend to purchase products because they trust the brand. Additionally, most respondents share a similar view of this indicator,

as reflected in the relatively low variation in responses. For the promotion variable (X3), the highest value was obtained from indicator label 1, which states, "I choose to buy the product when there is a discount," with a score of 4.15 and a standard deviation of 0.955. This indicates that respondents tend to agree that they prefer to buy products when discounts are available. The standard deviation also shows that the majority of respondents share a similar agreement with this indicator. For the consumer feedback variable (X4), the highest value was obtained from indicator label 3, which states, "My purchasing decision-making process is easier when video content from TikTok affiliates contains positive reviews from previous customers," with a score of 4.18 and a standard deviation of 0.900. This indicates that the perception of video content from TikTok affiliates featuring positive reviews from previous customers is quite favorable, facilitating the purchasing decision process. The majority of respondents agreed with this statement, and the standard deviation results suggest that respondents share a similar view regarding this indicator.

The social impact variable (X5) shows results that tend to be neutral, with scores of 3.10 and 3.15, and a relatively high standard deviation of >1.118 , indicating differences in perceptions regarding social impact among respondents. However, the highest value with a smaller standard deviation for this variable was obtained from label 2, which states, "My purchasing decisions on TikTok are influenced by the opinions of my family/relatives," with a score of 3.15 and a standard deviation of 1.194. This suggests that respondents' purchasing decisions tend to be influenced by the opinions of their family or relatives, and some other respondents share a similar view of this statement. For the purchase intention variable through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y), the highest value was obtained from indicator label 3, which states, "I will recommend friends and relatives to buy through TikTok Affiliates," with a score of 3.49 and a standard deviation of 1.066. This indicates that respondents generally agree that they would recommend friends and relatives to purchase through TikTok affiliates. However, there are differences in perception, as the standard deviation for this indicator is relatively high compared to other indicators.

Table 6. Descriptive Test Results

Variables	Indicators	Mean	Standard Deviation
X1= Perception of usefulness	I buy products that have a good reputation for quality.	4,63	0,812
	I buy products that meet my and my family's needs.	4,40	0,863
	I choose to buy branded products.	3,68	1,11
	I choose to buy the products I need.	4,50	0,842
	I choose to buy products that have good customer service.	4,46	0,859
	Mean Variable Perceived Usefulness	4,33	
X2= Trust	I chose to buy the product because I trust the brand.	4,35	0,892
	I chose to buy the product because I believed in the TikTok Affiliate testimonials	3,53	1,09
	The seller's warranty and service support influence my trust in the product.	4,18	0,933
	Mean Variable Trust	4,02	
X3= Promotion	I choose to buy products when there is a discount.	4,15	0,955
	I choose to buy products when there are additional prizes.	3,68	1,078
	I choose to buy products when there are special promotions such as flash sales, buy 1 get 1 free, or exclusive offers.	4,03	0,999
	I choose to buy products when promotions are time limited.	3,32	1,207
	Mean Variable Promotion	3,79	
X4= Consumer feedback	My purchasing decision making process is easier when Videos from TikTok Affiliates have lots of likes and interactions.	3,87	1,094
	My purchasing decision process is easier when Videos from TikTok Affiliates have positive reviews from previous customers.	4,09	0,979
	My purchasing decision making process is easier when Video content from TikTok Affiliates contains positive reviews from previous customers.	4,18	0,900
	Mean Variable Consumer Feedback	4,04	
X5= Social impact	My purchasing decisions on TikTok are influenced by my friends' opinions.	3,15	1,250
	My purchasing decisions on TikTok are influenced by the opinions of my family/relatives.	3,15	1,194
	I usually buy products suggested by Affiliates on my TikTok.	3,10	1,180
	Mean Variable Social Impact	3,13	
	Buying through TikTok Affiliates is a good decision.	3,46	0,920
Variables	Indicators	Mean	Standard Deviation
	I will continue to shop through TikTok Affiliates.	3,32	1,044

Y= Purchase intent through TikTok affiliate marketing	I will recommend friends and relatives to buy through TikTok Affiliate.	3,49	1,066
	Mean Variable Purchase Intent Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing	3,42	

Source: Primary Data

Processed in 2024

4.5. Multiple Linear Regression Test

Multiple linear regression analysis is used to determine the extent to which the independent variables influence the dependent variable. Based on the test results in Table 7, the equation for the multiple linear regression model is as follows:

$$Y = 0,365 - 0,012(X1) + 0,134(X2) + 0,059(X3) + 0,295(X4) + 0,432(X5)$$

A constant value of 0.365 indicates that when the variables perceived usefulness (X1), trust (X2), promotion (X3), consumer feedback (X4), and social impact (X5) are equal to zero, the purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y) will be 0.365. The coefficient of -0.012 means that every increase in the perceived usefulness variable (X1) will reduce the purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y) by 0.012. A coefficient of 0.134 indicates that every increase in the trust variable (X2) will increase the purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y) by 0.134. A coefficient of 0.059 signifies that every increase in the promotion variable (X3) will increase the purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y) by 0.059. A coefficient of 0.295 suggests that every increase in the consumer feedback variable (X4) will increase the purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y) by 0.295. Lastly, a coefficient of 0.432 means that every increase in the social impact variable (X5) will increase the purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y) by 0.432.

Table 7. Multiple Linear Regression Test Results

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients
	B	Std. Error	Beta
(Constant)	0,365	1,036	
Perceived Usefulness (X1)	-0,012	0,060	-0,014
Trust (X2)	0,134	0,100	0,119
Promotion (X3)	0,059	0,050	0,075
Consumer Feedback (X4)	0,295	0,078	0,292
Social Impact (X5)	0,432	0,055	0,500

Source: Primary Data Processed in 2024

Based on the test results in Table 8, the R Square value for the purchase intention variable through TikTok affiliate marketing is 0.645. This indicates that 64.5% of the purchase intention is influenced by the variables perceived usefulness, trust, promotion, consumer feedback, and social impact. Meanwhile, the remaining 35.5% is influenced by other variables not included in this study.

Table 8. Multiple Linear Regression Test Results

Model	R	R Square	Adjust R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0,803 ^a	0,645	0,631	1,64963
Predictors: Social Impact (X5), Perceived Usefulness (X1), Trust (X2), Promotion (X3), Consumer Feedback (X4)				
Dependent: Purchase Intent Through TikTok affiliate marketing (Y)				

Source: Primary Data Processed in 2024

4.6. Hypothesis Testing

a. The Influence of Perceived Usefulness on Purchase Intentions Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing

Based on the results of the hypothesis test in Table 8, it can be observed that perceived usefulness does not have a significant influence on purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing. This is evident from the t-value of -0.193 and a significance value (Sig) of 0.848, which is above the standard significance level of 0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that perceived usefulness influences purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing is rejected.

b. The Effect of Trust on Purchase Intention Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing

Based on the results of the hypothesis test in Table 8, it can be observed that trust does not have a significant influence on purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing. This is indicated by a t-value of 1.346 and a significance value (Sig) of 0.181, which is above the standard significance level of 0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that trust influences purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing is rejected.

c. The Effect of Promotion on Purchase Intention Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing

Based on the results of the hypothesis test in Table 8, it can be observed that promotions do not have a significant influence on purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing. This is indicated by a t-value of 1.185 and a significance value (Sig) of 0.238, which is higher than the general significance threshold of 0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that promotions influence purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing is rejected.

d. The Effect of Consumer Feedback on Purchase Intention Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing

Based on the results of the hypothesis test in Table 8, it can be observed that consumer feedback has a significant influence on purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing. This is demonstrated by a t-value of 3.756 and a significance value (Sig) of 0.000, which is below the general significance threshold of 0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis stating that consumer feedback influences purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing is accepted.

e. The Influence of Social Impact on Purchase Intention Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing

Based on the results of the hypothesis test in Table 9, it is evident that social impact has a significant influence on purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing. This is demonstrated by a t-value of 7.794 and a significance value (Sig) of 0.000, which is smaller than the general significance threshold of 0.05. Therefore, the hypothesis

stating that social impact influences purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing is accepted.

Table 9. Hypothesis Test Results

Regression Model	T	Sig	Information
Perception of Usefulness on Purchase Intentions Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing	-0,193	0,848	Rejected
Trust in Purchase Intentions Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing	1,346	0,181	Rejected
Promotion of Purchase Intentions Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing	1,185	0,238	Rejected
Consumer Feedback on Purchase Intentions Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing	3,765	0,000	Accepted
Social Impact on Purchase Intentions Through TikTok Affiliate Marketing	7,794	0,000	Accepted

Source: Primary Data Processed in 2024

4.7. Discussion

The results of this research indicate that each variable has a different level of influence on the purchase intention variable through TikTok affiliate marketing. The findings reveal that consumer feedback and social impact have a significant influence on purchase intention, whereas other variables, such as perceived usefulness, trust, and promotion, do not show a significant effect.

Social impact is the variable with the greatest influence on purchase intention, as evidenced by the highest regression coefficient ($\beta = 0.432$; $t = 7.794$; $p = 0.000$). This indicates that recommendations from friends, family, and relatives play a crucial role in shaping the purchase intentions of Generation Z in Jakarta through TikTok affiliates. These results contradict the findings of Dung & An (2024), who concluded that social impact does not significantly affect purchase intention through TikTok affiliates. This discrepancy may arise due to differences in the demographics of TikTok user respondents. Jakarta, as a city with high digital connectivity, fosters a lifestyle for Generation Z that is highly social and digitally connected. They tend to seek validation from their closest social circles before making purchase decisions. Growing up with social media, Generation Z's decisions are often influenced by digital "word-of-mouth" from their immediate social environment, including friends, family, and even micro-influencers. Recommendations from trusted

acquaintances provide stronger assurance compared to direct promotional messages.

Consumer feedback ranks second in significance, with a notable influence ($\beta = 0.295$; $t = 3.765$; $p = 0.000$). Respondents tend to find it easier to make purchasing decisions when TikTok affiliate video content includes positive reviews from previous customers. This suggests that customer reviews are highly important and can significantly influence purchase intention. These findings align with the research of Dung & An (2024), which also highlighted that consumer feedback is a significant factor and positively impacts purchase intention through TikTok affiliate marketing. Generation Z responds more to authentic content and real experiences shared by other consumers than to direct brand promotions. Positive reviews act as a form of social validation, providing a sense of security and reducing the risk of disappointment for potential buyers. TikTok's short video format, with its "comment" and "like" features, allows users to assess product quality based on audience interactions. Generation Z views the number of "comments" and "likes" as trust indicators for the product.

The perception of usefulness shows a negative coefficient ($\beta = -0.012$; $t = -0.193$; $p = 0.848$), indicating that an increase in perceived usefulness does not significantly contribute to purchase intention. These results are consistent with previous research by Damayanti (2019), which found that perceived usefulness does not have a significant effect on purchase intention. However, these findings contradict those of Dung & An (2024) and Irvania et al. (2022), who reported that perceived usefulness has a positive and significant influence on purchase intention. Although perceived usefulness is often considered important, its negative outcome in this study might be due to TikTok being perceived more as an entertainment platform than a transaction-focused one like Shopee or Tokopedia. Therefore, perceived usefulness does not become a primary factor for users when determining purchase intention. Generation Z tends to be more influenced by emotional and social aspects than by the platform's functional benefits. Jakarta's fast-paced lifestyle also drives Generation Z to focus more on enjoyable and engaging experiences, where shopping is part of a social experience rather than merely a functional activity.

The trust variable also yielded non-significant results ($\beta = 0.134$; $t = 1.346$; $p = 0.181$). Although trust is generally considered an essential factor, this research suggests that it is not strong enough to influence the purchase intention of Generation Z in Jakarta through TikTok affiliate marketing. For Generation Z, trust in brands or affiliates might already be seen as a fundamental factor, so it does not directly affect purchase intention in this study. Moreover, not all TikTok affiliate content explicitly discloses the commercial relationship between influencers and promoted products. This lack of transparency might make consumers skeptical of the integrity of such promotions. Respondents seem to rely more on recommendations from close acquaintances and positive reviews from previous customers to shape their purchase intentions. These findings contradict earlier studies by Dung & An (2024) and Irvania et al. (2022), which indicated that trust has a positive and significant impact on purchase intention.

Promotional variables, such as discounts and exclusive offers, did not show a significant effect in this study ($\beta = 0.059$; $t = 1.185$; $p = 0.238$). While promotions are often considered attractive, respondents in this research appear to be more influenced by social factors and consumer feedback. Promotions are perceived as less relevant if not accompanied by social validation or supporting consumer reviews. This is influenced by their perception of social validation and interactive experiences. Additionally, Generation Z, who often encounter promotions across various social media platforms, may experience saturation effects. As a result, they tend to be skeptical of repetitive promotions, making such efforts less significant in shaping purchase intention. These findings contradict the conclusions of Wati & Indiani (2022) and Novilia et al. (2024), who reported that promotions have a positive impact on purchase intention.

5. CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates that social impact and consumer feedback significantly influence purchase intentions through TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta. Social impact emerges as the dominant variable affecting purchase intentions, highlighting the importance of recommendations from friends, family, and relatives. Generation Z's purchase intentions are strongly driven by recommendations from trusted individuals within their social circle. Consumer feedback also has a positive and significant effect on

purchase intentions. Positive reviews from previous customers simplify the decision-making process, making it easier for potential buyers to make purchases. In contrast, the variables of perceived usefulness, trust, and promotion do not show a significant influence on purchase intentions through TikTok affiliate marketing among Generation Z in Jakarta. For business practitioners and affiliate partners, these findings can be utilized to optimize TikTok affiliate marketing strategies by focusing on increasing positive customer reviews and promoting content based on social recommendations. For academics, this research provides valuable insights into the behavior of Generation Z on social media, offering a basis for developing more effective digital marketing models. Lastly, for the general public, particularly Generation Z, this study underscores the importance of providing feedback or reviews on products to encourage purchase intentions and assist other buyers in making informed purchasing decisions.

The recommendations that the authors suggest for developing marketing strategies through TikTok affiliates include increasing credibility and positive reviews. Business actors and affiliate partners should encourage content that authentically showcases customer reviews and provide incentives for detailed testimonials. Additionally, the focus should be on social and personal content by enhancing content relevant to local and social communities, such as collaborating with microinfluencers who are closely aligned with the target market. For academic recommendations, future research could explore variables influencing purchase intentions on other platforms, such as Shopee or Tokopedia, to observe differences in the effectiveness of digital marketing strategies through affiliates. Adding new variables that may affect purchase intentions could make the research model more comprehensive. Researchers could also consider regional differences by collecting respondents from other areas to determine whether the results of the study would be consistent in different regions. This approach could reveal demographic differences in factors influencing purchase intentions. Lastly, incorporating qualitative methods alongside quantitative methods (such as distributing questionnaires) is recommended. For instance, conducting interviews with TikTok affiliates and consumers could provide deeper insights into the dynamics of marketing on this platform.

REFERENCES

- Ardhana, V. Y. P., Mulyodiputro, M. D., & Hidayati, L. (2023). Optimalisasi Digital Marketing Bagi Generasi Z Dalam Pengembangan Pemasaran Berbasis Teknologi. *Jurnal Pengabdian Literasi Digital Indonesia*, 2(2), 144–159. <https://doi.org/10.57119/abdimas.v2i2.75>
- Arieantony, & Ina Oktaviana Matusin. (2023). Pengaruh Digital Marketing Terhadap Purchase Intention: Dimoderasi Brand Equity. *Jurnal Ekonomi Trisakti*, 3(2), 3713–3722. <https://doi.org/10.25105/jet.v3i2.18191>
- Arindaputri, N. B., & Santoso, S. (2023). Analysis of the influence of digital marketing, brand image, and price perception on purchase intention and brand loyalty in Uniqlo apparel products. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science*, 12(4), 57–65. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v12i4.2612>
- Aziz, A., Khan, S., Shaikh, khurram adeel, & Haque, irfan U. (2024). Gender Differences in Response to Digital Marketing: Analyzing Purchase Intentions and Behaviors. *Market Forces*, 19(1), 67–98.
- Candara, M. F. (2024). Analysis of Communication Effectiveness in Moderating the Relationship between Affiliate Marketing and Consumer Purchasing Decisions. *Journal of Management*, 3(1), 267–280.
- Damayanti, V. (2019). the Effect of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use on Purchase Intention Through Brand Image As an Intervening Variable in Yogyakarta Ust Shopee Users. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 16(2), 99–109. <https://doi.org/10.31849/jieb.v16i2.2317>
- Dung, M. T., & An, N. Y. (2024). Research on the Purchase Intention Through Tiktok Affiliate Marketing of Vietnamese Youth. 3(7), 409–421. <https://doi.org/10.56472/25835238/IRJEMS-V3I7P145>
- Firmansyah, Sulastri, & Rosa, A. (2024). Impact of marketing communication and affiliate marketing strategies on marketing performance: an empirical study on online merchants in the shopee marketplace. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, 13(1), 2278–2290. <https://doi.org/10.54209/ekonomi.v13i01>
- Fitria, F., Adisti, D. T., D Dea, Gumelar, A., & Adi Setiawan. (2024). Exploration of the Role of Tiktok Content: Influencer Strategy, Affiliate Marketing, and Online Customer Reviews in Influencing Generation Z Purchasing Decisions at Shopee. *Athena: Journal of Social, Culture and Society*, 2(2), 345–356. <https://doi.org/10.58905/athena.v2i2.287>

- Guan, S., Chao, C. W. (Fred), & Tian, F. (2024). Experience intensification to purchase intentions of derivative works in service-intensive industries: An empirical study. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 79(January), 103837. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103837>
- Indiani, N. L. P. (2024). The Mediating Role of Brand Image on The Influence of Digital Marketing and Word of Mouth on Property Purchase Intention in Bali. *International Journal of Environmental*, March, 528–544.
- Irvania, V. A., Bagus Nyoman Udayana, I., & Fadhilah, M. (2022). Pengaruh perceived usefulness, trust dan perceived risk terhadap purchase intention pengguna shopee. *Jurnal Akmenika*, 19(1), 622–630.
- Juliana, H. (2023). Pengaruh Siaran Langsung Dan Manfaat Yang Dirasakan Terhadap Niat Beli Melalui Kepercayaan Konsumen Pada Aplikasi Tiktok Shop. *SINOMIKA Journal: Publikasi Ilmiah Bidang Ekonomi Dan Akuntansi*, 1(6), 1517–1538. <https://doi.org/10.54443/sinomika.v1i6.754>
- Kertiriasih, N. N. R. (2023). The Effect of Affiliate Marketing on Consumer Behavior , Purchase Intention and Purchase Decision. *International Conference Faculty of Economics and Business*, 2(1), 69–80.
- Macheke, T., Quaye, E. S., & Ligaraba, N. (2024). The effect of online customer reviews and celebrity endorsement on young female consumers' purchase intentions. *Young Consumers*, 25(4), 462–482. <https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-05-2023-1749>
- Malenko, M., & Shabala, Y. (2024). Integration of Artificial Intelligence with Web3 technologies for Affiliate Marketing: Review and Analysis. *Pidvodni Tehnologii*, 1(14), 62–70. <https://doi.org/10.32347/uwt.2024.14.1205>
- Novilia, F., Hendrayati, H., & Fahreza, M. (2024). Examining the Impact of Live Streaming, Affiliate Marketing, and Flash Sale Programs on Purchase Intentions in the TikTok Shop: An Empirical Study Focused on Generation Z. *Coopetition : Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen*, 15(2), 209–218. <https://doi.org/10.32670/coopetition.v15i2.4398>
- Nuseir, M. T., El Refae, G. A., Aljumah, A., Alshurideh, M., Urabi, S., & Kurdi, B. Al. (2023). Digital Marketing Strategies and the Impact on Customer Experience: A Systematic Review. *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, 1056, 21–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-12382-5_2
- Otopah, A. A., Dogbe, C. S. K., Amofah, O., & Ahlijah, B. (2024). Digital marketing and purchase intention of bank services: the role of trust and

- engagement. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 2021.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-02-2023-0097>
- Pandey, P., Kumari, M., & Ganie, jahangeer ahmad. (2024). The Impact of Digital Marketing on Consumer Purchasing Behaviour. *International Journal of Operations and Quantitative Management*, 02(4), 378–405.
<https://doi.org/10.46970/2023.29.2.18>
- Purba, M. nevira, & Wangke, S. J. (2024). The Influence of Traditional Marketing and Digital Marketing Strategies on Purchase Intention of Tabungan Emas Products At PT. Pegadaian, Bitung. 12(03), 1285– 1297.
- Purwaamijaya, B. M., Herdiana, O., Prehanto, A., Maesaroh, S. S., & Guntara, R. G. (2022). Pelatihan Optimalisasi Pemasaran Produk UMKM Melalui Sosial Media Tiktok. *JILPI: Jurnal Ilmiah Pengabdian Dan Inovasi*, 1(2), 133–140.
<https://journal.insankreasimedia.ac.id/index.php/JILPI>
- Romadlon, A., Marlien, R. A., & Widyasari, S. (2020). Pengaruh Digital Marketing, Kepercayaan dan Kualitas Produk Terhadap Niat Beli (Studi Pada Akun Instagram Kawaii Coklat). *Proceeding SENDIU*, 2016, 701– 707.
- Suliman, N. A., Dipootmodjo, T. S. P., Haeruddin, M. I. W., & Musa, M. I. (2022). Article Info. Pengaruh Strategi Digital Marketing Di Shopee Terhadap Minat Beli Generasi Z, 25(4), 421–432.
<http://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/warta>
- Sutandi, M., Fauzi, A., Caesar, L., Putri, N. N., Shava Viola, D., Rhamadina, O., Putri, I., & Abrar, Z. (2024). Analisis peran Affiliate Marketing dalam Membangun Kepercayaan Pelanggan (Literatur Review Jurnal). *Dinasti Review*, 5(3), 197–207.
- Tamin, Z., & Veri, J. (2024). Perkembangan Digital Marketing Systematic Literatur Review. *Journal of Computers and Digital Business*, 3(3), 105–111.
- Wati, I. A. N. A. C., & Indiani, N. L. P. (2022). The Influence of Social Media Communication, Product Quality, and Promotion on Purchase Intention. *Almana: Jurnal Manajemen Dan Bisnis*, 6(3), 472–479.
<https://doi.org/10.36555/almana.v6i3.1935>
- Wijastuti, R. D., & Hamzah, I. (2023). Pengaruh Digital Marketing dan Personal Selling Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Polis Asuransi Umum di PT. Askrimdo Cabang Sorong. *Transformasi: Journal of Economics and Business Management*, 2(2), 191–204.
<https://doi.org/10.56444/transformasi.v2i2.745>