

BAB V

PENUTUP

Bagian ini merupakan bagian terakhir pada penelitian ini, pada bagian ini akan menjelaskan mengenai kesimpulan yang berdasarkan hasil uji hipotesis yang berada di bagian bab sebelumnya. Bab ini juga akan memaparkan keterbatasan penelitian dan menuliskan saran yang relevan yang bermanfaat bagi pihak lain atau penelitian lain di kemudian hari.

5.1 Simpulan

Berdasarkan hasil analisis dan uji hipotesis pada bab sebelumnya, maka dapat diambil kesimpulan sebagai berikut :

1. Persepsi harga memiliki pengaruh secara signifikan terhadap kepuasan pelanggan dalam melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji. Harga adalah nilai yang harus dikorbankan untuk mendapatkan suatu produk. Harga memiliki peran penting dalam penentuan pelanggan memilih restoran. Ketika harga sesuai tentunya akan mempengaruhi nilai kepuasan pelanggan. Kepuasan pelanggan dapat dicapai salah satunya dengan memberikan harga yang pas dan adil.
2. Kualitas makanan memberikan pengaruh signifikan terhadap kepuasan pelanggan dalam melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji. Ketika sebuah restoran memiliki kualitas makanan yang sangat baik, pelanggan akan merasa puas dan tidak akan menyesal mengunjungi restoran tersebut. Semakin bagus atau baik
3. Kualitas layanan memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap kepuasan pelanggan dalam melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji. Pelayanan yang baik mendorong nilai kepuasan pelanggan semakin baik sebuah pelayanan yang diberikan kepuasan pelanggan akan menjadi semakin puas.
4. Kualitas lingkungan fisik memberikan pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap kepuasan pelanggan dalam melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji. Fasilitas pada sebuah restoran menambahkan kenyamanan

saat bersantap, kenyamanan ini lah yang akan membuat pelanggan semakin puas akan fasilitas yang telah diberikan

5. Persepsi harga memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap kualitas makanan. Harga akan memberikan harapan terhadap kualitas makanan yang akan diterimanya. Kualitas makanan yang baik tentunya juga akan membuat harganya semakin baik.
6. Persepsi harga memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap kualitas layanan. Harga yang diberikan tentunya terpengaruh akan kualitas yang diberikan juga. Harga yang tinggi tentunya pelayanan yang diberikan akan semakin baik juga.
7. Persepsi harga berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap kualitas lingkungan fisik. Pandangan pelanggan terhadap fasilitas lingkungan fisik sebuah restoran akan tergambarkan dengan harga yang ditawarkan oleh restoran tersebut. Semakin tinggi harga yang ditawarkan akan membuat harapan yang tinggi juga tentang fasilitas lingkungan fisik yang diberikan.
8. Kepuasan pelanggan berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap loyalitas pelanggan dalam melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji. Pengalaman bersantap yang baik, akan mendorong pelanggan untuk datang kembali. Pelanggan yang loyal akan datang kembali ke tempat yang sama karena telah mendapatkan kepuasan saat mengunjunginya,
9. Kepuasan pelanggan berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap kebahagiaan pelanggan dalam melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji. Kebahagiaan adalah rasa senang yang muncul ketika kepuasan pelanggan telah tercapai.
10. Kebahagiaan memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap loyalitas pelanggan dalam melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji. Kebahagiaan yang dirasakan ketika mengunjungi sebuah restoran, akan mendorongnya untuk datang kembali mengunjunginya selama bertahap dan menjadikan nya restoran pilihan sehingga ia akan menjadi pelanggan yang setia.

5.2 Implikasi Manajerial

Berdasarkan penelitian ini dapat disimpulkan bahwa Persepsi Harga, Kualitas Makanan, Kualitas Layanan dan Kualitas Lingkungan Fisik, memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan. Peranan Kebahagiaan sebagai variabel mediasi dalam penelitian ini memiliki pengaruh yang signifikan dalam Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan terhadap pembelian yang dilakukan di restoran cepat saji oleh generasi milenial. Berdasarkan penelitian yang telah dilakukan ini, peneliti menyarankan kepada pihak manajerial untuk melakukan sebagai berikut :

1. Variasi menu makanan hendaklah selalu berganti ganti, restoran cepat saji dituntut untuk lebih inovatif dalam memberikan menu makanannya. Seperti memadukan rasa nusantara ke dalam menu makanannya. Inovasi ini akan membuat rasa penasaran orang yang melihatnya dan akan membuat mereka untuk tertarik datang membelinya.
2. Harga yang ditawarkan haruslah menarik, semakin menarik sebuah promo yang ditawarkan akan membuat masyarakat untuk datang membelinya. Promo tersebut bisa saja diberikan kapan saja, seperti dalam rangka memperingati hari nasional, atau event lainnya.

5.3 Keterbatasan Penelitian

Berdasarkan analisis dan hasil penelitian, peneliti menemukan kekurangan yang dapat dijadikan bahan pertimbangan bagi penelitian selanjutnya dan pihak-pihak lainnya. Kekurangan penelitian ini adalah sebagai berikut :

1. Pada penelitian ini bisa terjadi bias persepsi dimana objeknya ada 4 restoran cepat saji yaitu Mc.Donalds, KFC, Pizza Hutt dan Burger Kings. Pada penelitian ini mayoritas responden adalah orang yang sering mengunjungi Mc.Donalds sehingga cenderung mengarah pada Mc.Donalds.
2. Pada penelitian ini masih memiliki variabel yang nilai Adj R-Square nya dibawah 0,4 atau masuk dalam kategori memiliki efek yang lemah.

5.4 Saran Penelitian

Berdasarkan kesimpulan dan kekurangan penelitian di atas maka peneliti memberikan saran untuk penelitian selanjutnya, berikut ini adalah saran dari keterbatasan penelitian ini :

1. Pada penelitian selanjutnya disarankan agar hanya menggunakan satu buah objek penelitian saja untuk menghindari terjadinya bias persepsi, sehingga penelitian lebih terfokus dan hasilnya lebih akurat.
2. Pada penelitian selanjutnya disarankan untuk menghilangkan variabel yang memiliki nilai Adj R-Square dibawah 0.4, sehingga hanya memakai variabel yang tergolong dalam kategori kuat atau moderat saja.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdillah, W., Hartono. (2015). Partial Least Square (PLS). Penerbit Andi. Yogyakarta
- Alma, Buchari (2016), Manajemen Pemasaran dan Pemasaran Jasa, Bandung: Alfabeta
- Bisnis.com (2019). Bisnis Restoran Cepat Saji Berpeluang Tumbuh 15% tahun ini. Jakarta: Bisnis.com. <https://m.bisnis.com>
- Daulay, V. (2014). Persepsi Konsumen Dalam Memilih Makanan Cepat Saji (Studi di Restoran Cepat Saji KFC Suprpto Kota Bengkulu). 1–46.
- Fandi, Tjiptono. 2014. Service, Quality & Satisfaction. Edisi 3. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi
- Ghozali, Imam. (2014). Structural Equation Modeling Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS) Edisi 4. Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang
- Hanaysha, J. Testing the effects of food quality, price fairness, and physical environment on customer satisfaction in fast food restaurant industry. *J. Asian Bus. Strategy* 2016, 6, 31–40
- Katadata Media Network (2021). Inilah Merek Cepat Saji Terbaik di Indonesia pada 2021, Mana Kesukaanmu? <https://databoks.katadata.co.id>
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Marketing Management. United States: Pearson Education.
- Kotler, P dan Armstrong. 2018. Prinsip-prinsip Marketing Edisi Ke Tujuh. Penerbit Salemba Empat. Jakarta.
- Ramanathan, Ramakrishnan, Yun Di and Usha Ramanathan. 2016. Moderating roles of customer characteristics on the link between service factors and satisfaction in a buffet restaurant. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*. Vol. 23, Iss.2, pp.469-486.

- Rothenberger, S. (2015). Fairness through Transparency: The Influence of Price Transparency on Consumer Perceptions of Price Fairness. *Working Papers CEB*, 15
- Rozekhi, N. A., Hussin, S., Siddique, A. S., Rashid, P. D., & Salmi, N. S. (2016). *The Influence of Food Quality on Customer Satisfaction in Fine Dining Restaurant: Case in Penang. International Academic Research Journal of Business and Technology*
- Santoso, S. (2018). *Konsep Dasar dan Aplikasi SEM dengan AMOS 24*. PT. Elex Media Komputindo.
- Saragih, Menara, Lenny., Sarjani. (2017) Pengaruh Brand Image Dan Harga Terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan GrabCar di Kota Medan, *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis*, Vol. 30 No.1.
- Sekaran, Uma dan Bougie, Roger. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach*, 7th Edition. New Jersey: Wiley
- Veenhoven, R. (2015). Social conditions for human happiness: A review of research. *International Union of Psychological Science*, L (5), 379–391
- Zainal Afandi and Liliek Nur (2016) Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Loyalitas Konsumen Pada Rumah Ikan Mas Agus Madiun. *Mardika*, 14 (2). pp. 201-212. ISSN 0854-0861
- Zhong and Moon (2020) *What Drives Customer Satisfaction, Loyalty, and Happiness in Fast-Food Restaurants in China? Perceived Price, Service Quality, Food Quality, Physical Environment Quality, and the Moderating Role of Gender. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI)*
- Zhao et al.,(2010) Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and Truths about Mediation Analysis. *The Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol 37, No 2 (August 2010), pp. 197-206

LAMPIRAN

Kuesioner

9/12/22, 10:06 PM

Pengaruh Persepsi Harga dan Dimensi Kualitas terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas pada Generasi Milenial saat Mela...

Pengaruh Persepsi Harga dan Dimensi Kualitas terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas pada Generasi Milenial saat Melakukan Pembelian di Restoran Cepat Saji dengan Kebahagiaan sebagai Mediasi

Salam Sejahtera

Responden yang saya hormati, perkenalkan saya Dirga Dewantara Jati, saya mahasiswa dari Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomika Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. Memohon ketersediaan saudara/saudari untuk berpartisipasi dalam dalam mengisi kuesioner ini. Jawaban saudara/saudari akan menjadi masukan yang berharga dalam penelitian ini. Penelitian ini dilakukan dalam rangka memenuhi tugas akhir skripsi Manajemen Pemasaran. Saya mengucapkan terimakasih yang sebesar-besarnya atas partisipasi saudara-saudari dalam mensukseskan penelitian ini. Atas perhatian dan waktu saudara-saudari saya ucapkan terima kasih.

* Wajib

1. Nama

2. Jenis Kelamin *

Tandai satu oval saja.

☐ Pria

☐ Wanita

3. Berapa usia saat ini *

4. Provinsi tinggal saat ini *

5. Pengeluaran / uang saku setiap bulan *

Tandai satu oval saja.

- ☐ Kurang dari Rp.1.000.000
- ☐ Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.2.000.000
- ☐ Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.3.000.000
- ☐ Lebih dari Rp.3.000.000

6. Restoran cepat saji yang sering di kunjungi *

Tandai satu oval saja.

- ☐ Mc.Donalds
- ☐ Burger Kings
- ☐ KFC (Kentucky Fried Chicken)
- ☐ Pizza Hutt

7. Apakah anda termasuk dalam generasi milenial (lahir pada tahun 1980-2000)? *

Jika anda tidak termasuk dalam generasi milenial maka tidak perlu melanjutkan kuisisioner ini

Tandai satu oval saja.

- ☐ Ya
- ☐ Tidak



di bawah ini, maka akan terdapat 3 pernyataan yang akan dinilai sesuai atau tidak sesuai dengan kenyataan yang ada di restoran cepat saji.

**Persepsi
Harga**

Dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 point dari sangat tidak setuju hingga sangat setuju, diharapkan untuk memilih alternatif jawaban sesuai dengan pendapat anda, berdasarkan pengalaman yang ada rasakan saat melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji.

8. 1. Harga makanan cepat saji ini masuk akal *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

9. 2. Berdasarkan makanannya, harganya sesuai *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

10. 3. Harga makanan disini terjangkau *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

**Kualitas
Makanan**

Dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 point dari sangat tidak setuju hingga sangat setuju, diharapkan untuk memilih alternatif jawaban sesuai dengan pendapat anda, berdasarkan pengalaman yang ada rasakan saat melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji.

11. 4. Makanan di restoran cepat saji ini memiliki aroma yang wangi *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

12. 5. Makanan di restoran cepat saji ini memiliki rasa yang enak *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

13. 6. Makanannya masih fresh/segar *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

14. 7. Tampilan makanan menggugah selera *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju



**Kualitas
Layanan**

Dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 point dari sangat tidak setuju hingga sangat setuju, diharapkan untuk memilih alternatif jawaban sesuai dengan pendapat anda, berdasarkan pengalaman yang ada rasakan saat melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji.

15. 8. Pegawai/staf ramah dalam melayani pelanggan *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

16. 9. Pegawai/staf sangat membantu dalam proses pembelian makanan *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

17. 10. Pegawai/staf melayani dengan cepat dan tepat *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju



18. 11. Saya merasa nyaman dengan layanan pegawai/staf *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

Kualitas
Lingkungan

Dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 point dari sangat tidak setuju hingga sangat setuju, diharapkan untuk memilih alternatif jawaban sesuai dengan pendapat anda, berdasarkan pengalaman yang ada rasakan saat melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji.

19. 12. Lingkungan restoran ini bersih *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

20. 13. Pencahayaan di restoran ini membuat saya nyaman *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju



21. 14. Suhu di dalam restoran ini membuat saya nyaman *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

Kepuasan
Pelanggan

Dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 point dari sangat tidak setuju hingga sangat setuju, diharapkan untuk memilih alternatif jawaban sesuai dengan pendapat anda, berdasarkan pengalaman yang ada rasakan saat melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji.

22. 15. Saya puas dengan makanan yang di sajikan *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

23. 16. Saya pikir dengan mengunjungi restoran ini sudah tepat *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju



24. 17. Restoran ini memenuhi sebagian besar harapan saya *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

Loyalitas
Pelanggan

Dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 point dari sangat tidak setuju hingga sangat setuju, diharapkan untuk memilih alternatif jawaban sesuai dengan pendapat anda, berdasarkan pengalaman yang ada rasakan saat melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji.

25. 18. Saya akan terus mengunjungi restoran ini lagi *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

26. 19. Saya akan merekomendasikan restoran ini kepada orang lain *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju



27. 20. Saya akan mengatakan hal-hal positif tentang restoran ini kepada orang lain *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

Kebahagiaan

Dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 point dari sangat tidak setuju hingga sangat setuju, diharapkan untuk memilih alternatif jawaban sesuai dengan pendapat anda, berdasarkan pengalaman yang ada rasakan saat melakukan pembelian di restoran cepat saji.

28. 21. Saya pikir mengunjungi restoran cepat saji ini akan berkontribusi pada kebahagiaan pelanggan *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

29. 22. Dengan mengunjungi restoran ini, kualitas hidup pelanggan akan meningkat *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

30. 23. Restoran ini memberikan suasana yang bahagia dan menyenangkan dalam pengalaman bersantap untuk keluarga dan teman-teman *

Tandai satu oval saja.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	Sangat Setuju

Data Responden

Nama	Jenis Kelamin	Berkas	Provinsi	tingkat	Pengeluaran / uar	Restoran	Apa	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	F
Tami	Wanita	27	Jawa Tengah		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	3	3	3	4	4	2	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4
Eka S M	Wanita	34	Jawa Tengah		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	3	3	3	4
Yohanes	Pria	35	Jawa Tengah		Kurang dari Rp.1.000.001	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	2	2	2
Marko	Pria	22	Kalimantan		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
Fransisca	Wanita	22	Jawa Tengah		Kurang dari Rp.1.000.001	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2	4	4
Dheka S	Pria	23	DKI Jakarta		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Mc.Donald's	Ya	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
Maria	Wanita	22	Jawa Tengah		Kurang dari Rp.1.000.001	KFC (Ken)	Ya	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Ferani P	Wanita	22	D. I YOGYA		Kurang dari Rp.1.000.001	Mc.Donald's	Ya	2	3	4	5	4	2	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	2	4	4
Steven Y	Pria	22	Jawa Tengah		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	4	4	3	5	5	5	2	2	5	5
Maria Tr	Wanita	22	Jawa Barat		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken)	Ya	3	3	2	4	3	3	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
Elvia	Wanita	22	Jakarta		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Anonim	Pria	22	Papua		Kurang dari Rp.1.000.001	KFC (Ken)	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Steven Y	Pria	22	Jawa Tengah		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4
Kevin Ad	Pria	22	Kalimantan		Kurang dari Rp.1.000.001	KFC (Ken)	Ya	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	2	2	4	4	3	2	2	2
Elvia	Wanita	22	Jakarta		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4
Maria De	Wanita	23	Jawa Tengah		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	3	3	2	4	3	3	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
Panji	Pria	23	Kalimantan		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Burger King	Ya	4	5	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3
Mikael	Pria	22	Banten		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Elsa	Wanita	24	Sumatra Barat		Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Dina	Wanita	26	DKI Jakarta		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken)	Ya	4	4	3	4	3	4	5	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	5
Aldo	Pria	24	Jawa Timur		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Burger King	Ya	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	5	5	5	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3
Dinda	Wanita	22	Jawa Barat		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
Riko	Pria	23	Kalimantan		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken)	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	2	4	4	4
Indri	Wanita	25	DKI Jakarta		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
Hendra	Pria	24	Jawa Tengah		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5
Tri Agung	Pria	22	Jawa Tengah		Kurang dari Rp.1.000.001	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4
Dio	Pria	25	Sulawesi Selatan		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Pizza Hut	Ya	3	4	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	3	5	5
Yayan	Pria	26	Jawa Tengah		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Burger King	Ya	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Tendri	Wanita	25	Kalimantan		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Mc.Donald's	Ya	3	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5
Nana	Wanita	23	Jawa Tengah		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Lina	Wanita	22	Sulawesi Selatan		Lebih dari Rp.3.000.001	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	5	5
Lucyana	Wanita	23	Jawa Barat		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken)	Ya	5	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	5	3	4	5	3	3	5	1	4	2	3	4	3	3	3	3
Agus Her	Pria	23	Jambi		Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donald's	Ya	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	2	2	4	4	4	4

Ratna	Wanita	22	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3			
Herlamb	Pria	23	Sumatra U	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4			
Martha	Wanita	22	Yogyakarta	Kurang dari Rp.1.	Burger Ki	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	3			
Febriana	Wanita	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	3	3	3	5	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	4		
Diana	Wanita	24	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	3	4	2	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	3			
Ratih tirt	Wanita	24	Jawa Tenga	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Burger Ki	Ya	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	3		
Lisa	Wanita	22	Jawa Tenga	Kurang dari Rp.1.	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	4		
Nindia	Wanita	23	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	4		
Yumaira	Wanita	23	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Burger Ki	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4		
Caecilia	Pria	24	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	4		
Lisa	Wanita	23	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	4		
Adi	Pria	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Burger Ki	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3		
Eli	Wanita	23	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	3		
Abas	Pria	24	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2		
Ari	Pria	24	Jawa Timur	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	
Rinda Fit	Wanita	24	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	3		
Firna Ali	Wanita	25	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	5	4	4	3	3	3	2	2	3			
Agung	Pria	23	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3		
Ryantoro	Pria	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	4		
Maria ga	Wanita	26	DKI Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4		
Fadila	Wanita	24	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	4		
	Pria	25	DIY YOGYA	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	3	
	Wanita	23	Jawa Timur	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3		
	Wanita	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2		
	Wanita	22	Jawa Timur	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	
	Pria	24	Jawa Tenga	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Burger Ki	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	
	Wanita	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	1	4	
Rita	Wanita	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Burger Ki	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	3
	Wanita	24	DIY Yogyakarta	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Pizza Hut	Ya	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Jesika De	Wanita	25	Jawa Barat	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	
	Pria	22	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Wanita	26	Jawa Tenga	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	
	Wanita	25	Jawa Tenga	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	2	2	2
	Wanita	24	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	

	Wanita	25	Jawa Timur	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	4
Sabila	Wanita	24	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4
Melisa	Wanita	24	JAKARTA	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	4
	Wanita	24	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4
Agustinu	Pria	23	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	4
sasha	Wanita	25	Yogya	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4
Mona	Wanita	24	Sumatra U	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Eduardu	Pria	22	Yogya	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	3	4	4
Yustinus	Pria	24	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4
Liliana	Wanita	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	4	4
Junior	Pria	24	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4
Amanda	Wanita	24	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	5	4
Eka	Wanita	26	Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4
Jujun	Pria	24	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4
Pius	Pria	26	Jawa Tenga	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4
	Wanita	28	Dki Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Wanita	22	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Pria	24	Jawa timur	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Wanita	22	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4
	Wanita	28	Dki Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Angga	Pria	24	Jawa Barat	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5
	Wanita	24	Jawa timur	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4
	Wanita	25	Dki Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Cintya A	Wanita	23	Yogyakarta	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	3	3	3
	Wanita	25	DIY	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Tifany	Wanita	25	DIY	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	4
	Wanita	23	Jawa Tenga	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Burger Kil	Ya	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3
Handok	Pria	29	DIY	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
	Wanita	27	DIY	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Pizza Hut	Ya	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4
	Pria	24	DIY	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
Ferrari	Pria	22	DIY	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5
	Wanita	27	Jawa Tenga	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Mc.Dona	Ya	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
	Pria	30	DIY	Lebih dari Rp.3.00	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	5
Kiky	Pria	22	DIY	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp	Mc.Dona	Ya	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4

[illegible]

Belva Ar	Wanita	26	Jawa Tengah	Lebih dari Rp.3.000	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	
Salma Pu	Wanita	25	Jawa Tengah	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Putra Aji	Pria	28	Jawa Timur	Lebih dari Rp.3.000	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	
Nino Sap	Pria	27	Jawa Timur	Lebih dari Rp.3.000	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Cello Ati	Wanita	25	DKI Jakarta	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Neta Kris	Wanita	24	DIY, Yogya	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Petrus Ti	Pria	24	Jawa Tengah	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Caecilia I	Wanita	26	Jawa Tengah	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	
Agus	Pria	27	Jawa Tengah	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Fransiska	Wanita	26	DKI Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.000	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	
Yolanda	Wanita	24	Jawa Timur	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Ella	Wanita	26	Jawa Tengah	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	
Sesilia	Wanita	23	Kalimantan	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Naresta	Pria	24	Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.000	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Rizky Ah	Pria	23	Kalimantan	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
fandi sag	Pria	22	Jawa Timur	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Yeni	Wanita	25	Jawa Barat	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Suci Feb	Wanita	23	Jawa Timur	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	
vincent r	Pria	23	Bali	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	
Bamban	Pria	27	Jakarta	Lebih dari Rp.3.000	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Susi	Wanita	26	Jawa Barat	Lebih dari Rp.3.000	Pizza Hut	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	
Andri	Pria	26	Yogyakarta	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Agus Her	Pria	23	Jambi	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Adinda R	Wanita	22	DKI	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	
Ninda	Wanita	22	DIY	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Dewanto	Pria	27	Jakarta	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Pria	28	DIY	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Valentin	Wanita	26	Jawa Tengah	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	
	Pria	27	Jawa Tengah	Rp.2.000.001 - Rp.	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Muliya V	Wanita	22	Jawa Timur	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Pria	26	Yogyakarta	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	KFC (Ken	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Ester Lis	Wanita	22	Yogyakarta	Kurang dari Rp.1.000	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
	Pria	27	Jawa Timur	Rp.1.000.001 - Rp.	Burger Kil	Ya	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Pria	22	Yogyakarta	Kurang dari Rp.1.000	Mc.Donal	Ya	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

Hasil olah data outer model

Validitas Diskriminan

 Kriteria Fornell-Larcker		 Cross Loadings		 Rasio Heterotrait-Monotr...		 Rasio Heterotrait-Monotr...		Salin ke Clipboard:		 Format Excel	
	KEBAHAGIAAN	KEPUASAN PE...	KUALITAS LAY...	KUALITAS LIN...	KUALITAS MA...	LOYALITAS PE...	PERSEPSI HAR...				
KEBAHAGIAAN	0.884										
KEPUASAN PEL...	0.492	0.797									
KUALITAS LAY...	0.391	0.497	0.803								
KUALITAS LING...	0.474	0.448	0.522	0.808							
KUALITAS MAK...	0.469	0.439	0.374	0.443	0.802						
LOYALITAS PEL...	0.506	0.535	0.467	0.420	0.420	0.877					
PERSEPSI HAR...	0.399	0.486	0.347	0.311	0.373	0.459	0.865				



Validitas dan Reliabilitas Konstruk

	Matriks	Cronbach's Alpha	rho_A	Reliabilitas Komposit	Rata-rata Va
		Cronbach's Al...	rho_A	Reliabilitas Ko...	Rata-rata Varia...
Kebahagiaan		0.860	0.860	0.915	0.781
Kepuasan Pela...		0.713	0.716	0.840	0.636
Kualitas Layanan		0.816	0.828	0.879	0.646
Kualitas Lingku...		0.732	0.743	0.849	0.653
Kualitas Makan...		0.815	0.832	0.878	0.643
Loyalitas Pelan...		0.850	0.851	0.909	0.769
Persepsi Harga		0.832	0.843	0.899	0.748

Hasil olah data inner model


R Square

Matriks	R Square	Adjusted R Square
	R Square	Adjusted R Square
Kebahagiaan	0.242	0.239
Kepuasan Pela...	0.408	0.400
Kualitas Layanan	0.120	0.117
Kualitas Lingku...	0.096	0.093
Kualitas Makan...	0.139	0.137
Loyalitas Pelan...	0.364	0.360

Koefisien Jalur

Matriks	Koefisien Jalur							Salin ke Clipboard:	Format Excel	Format R
	Kebahagiaan	Kepuasan Pela...	Kualitas Layanan	Kualitas Lingku...	Kualitas Maka...	Loyalitas Pelan...	Persepsi Harga			
Kebahagiaan						0.320				
Kepuasan Pela...	0.492					0.378				
Kualitas Layanan		0.256								
Kualitas Lingku...		0.149								
Kualitas Makan...		0.170								
Loyalitas Pelan...										
Persepsi Harga		0.287	0.347	0.311	0.373					

	Sampel Asli (O)	T Statistik (O/...	P Values
Kebahagiaan -> Loyalitas Pelanggan	0.320	5.856	0.000
Kepuasan Pelanggan -> Kebahagiaan	0.492	11.407	0.000
Kepuasan Pelanggan -> Loyalitas Pelanggan	0.378	4.714	0.000
Kualitas Layanan -> Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.256	3.812	0.000
Kualitas Lingkungan Fisik -> Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.149	2.196	0.029
Kualitas Makanan -> Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.170	3.217	0.001
Persepsi Harga -> Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.287	5.099	0.000
Persepsi Harga -> Kualitas Layanan	0.347	6.538	0.000
Persepsi Harga -> Kualitas Lingkungan Fisik	0.311	4.460	0.000
Persepsi Harga -> Kualitas Makanan	0.373	6.995	0.000





Article

What Drives Customer Satisfaction, Loyalty, and Happiness in Fast-Food Restaurants in China? Perceived Price, Service Quality, Food Quality, Physical Environment Quality, and the Moderating Role of Gender

Yongping Zhong and Hee Cheol Moon *

Department of International Trade, Chungnam National University, 99 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34134, Korea; viviennzhyp91@gmail.com

* Correspondence: hemoon@cnu.ac.kr

Received: 8 March 2020; Accepted: 3 April 2020; Published: 8 April 2020



Abstract: The fast-food service industry has been growing rapidly across China over the last few decades. In accordance with the rising consumption level in the country, Chinese customers care increasingly about their food choices. The purpose of this study is to investigate the factors that can influence customer satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness, with a particular focus on the moderating role of gender. Data were collected through an online survey completed by customers who visited Western fast-food restaurants (KFC, McDonalds, etc.) in China. The structural equation model was applied to test 12 hypotheses. Results showed that perceived price, food, service, and physical environment quality positively affected customer satisfaction. Perceived price can significantly influence customers' judgement of the quality dimensions of a restaurant. Moreover, customer satisfaction and happiness can lead to a sense of loyalty. Happiness functions as a mediator between satisfaction and loyalty. Nonetheless, our findings indicated that customers' perceptions of food quality based on price and satisfaction levels based on service quality differ significantly between the genders, which demonstrated that gender moderation exists in food consumption. This study will contribute to a better understanding of managerial and theoretical perspectives, which will be beneficial for subsequent research.

Keywords: food consumption; food service industry; satisfaction; loyalty; happiness; perceived price; service quality; food quality; physical environment quality; moderating role of gender

1. Introduction

China, a huge emerging market with great potential, has been growing very fast since joining the WTO (World Trade Organization) in the early 2000s. Over the past few decades, the consumption level of the middle class has been increasing. Meanwhile, Western fast-food chains are expanding rapidly, and eating in a Western fast food restaurant has become a trend among the younger generations [1]. As a result, competition within the catering industry has become more and more fierce. Today, Western fast-food giants are facing challenges from local restaurants that have expanded dramatically across China in recent years. In addition, with the improvement in living conditions, Chinese customers care increasingly about what, how, and where they eat, so competition between Western companies and local companies is inevitable. In order to compete with local food restaurants and generate greater profit, Western fast-food companies must pay more attention to price, service quality, food quality, and physical environment. Of these four factors, price is the most critically influential. Price may

reflect service quality and even change customers' purchasing behavior [2]. It can influence customers' perception of restaurant quality [3]. These quality dimensions (service, food, and physical environment) of a restaurant are crucial determinants of customer satisfaction [4]. Maintaining customer satisfaction is very important because it can lead to repeat customers and increased sales [5,6]. A great amount of research in the service marketing field has focused solely on customer satisfaction and loyalty. However, there have been insufficient comprehensive studies conducted to establish how happiness and life satisfaction are related to consumer buying patterns [7]. However, happiness is assumed to constitute a higher level of customer satisfaction [8] and can improve people's quality of life [9]. The concept of happiness has recently aroused increased attention from scientists working in various fields. Through a good dining experience, customers may improve their life quality and increase their happiness. A delightful dining experience can entice customers to revisit a restaurant. Investigating the role of happiness is significantly necessary for the food service industry.

According to international studies of addictive shopping, female customers contribute 70% of product sales [10], while in China, based on 2018 World Bank data [11], females accounted for 48.7% of the total population. Recently, female purchasing power has been increasing. Understanding gender differences is crucial for a country's economic and social policy and strategies from a macro perspective, and it is also important in terms of company success from a micro perspective [12]. Individual customer characteristics can be used as marketing segments which allow companies to adjust and maintain specific strategies based on customer needs. Such demographic features can provide companies with more information for market segmentation to achieve better market penetration, while gender is always one of the most common marketing segments [13]. Gender differences have commonly been studied in psychological contexts, but how gender can affect customer perceptions and attitudes toward a restaurant is relatively less developed in service-marketing. It appears that studies of gender differences related to food consumption and dining experience have been very limited, but ignoring gender differences in food consumption may cause management problems.

Examining how perceived price, service quality, food quality, and physical environment quality can influence customer behavior, testing the implications of perceived price on restaurant quality dimensions, and studying the relationships in the proposed model will contribute to understanding the food service industry in China. This study intends to narrow down theoretical and practical gaps by developing an integrated model with a special focus on gender effects. If Western fast-food companies can understand customer behavior better, set more specific market segmentation, and launch marketing strategies targeting different genders, they are more likely to keep customers satisfied, happy, and loyal. This study consists of five parts: introduction, literature review and hypotheses, methodology, results, and discussions and conclusion.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses

2.1. The Effect of Perceived Price, Food, Service, and Physical Environment Quality on Customer Satisfaction

Price refers to the amount of money that customers spend on a product or service. Generally speaking, price is the value that customers give up as an exchange for the benefits of using a product or service [14]. Price plays an important role in generating consumer satisfaction, as customers always evaluate the value of a service by its price [15]. Campbell (1999) [16] indicated that price fairness significantly impacts brand image; as a consequence, perceived price unfairness may cause negative behaviors, such as negative word of mouth and switching brands. Rothenberger (2015) [17] also suggested that customers' negative perception toward unfair prices can cause dissatisfaction, decreased repurchasing behavior, negative word of mouth, and complaints.

Food quality is very significant in determining customer satisfaction and loyalty. Generally, food quality refers to several aspects including food presentation, taste, menu diversity, healthiness, and freshness [18]. A high level of food quality is a key marketing strategy which can satisfy and retain customers, and provide a happy purchasing experience for them. Food quality can have a considerable

effect on customer satisfaction and behavioral intentions [19]. Several studies indicated that food quality can positively influence customer satisfaction [20–22].

Customers' decisions and purchasing behaviors are closely related to their evaluation of the overall experience of a service or product [23]. Service quality can significantly affect customer satisfaction and loyalty, which is critical to a company's success. High levels of service quality may lead to high customer satisfaction [24], but if the service performance fails to match customers' expectations, dissatisfaction will occur [25].

Physical environment of a hotel or restaurant can strengthen the brand image of a company, reshape customers' perceptions, and directly influence customer satisfaction [26]. According to Hanaysha (2016) [18], all tangible and intangible elements inside and outside of the restaurant are included in the concept of physical environment, including temperature, lighting, scent, noise, atmosphere, and music. He also suggested that a well-maintained physical environment can serve to maintain a restaurant's existing customer base as well as attract new customers.

Customers' perception of product, atmospherics, and service are closely related to their emotions (both positive and negative), and behavioral intentions based on the consumption experience in the restaurants [22,27]. Ambient elements (such as sound, smell, taste, touch), design elements (such as store decoration and layout), and social elements (such as interaction with member staff) can extensively impact customers behavior [22]. Lim (2010) [28] also indicated that a high food and service quality, along with a comfortable atmosphere, are very important to a restaurant because it may contribute to a higher satisfaction level and even influence customers' subsequent behavior in the food service industry.

Based on the literature presented above, the following hypotheses are proposed:

Hypothesis 1 (H1). *Perceived price has positive effects on customer satisfaction.*

Hypothesis 2 (H2). *Food quality has positive effects on customer satisfaction.*

Hypothesis 3 (H3). *Service quality has positive effects on customer satisfaction.*

Hypothesis 4 (H4). *Physical environment has positive effects on customer satisfaction.*

2.2. The Effect of Perceived Price on Food, Service, and Physical Environment Quality

Price is the value that customers sacrifice to obtain a product or service [14,29]. Price includes information for evaluating the level of service which may influence customers' purchasing behavior [2]. According to Ryu and Han (2010) [4], perceived price moderates the correlations between quality dimensions (food quality, service quality, and physical environment quality) and satisfaction, which means if the perceived price is reasonable, this may increase the customer satisfaction level regarding food, service, and physical environment quality. Price can influence customers' value expectations of a restaurant [30]. Customers are not only affected by the actual price of a product or service stated on the price tag, but also affected by their own perceptions which are shaped in a comparative and subjective way [31]. The actual price will not increase the quality of a product or service, but it definitely will influence the subjective value [32]. When evaluating the quality of a product or service, the higher the price, the higher the quality the customers expect, because higher prices add equal value to the quality [33]. Even though the price perceived by the customers will effectively change customers' expectations of food, service, and physical environment quality from a restaurant, little empirical research has tested how perceived price can influence customers' judgement of restaurant quality directly, and the internal relationships between perceived price and quality dimensions remain unclear. Therefore, in this study, instead of investigating whether price moderates the relationships between these three quality dimensions and customer satisfaction, as Ryu and Han (2010) [4] sought to do, we

intend to study how perceived price can directly and positively affect these quality dimensions. And the following hypotheses are proposed:

Hypothesis 5 (H5). *Perceived price has positive effects on food quality.*

Hypothesis 6 (H6). *Perceived price has positive effects on service quality.*

Hypothesis 7 (H7). *Perceived price has positive effects on physical environment quality.*

2.3. Customer Satisfaction, Loyalty, and Happiness

Customer satisfaction can be defined as an overall assessment of a product or service based on the experience of purchasing and consuming it over time [34]. Service and product quality, pricing strategy, and store characteristics are the main factors that can affect customer satisfaction. Companies can achieve customer satisfaction and loyalty by providing good-quality products and services [6]. Satisfied customers tend to repurchase products and become loyal customers, and they are positively engaged in giving recommendations to other customers and less sensitive to price [34]. Moreover, once customers are satisfied with a product or brand, they are more likely to recommend the brand to others, and are more likely to repeatedly purchase that product instead of switching to other alternative brands [35].

Dick and Basu (1994) [36] noted that customer loyalty can be categorized in three ways: service loyalty, brand loyalty, and store loyalty. Other scholars, such as Bowen and Chen (2001) [37], stated that behavior (consistent, repetitive purchasing action), attitude (emotional and psychological connections), composite (a mixture of the two measures above, loyalty measured by customer preferences, repurchasing, word of mouth, and inclination to switch to other brands) are the three key elements in defining loyalty. Customers' experience can be connected not only with functional dimension, but also other dimensions, such as sensorial, emotional, cognitive, behavioral, and relational aspects [22]. Repurchasing intentions are critically influenced by product satisfaction, and if satisfaction level increases, customer retention is a greater possibility [38]. In other words, satisfaction will lead to loyalty, and customer loyalty is a derivative of customer satisfaction [34].

During food consumption, an exceptional dining experience will not only make customers satisfied but also happy. Happiness is a positive judgment from the subjective perspective of an individual who feels satisfied with his current situation, and consumer happiness refers to the emotions of consumers which are related to consumption activities [39]. Additionally, customer happiness is defined as conceptions of customers based on the extent to which their well-being and quality of life quality are improved [9]. The purpose of service marketing is shifted from making customers satisfied to increasing their happiness, which reaches beyond the concept of satisfaction [40]. Happiness is considered as a higher level of customer satisfaction [8]. Satisfaction accompanied by concrete activities that relate to life domains can enhance customer happiness [41]. For example, consumers engaged in materialistic consumption implicitly believe that this kind of consumption may increase their self-esteem [42]. Nicolao, Irwin, and Goodman (2009) [43] suggested that many experiential purchases involve activities with other people including friends and family, and positive social interaction is a major source of happiness. In other words, the purchasing experience can bring customers happiness, and in order to achieve greater happiness, customers may repeatedly engage in consumption activities. Happiness could lead satisfied customers to be more loyal, but none of the previous studies have tested if happiness plays a mediating role between satisfaction and loyalty, so the relationship between these factors remains uncertain. In this study, in order to understand the connections between these three variables more deeply, we will test the mediation effect of happiness.

Therefore, based on preceding studies, the following hypotheses are proposed:

Hypothesis 8 (H8). *Customer satisfaction has positive effects on customer loyalty.*

Hypothesis 9 (H9). Customer satisfaction has positive effects on happiness.

Hypothesis 10 (H10). Happiness has positive effects on customer loyalty.

Hypothesis 11 (H11). Happiness mediates the relationship between satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness.

2.4. Gender Differences

Gender is considered to be critically influential in the purchasing process. Gender as a marketing segment is a decisive factor in terms of market penetration. As more and more women are becoming more powerful and active in purchasing activities, ignoring gender differences when devising marketing strategies may bring problems. In some studies, women tend to be relatively more emotional [44], while men are considered to be relatively more aggressive and autonomous [45]. Argyle and Henderson (1984) [46] pointed out that female customers generally tend to give higher ratings for performance than males. In addition, females may intend to have affiliation with people and place more emphasis on social interactions when they are served by others [47]. Furthermore, gender differences can lead to different food choices. Some studies have shown that unlike most males, females pay more attention to food and they are more concerned about food choices [48,49]. Gender differences are closely related to how male and female customers will evaluate a restaurant's quality, and can have implications for their subsequent behavior. Studying the moderating effects of gender on customers' dining experience and food consumption is very necessary as it may contribute to a better understanding of different gender groups, which will also minimize research gaps within prior studies and reduce market segmentation problems.

2.4.1. Perceived Price, Restaurant Quality Dimensions, and Gender

Referring to previous literature, evidence of how gender influences peoples' conception of fairness toward perceived price seems to be complicated. Some scholars, for example, Snipes, Thomson, and Oswald (2006) [50], indicate that male customers are inclined to assign higher scores for fairness. Additionally, according to Beldona and Namasivayam (2006) [51], who studied demand-based pricing and gender differences in perceived fairness, females tend to rate lower for perceived fairness, which means that they are more likely to perceive a price as unfair. Others argued differently; for example, Adams (1965) [52] claimed that females are more likely to be sensitive when it comes to fairness under similar contexts, while Lee and Farh (1999) [53] pointed out that there are not any gender differences in justice-outcome relationships and any actual differences observed may result from contextual and study design factors. However, previous studies presented contradictory arguments, and most of them mainly focused on the differences in judgements of fairness according to gender, but insufficient studies have investigated how gender differences may moderate the relationship between perceived price and quality dimensions of a restaurant. Thus, it remains unclear whether gender is a factor that moderates the correlations between perceived price and customers' judgements of the attributes of a restaurant.

Some prior studies mentioned gender differences in evaluating product quality and physical environment quality, and suggested that males and females vary in terms of fashion consumption, with female customers being more sensitive to product quality and physical elements of product attributes [54]. Gender differences also play an important role in food consumption. Some studies have indicated that female and male customers generally have different requirements for food, suggesting that females place more emphasis on attributes of food quality, such as taste, presentation, and menu variety, but males place more emphasis on portions [55,56]. Buda, Sengupta, and Elkhoully (2006) [57] noted that gender and education have implications for service quality dimensions that include tangible elements, such as physical facilities and equipment. Holbrook (1986) [58] also indicated that females are more sensitive to visual and romantic elements than males. Still, studies related to how gender

can influence customers' judgement of food quality and physical environment of a restaurant remain comparatively limited in quantity.

The literature related to gender effects on service quality tends also to be very complicated. Some studies have found that female customers tend to give lower ratings for service quality than males [50,59,60]. However, some other scholars have differing views toward service quality based on gender. For example, Peter and Olson's (1999) study [61] suggested that female customers can be more sensitive than males with regard to relational aspects of a service. Moreover, Ndhlovu and Senguder's (2002) study [62] found that male and female customers' perceptions do not significantly differ regarding hotel staff service.

2.4.2. Satisfaction, Loyalty, Happiness, and Gender

Based on the American Customer Satisfaction Survey Index, female customers' satisfaction level is generally higher than that of males [63], which can be explained by the fact that females tend to have more communal concerns and are more in need of connections and harmonious relationships with other people [64]. Additionally, men have a higher tendency than women to take risks, and are expected to be involved socially in risky behavior. Male tend to be less worried about switching brands and trying new things, so they may be less likely to become loyal to a provider [65], but compared to males, females are more likely to revisit a restaurant if they are satisfied [66].

Some psychological studies have indicated that gender does not influence people's perception of happiness. According to Chui and Wong (2016) [67], female happiness and life satisfaction are related to family and other social ties, but male happiness may be more closely connected with feelings (self-concept). They investigated the effects of gender on happiness and life satisfaction in Hong Kong, but found that gender is relatively unimportant vis-à-vis happiness. Happiness has been researched within other social science fields for a long time, but in the service-marketing field, no study has investigated whether gender plays a significant role in shaping customers behaviors toward happiness.

Therefore, in order to fill this research gap and investigate if gender moderates the relationship between these variables (perceived price, service quality, food quality, physical environment quality, customer satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness), we would like to propose the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis 12 (H12). Gender moderates the relationships between perceived price, service quality, food quality, physical environment quality, customer satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness.

3. Methodology

3.1. Questionnaire

The questionnaire was divided into 7 parts, each part investigates one market factor: perceived price (PP), food quality (FQ), service quality (SQ), physical environment quality (PQ), customer satisfaction (SA), customer loyalty (LY), and happiness (HA). A 5-point Likert-type scale that ranged from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) was adopted in this study and which was used previously by Kasiri et al. (2017) [68] to measure service quality, customer satisfaction, and loyalty. Hanaysha (2016) [18] also used a 5-point Likert-type scale to measure food quality, price fairness, physical environment, and satisfaction. Twenty-three items (see Table 1) were used to measure the aforementioned 7 factors. Most of the items were adapted from previous studies, but considering the limited literature relating to happiness, this variable was measured using two items from Gong and Yi (2018) [9] and a self-developed item.

Table 1. Questionnaire and sources.

Variable	Observed Variables	Items	Source
Perceived Price (PP)	PP1	The price of the fast food is reasonable.	Adapted from [18,60,70]
	PP2	Based on the food, the price here is fair.	
	PP3	The price of the fast food is affordable.	
Food Quality (FQ)	FQ1	The food smells good.	Adapted from [5,18]
	FQ2	The food is delicious.	
	FQ3	The food is fresh.	
	FQ4	The food looks attractive to me.	
Service Quality (SQ)	SQ1	Staff members are friendly.	Adapted from [5,21]
	SQ2	Staff members are very helpful.	
	SQ3	Staff members serve quickly and promptly.	
	SQ4	I feel comfortable with staff members' service.	
Physical Environment Quality (PQ)	PQ2	The restaurant environment is clean.	Adapted from [5,18]
	PQ3	The lighting in the restaurant is comfortable.	
	PQ4	The temperature in the restaurant is comfortable.	
Satisfaction (SA)	SA1	The overall experience of this fast-food restaurant is satisfying.	Adapted from [5,18]
	SA2	I think my decision to visit this restaurant was a wise one.	
	SA3	This restaurant meets most of my expectations.	
Loyalty (LY)	LY1	I will continue to visit this restaurant.	Adapted from [5,9]
	LY2	I will recommend this restaurant to others.	
	LY3	I will say positive things about this restaurant to others.	
Happiness (HA)	HA1	I think visiting this fast-food restaurant will contribute to customer happiness.	Adapted from [9]
	HA2	By visiting this restaurant, customers' quality of life will be improved.	
	HA3	This restaurant provides a happy and enjoyable dining experience for my family and friends.	Self-developed

3.2. Data Collection

Data were mainly collected from Western fast-food restaurants (KFC, McDonalds, etc.) in China. Before beginning research, the questionnaire was translated into Chinese by the authors in order to make sure that participants fully understood the research content. The questionnaires were sent to potential participants through a Chinese survey website, which is operated by Tencent company, aiming to act as a social platform for online survey. The data were randomly collected online during September of 2019. In total, 325 volunteers participated in this study. However, as fast-food is more popular among the young generations and they have more access to the internet, the majority of the respondents were aged 21–40. Most of the participants took about 10 minutes to complete the survey, but only 305 of them completed the questionnaires properly. Questionnaires that contained incomplete or inappropriate answers were excluded from analysis.

The descriptive data (see Table 2) show that 41.3% of the participants were male and 58.7% were female. Approximately 63.0% of respondents were from the age group 21–30 years old, followed by 20.3% aged 31–40, 13.1% aged 20 and under, 3.3% aged 41–50, and only 0.3% of the respondents were above 50. The educational backgrounds of respondents were quite varied; most of them had undergraduate degrees (50.8%) or graduate degrees and above (22%). Of these participants, 20.6% of them visited fast-food restaurants 1–2 times every 6 months and 13.1% visited 2 times every 3 months. Most respondents visited fast-food restaurants quite often, as 19% of them would do so once per month, 26.2% 2–3 times monthly, 16.1% 1–3 times weekly, and 4.9% more than 3 times weekly. The monthly income of the majority was more than 3000 RMB; 24.3% of them earned 5001–8000 RMB per month, followed by 3001–5000 RMB (23.6%) and more than 8000 RMB (15.4%).

Table 2. Sample profile.

Variable		Frequency	Percent %
Gender	Male	126	41.3
	Female	179	58.7
Age	20 and under	40	13.1
	21–30	192	63.0
	31–40	62	20.3
	41–50	10	3.3
	above 50	1	0.3
Education	below high school	13	4.3
	high school/vocational school/technical school	31	10.2
	junior college	39	12.8
	undergraduate	155	50.8
	graduate and above	67	22.0
Frequency of Visiting Fast-Food Restaurants	1–2 times every 6 months	63	20.6
	2 times every 3 months	40	13.1
	once a month	58	19.0
	2–3 times a month	80	26.2
	1–3 times a week	49	16.1
Monthly Income	more than 3 times a week	15	4.9
	less or equal to 1000 RMB	29	9.5
	1001–1500 RMB	32	10.5
	1501–2000 RMB	30	9.8
	2001–3000 RMB	21	6.9
	3001–5000 RMB	72	23.6
	5001–8000 RMB	74	24.3
	more than 8000 RMB	47	15.4
total		305	100.0

4. Analysis and Results

In order to test the proposed hypotheses, this study adopted a PLS-SEM (Partial least squares-structural equation modeling) approach [71–74]. The PLS-SEM method has been used widely in management research in recent years [75–78]. PLS has minimal restrictions for sample size and residual distributions [79]. In general, PLS is suitable for explaining complicated relationships because it can avoid inadmissible solutions and factor indeterminacy [80]. In this study, because 7 variables were included to study complicated relationships between these variables and moderating effects, the PLS-SEM method was deemed to be relatively suitable and beneficial for the research purpose. The study utilized structural equation modeling (SEM) using SmartPLS3.2.8 software. Partial least squares (PLS) were used with a 5000-subsample bootstrapping procedure, which was suggested by Hair et al. (2016) [81]. In addition, SPSS 24 was used during data analysis for exploratory factor analysis and descriptive analysis.

4.1. Exploratory Factor Analysis

The exploratory factor analysis used SPSS 24. In this study, exploratory factor analysis is conducted to reduce data to a smaller set of summary variables and to explore the potential theoretical structure of the phenomena, which can also examine the relationship between different variables. Based on Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's test results (Table 3a), the sampling adequacy was 0.944 and the significant level was below 0.001, indicating the data were suitable for exploratory factor analysis. Principal component analysis (extraction method) and Equamax with Kaiser normalization (rotation method) were used to extract factors, which were suggested by Maroco, A. L. and Maroco, J. to investigate customer satisfaction and loyalty [82]. Equamax is a combination of the varimax method

and the quartimax method, which can simplify the factors and the variables. This method can minimize the number of variables that load heavily on an item and the number of items needed for explaining a variable. Based on this method, factors, LY3, SA3, PQ1 were excluded from the next-step analysis after the exploratory factor analysis due to cross-loading problems (see Table 3b). The remaining 23 items were applied in further analysis as follows.

Table 3. (a) KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin) and Bartlett's Test. (b) Rotated component matrix.

(a)							
KMO and Bartlett's Test							
KMO Measure of Sampling Adequacy		Bartlett's Test of Sphericity					
0.944		Approx. Chi-Square	Df	Sig.			
		4095.278	253	0.000			

(b)							
Rotated Component Matrix ^a							
	Component						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
SQ1	0.782						
SQ3	0.757						
SQ2	0.683						
SQ4	0.682						
PP1		0.828					
PP2		0.816					
PP3		0.788					
FQ1			0.761				
FQ4			0.707				
FQ2			0.682				
FQ3			0.546				
HA2				0.782			
HA3				0.666			
HA1				0.657			
PQ3					0.757		
PQ4					0.741		
PQ2					0.721		
LY1						0.752	
LY4						0.630	
LY2						0.610	
SA4							0.663
SA2							0.661
SA1							0.639

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Equamax with Kaiser Normalization.

Df: degrees of freedom; Sig.: Significance. Factor loadings below 0.5 were not shown. ^a Rotation converged in 15 iterations.

4.2. SEM Model Analysis

The fitness of the model was evaluated by the root mean square residual (SRMR) and normed fit index (NFI). If SRMR is less than 0.08, it indicates a reasonable fit [83]. The SRMR of this model was 0.052, which indicates good model fitness. Bentler and Bonett (1980) [84] suggested that the NFI value should range from 0 to 1, but the closer the NFI is to 1, the better the fitness is. As the NFI was 0.826 in this model, it represented reasonable model fitness.

Cronbach's Alpha was included to assess the reliability of each construct. The overall Cronbach's Alpha values for each construct was above 0.7, which means that Cronbach's Alpha values were

within the acceptable level [85] and indicate a high level of internal consistency of each variable (see Table 4). If the rho A value is more than 0.7, it means a regular fit [86]; thus, rho_A value of this model was within the acceptable level. The outer factor loadings were above 0.5 (see Table 4), which were consistent with the recommended level [87] and showed good convergent validity. In order to test the PLS-SEM models, AVE (average variance extracted) and CR (construct reliability) were evaluated. In the measurement model, the recommended AVE level was above 0.5 and the CR level was above 0.7, which matches Bagozzi and Yi's (1988) [88] suggestion indicating good construct reliability (see Table 4). The discriminant validity was evaluated in adherence with Fornell and Larcker's (1981) [89] theories where AVE's square root of each construct should exceed the correlation value and results showed good discriminant validity (see Table 5).

Table 4. Outer loading, construct reliability, and validity results.

Variables	Outer Loadings (CFA)	Cronbach's Alpha	rho_A	Composite Reliability	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
perceived price	PP1	0.868	0.852	0.91	0.771
	PP2	0.884			
	PP3	0.883			
food quality	FQ1	0.827	0.85	0.899	0.69
	FQ2	0.863			
	FQ3	0.799			
	FQ4	0.832			
service quality	SQ1	0.804	0.846	0.896	0.684
	SQ2	0.849			
	SQ3	0.821			
	SQ4	0.832			
physical environment quality	PQ2	0.844	0.791	0.878	0.706
	PQ3	0.861			
	PQ4	0.815			
satisfaction	SA1	0.859	0.822	0.894	0.738
	SA2	0.882			
	SA4	0.835			
loyalty	LY1	0.835	0.825	0.895	0.741
	LY2	0.884			
	LY4	0.862			
happiness	HA1	0.876	0.854	0.911	0.774
	HA2	0.880			
	HA3	0.885			

Table 5. Discriminant validity.

	FQ	HA	LY	PP	PQ	SA	SQ
food quality	0.831						
happiness	0.615	0.88					
loyalty	0.591	0.696	0.861				
perceived price	0.525	0.513	0.458	0.878			
physical environment quality	0.594	0.533	0.539	0.365	0.84		
satisfaction	0.669	0.692	0.71	0.546	0.613	0.859	
service quality	0.609	0.51	0.544	0.411	0.506	0.566	0.827

4.3. Hypotheses Test Results

As shown in Table 6, 10 hypotheses were statistically significant. Perceived price ($\beta = 0.228$, $p < 0.001$), food quality ($\beta = 0.288$, $p < 0.001$), service quality ($\beta = 0.155$, $p < 0.01$), and physical environment quality ($\beta = 0.280$, $p < 0.001$) were positively related to customer satisfaction, supporting H1, H2, H3, and H4. The findings for H5, H6, and H7 demonstrated that perceived price had positive

effects on food quality ($\beta = 0.525$, $p < 0.001$), service quality ($\beta = 0.411$, $p < 0.001$), and physical environment ($\beta = 0.365$, $p < 0.001$), which supported H5, H6, and H7. The data also indicated that customer satisfaction could positively influence customer loyalty ($\beta = 0.438$, $p < 0.001$) and happiness ($\beta = 0.692$, $p < 0.001$); therefore, H8 and H9 was supported. Furthermore, the coefficient between happiness and customer loyalty was 0.393 ($p < 0.001$), which indicated that happiness could positively impact loyalty, so H10 was supported (Figure 1).

Table 6. Hypotheses test results.

	Hypotheses	β	STDEV	T Statistics	p Values	Result
H1	perceived price $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.228	0.054	4.255	0.000	accepted
H2	food quality $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.288	0.068	4.253	0.000	accepted
H3	service quality $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.155	0.058	2.663	0.008	accepted
H4	physical environment quality $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.280	0.054	5.209	0.000	accepted
H5	perceived price $\rightarrow$ food quality	0.525	0.052	10.037	0.000	accepted
H6	perceived price $\rightarrow$ service quality	0.411	0.053	7.809	0.000	accepted
H7	perceived price $\rightarrow$ physical environment quality	0.365	0.046	7.942	0.000	accepted
H8	satisfaction $\rightarrow$ loyalty	0.438	0.061	7.178	0.000	accepted
H9	satisfaction $\rightarrow$ happiness	0.692	0.03	23.138	0.000	accepted
H10	happiness $\rightarrow$ loyalty	0.393	0.065	6.063	0.000	accepted

Note: STDEV: Standard Deviation




Figure 1. PLS-SEM (Partial least squares - structural equation modeling) whole group results (note: ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$).

4.4. Moderating Effects of Gender

Multigroup analysis with parametric testing was performed in PLS to test the gender moderating effects of 10 paths (see Table 7, Figure 2). Two out of ten paths (H3 and H5) proved to differ significantly between two groups ($p < 0.1$). Gender moderated the relationship between service quality and satisfaction with male customers ($\beta^f = 0.071$, $\beta^m = 0.283$, $p < 0.1$), due to service quality having a stronger influence on male customers than female customers. Gender also had moderating effects on the relationship between perceived price and food quality ($\beta^f = 0.414$, $\beta^m = 0.631$, $p < 0.05$). In terms of evaluating food quality, female customers tended to be less affected by price than males.

Table 7. PLS-SEM multigroup analysis results.

Hypotheses	Path Coefficients (β)	p-Values		STDEV		Path Coefficients-Diff
		(F)	(M)	(F)	(M)	
H1	perceived price $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.222	0.224	0.000	0.012	0.058
H2	food quality $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.329	0.230	0.000	0.036	0.083
H3	service quality $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.071	0.283	0.332	0.002	0.073
H4	physical environment quality $\rightarrow$ satisfaction	0.340	0.209	0.000	0.015	0.071
H5	perceived price $\rightarrow$ food quality	0.414	0.631	0.000	0.000	0.065
H6	perceived price $\rightarrow$ service quality	0.347	0.503	0.000	0.000	0.064
H7	perceived price $\rightarrow$ physical environment quality	0.343	0.403	0.000	0.000	0.064
H8	satisfaction $\rightarrow$ loyalty	0.520	0.341	0.000	0.000	0.085
H9	satisfaction $\rightarrow$ happiness	0.668	0.721	0.000	0.000	0.041
H10	happiness $\rightarrow$ loyalty	0.321	0.479	0.000	0.000	0.095

Note: * $p < 0.1$; STDEV: Standard Deviation; F = female, M = male.




Figure 2. PLS-SEM results for female and male customers (note: * $p < 0.1$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; F = female; M = male).

Statistically gender did not moderate the relationship between perceived price and other factors for path H1, H6, and H7, but male customers, with slightly higher coefficients on the paths, were more likely to be influenced by perceived price during the evaluation of satisfaction level ($\beta^f = 0.222$, $\beta^m = 0.224$), service quality ($\beta^f = 0.347$, $\beta^m = 0.503$), and physical environment quality ($\beta^f = 0.343$, $\beta^m = 0.403$). Meanwhile, gender moderating effects were not significant for paths H2 and H4, but female customers' satisfaction level was influenced to a slightly greater extent by food quality ($\beta^f = 0.329$, $\beta^m = 0.230$) and physical environment quality ($\beta^f = 0.340$, $\beta^m = 0.209$). Finally, gender did not moderate the relationship between satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness (H8, H9, and H10), but the path coefficient of H8 indicates that female customers were more likely to become loyal customers if satisfied, with a slightly stronger relationship between satisfaction and loyalty ($\beta^f = 0.520$, $\beta^m = 0.341$). Even though the path coefficients of H9 and H10 were not significantly different, the data suggested that if male customers were satisfied, they would have a slightly higher probability of becoming happy ($\beta^f = 0.668$, $\beta^m = 0.721$); consequently, if they were happy, they would be more inclined to be loyal than females ($\beta^f = 0.321$, $\beta^m = 0.479$).

4.5. Happiness Mediation Test

In order to investigate the mediating role of happiness, we conducted a mediating test to see if happiness could act as a mediator between satisfaction and loyalty. As shown in Table 8 (bootstrapping data from PLS), the indirect effects ($p < 0.001$), direct effects ($p < 0.001$), and total effects ($p < 0.001$) were significant for the whole group, which indicates that happiness mediated the relationship between satisfaction and loyalty, so H11 was supported.

Table 8. Mediating effect results.

Group	Stage		Effects		
	1st (SA→HA)	2nd (HA→LY)	Indirect Effects	Direct Effects	Total Effects
whole group	0.692 ***	0.393 ***	0.272 ***	0.438 ***	0.710 ***
female	0.668 ***	0.321 ***	0.215 ***	0.520 ***	0.735 ***
male	0.721 ***	0.479 ***	0.345 ***	0.341 ***	0.686 ***

Note: *** $p < 0.001$.

5. Discussions and Conclusions

5.1. Discussions and Theoretical Implications

The purpose of this study was to investigate how the determinants (perceived price, service quality, food quality, physical environment quality) could influence customer satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness with a special focus on moderating effects of gender. One of the important findings was that quality dimensions of a restaurant and perceived price had positive effects on customer satisfaction. These findings are consistent with Hanaysha (2016) [18], and Qin and Prybutok's (2009) [21] research into fast-food restaurants.

Moreover, we found that a reasonable price could positively and directly affect customers' perceptions toward quality of a restaurant. The result is partly consistent with Ryu and Han's (2010) [4] research, in which they argued that perceived price plays a moderating role in acting between customers' satisfaction and quality dimensions (food, service, and physical environment quality). However, in this study, we proposed a new model and verified that perceived price as an independent variable (not a moderator) could not only directly affect customer satisfaction, but also the quality dimensions of a restaurant. With regards to previous studies, there has been insufficient empirical research testing how strongly perceived price can impact customers' perceptions of restaurant quality dimensions, and their internal relationships remains unclear. This study may contribute to a better understanding of the implications of perceived price in the food service industry and fill research gaps accordingly.

The results also showed that satisfaction could have positive effects on loyalty. This was consistent with several previous studies [4–6]. In addition, the results revealed that satisfaction was positively related to customer happiness, which is similar to Gong and Yi's (2018) [9] findings in their recent research. However, they also suggested that loyalty could positively affect happiness, but in this study, we found that, conversely, happiness could positively influence loyalty. This may be explained by the fact that being involved in purchase activities can bring happiness to customers [42,43], a happy shopping experience can lead to repurchasing. Furthermore, we found that happiness could function as a mediator between satisfaction and loyalty. This new finding confirmed that satisfied customers may become loyal when they are happy with the dining experience. Happiness, an essential factor in increasing customers' quality of life, reaches beyond the concept of satisfaction. Compared to the definitions of satisfaction that are relatively well established by scholars, there have been very few studies related to happiness, and the current literature still exhibits some inconsistencies in defining customer happiness [90]. As most of the literature related to happiness comes from other social science fields, such as psychology, the effects of happiness in the marketing field remained undeveloped. Investigating the role of happiness is likely to contribute to a better understanding of the concept within marketing research.

Additionally, the results revealed the moderating role of gender, which can moderate the relationship between perceived price and food quality, with males displaying a stronger relationship than females. Most previous studies only focused on gender difference in judgements of fairness [50–53], and no study examined how gender difference can influence customers' evaluations of food quality based on perceived price. In this study, however, we found that females tended to be less influenced by price when evaluating the food quality of a restaurant. Moreover, the results revealed that gender can also moderate the relationship between service quality and satisfaction, with a stronger relationship existing among males. This result opposes the findings of Ma, QU, and Eliwa (2014) [6] who found that services had a stronger effect on satisfaction among female customers than male customers in American fine-dining restaurants. However, under different contexts, the moderating effects of gender may vary between countries, it may explain why the effects of service quality on satisfaction were not so strong comparatively among female customers in our findings. There have been insufficient studies investigating gender differences in food consumption and dining experience. Therefore, this study's research into how gender can affect customers' evaluations of a restaurant's quality dimensions and how gender can affect customers' emotions and behaviors may fulfil such theoretical needs.

5.2. Managerial Implications

For these fast-food franchise giants, they might need to make efforts to improve restaurant quality dimensions (food, service, and physical environment quality), since these are very influential to customers' behavior. Managers should place more emphasis on the freshness, taste, and presentation of the food. Staff members should be well trained to be professional. It is also very important to keep the restaurant clean and comfortable. However, setting an appropriate price strategy is of critical importance, as we found that perceived price will not only directly affect customers' satisfaction level but also their expectations of food, service, and physical environment quality. Thus, price should be reasonable compared to the quality. Moreover, for managers, it should be acknowledged that happiness is something that extends beyond notions of satisfaction and can moderate the relationship between satisfaction and loyalty. Satisfied and happy customers have strong propensities to become loyal and be more willing to revisit the restaurant and recommend it to other customers. It is very necessary for managers to continuously adopt various strategies to meet customer needs, and let customers not only to feel satisfied but also happy in order to encourage loyalty. Consequently, by maintaining these loyal customers, companies that struggled for customer retention could generate greater profit.

Considering gender differences, sometimes marketers should regard females and males as different segmentations, meaning that they may need to adjust their marketing strategies based on gender. Compared to female customers, males are more likely to be influenced by price when they evaluate food quality, so for products targeting male customers, price fairness should be considered more carefully than for other products. In addition, as male customers are more sensitive to service quality, and their satisfaction level is more likely to be affected by service quality, it is important to understand the needs of male customers in detail and focus more on the service attributes that male customers care about the most, especially in the service industry. Both female and male customers are influential decision-makers in food consumption. Therefore, their needs are equally important. Awareness of gender differences can contribute to a better understanding of female and male customer needs and behaviors, which may lead to improved market segmentation and increased market shares.

5.3. Limitations and Future Research

This study has a few limitations. Firstly, for purposes of sampling process convenience, the majority of participants were young people. It would have been preferable if samples represented more varied age groups. Secondly, this study only focused on Western fast-food restaurants in China, and as such, some of the findings from this study may not accord with those derived from studies of other countries or other types of restaurants. Thirdly, only four antecedents (price, food, service, and physical environment quality) of satisfaction were investigated in this study, but there may be more

variables that could be included based on other literature. Fourth, we investigated the moderating role of gender and the mediating role of happiness, but other moderators or mediators may exist in addition to these.

We would like to suggest that future studies should gather surveys from a larger scale in order to include more participants of differing age and background. Moreover, considering the diversity of countries and restaurant types, future research could be conducted not only in the fast-food industry but across a wider range of restaurants and countries. This study only tested four antecedents, but there may be more factors, such as location or delivery service, which could act as antecedents of customer behaviors. Last but not least, besides the moderating effects of gender, alternatively, country or age may moderate the proposed relationships. In addition, other mediators between customer satisfaction and loyalty, such as brand image and perceived switching cost, could be further examined. Future studies may include more variables in order to extend this model and gain further insight.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.Z. and H.C.M.; methodology, Y.Z.; formal analysis, Y.Z. and H.C.M.; investigation, Y.Z.; resources, Y.Z.; data curation, Y.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.Z.; writing—review and editing, Y.Z. and H.C.M.; supervision, H.C.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Zhuang, K.; Jiang, Y. An analysis of the development of the Chinese fast food industry. *J. Asian Bus. Strategy* **2016**, *6*, 85. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Shoemaker, S.; Dawson, M.; Johnson, W. How to increase menu prices without alienating your customers. *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.* **2005**, *17*, 553–568. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Varki, S.; Colgate, M. The role of price perceptions in an integrated model of behavioral intentions. *J. Serv. Res.* **2001**, *3*, 232–240. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Ryu, K.; Han, H. Influence of the quality of food, service, and physical environment on customer satisfaction and behavioral intention in quick-casual restaurants: Moderating role of perceived price. *J. Hosp. Tour. Res.* **2010**, *34*, 310–329. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Ryu, K.; Lee, H.R.; Kim, W.G. The influence of the quality of the physical environment, food, and service on restaurant image, customer perceived value, customer satisfaction, and behavioral intentions. *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.* **2012**, *24*, 200–223. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Ma, E.; Qu, H.; Eliwa, R.A. Customer loyalty with fine dining: The moderating role of gender. *J. Hosp. Mark. Manag.* **2014**, *23*, 513–535. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Lysonski, S. Receptivity of young Chinese to American and global brands: Psychological underpinnings. *J. Consum. Mark.* **2014**, *31*, 250–262. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Alexander, M.W. Customer delight: A review. *Acad. Mark. Stud. J.* **2010**, *14*, 39.
9. Gong, T.; Yi, Y. The effect of service quality on customer satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness in five Asian countries. *Psychol. Mark.* **2018**, *35*, 427–442. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Sheehan, K.B. An investigation of gender differences in on-line privacy concerns and resultant behaviors. *J. Interact. Mark.* **1999**, *13*, 24–38. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. World Bank. Available online: <https://www.worldbank.org/> (accessed on 5 January 2020).
12. Mitchell, V.W.; Vassos, V. Perceived risk and risk reduction in holiday purchases: A cross-cultural and gender analysis. *J. Eur. Mark.* **1998**, *6*, 47–79. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Mokhlis, S. The influence of service quality on satisfaction: A gender comparison. *Public Adm. Res.* **2012**, *1*, 103. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Kotler, P.; Armstrong, G. *Principles of Marketing*; Pearson Education: Harlow, UK, 2010.
15. Al-Maslam, S. Customer satisfaction and brand loyalty in the hotel industry. *Int. J. Manag. Sci. Bus. Res.* **2015**, *4*, 232–251.
16. Campbell, M.C. Perceptions of price unfairness: Antecedents and consequences. *J. Mark. Res.* **1999**, *36*, 187–199. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

17. Rothenberger, S. Fairness through transparency: The influence of price transparency on consumer perceptions of price fairness. *Work. Pap. CEB* 2015, 15, 1–37.
18. Hanaysha, J. Testing the effects of food quality, price fairness, and physical environment on customer satisfaction in fast food restaurant industry. *J. Asian Bus. Strategy* 2016, 6, 31–40. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Gagić, S.; Tekanović, D.; Jović, A. The vital components of restaurant quality that affect guest satisfaction. *Turizam* 2013, 17, 166–176. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Abdullah, D.; Hamir, N.; Nor, N.M.; Krishnaswamy, J.; Rostum, A.M.M. Food quality, service quality, price fairness and restaurant re-patronage intention: The mediating role of customer satisfaction. *Int. J. Acad. Res. Bus. Soc. Sci.* 2018, 8, 211–226.
21. Qin, H.; Prybutok, V.R. Service quality, customer satisfaction, and behavioral intentions in fast-food restaurants. *Int. J. Qual. Serv. Sci.* 2009, 1, 78–95. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Altamora, L.; Ingrassia, M.; Chironi, S.; Columba, P.; Sortino, G.; Vukadin, A.; Bacarella, S. Pasta experience: Eating with the five senses-A pilot study. *AIMS Agric. Food* 2018, 3, 493–520.
23. Oliver, R.L. A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *J. Mark. Res.* 1980, 17, 460–469. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Zhao, J.; Huddleston, P. Antecedents of specialty food store loyalty. *Int. Rev. Retail Distrib. Consum. Res.* 2012, 22, 171–187. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Brunner, T.A.; Stöcklin, M.; Opwis, K. Satisfaction, image and loyalty: New versus experienced customers. *Eur. J. Mark.* 2008, 42, 1095–1105. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Booms, B.H.; Bitner, M.J. Marketing services by managing the environment. *Cornell Hotel Restaur. Adm. Q.* 1982, 23, 35–40. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Jang, S.S.; Namkung, Y. Perceived quality, emotions, and behavioral intentions: Application of an extended Mehrabian–Russell model to restaurants. *J. Bus. Res.* 2009, 62, 451–460. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Lim, H. Understanding American Customer Perceptions on Japanese Food and Services in the US. Master's Thesis, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 2010.
29. Zeithaml, V.A. Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *J. Mark.* 1988, 52, 2–22. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Ryu, K. Dinescape, Emotions, and Behavioral Intentions in Upscale Restaurants. Ph.D Thesis, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA, 2005.
31. Jani, D.; Han, H. Investigating the key factors affecting behavioral intentions. *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.* 2011, 23, 1000–1018. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Yi, S.; Zhao, J.; Joung, H.-W. Influence of price and brand image on restaurant customers' restaurant selection attribute. *J. Foodserv. Bus. Res.* 2018, 21, 200–217. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Chen, I.J.; Gupta, A.; Rom, W. A study of price and quality in service operations. *Int. J. Serv. Ind. Manag.* 1994, 5, 23–33. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Khadka, K.; Maharjan, S. Customer satisfaction and customer loyalty. Master's Thesis, Centria University of Applied Sciences, Business Management, Lahti, Finland, 2017.
35. Bennett, R.; Rundle-Thiele, S. Customer satisfaction should not be the only goal. *J. Serv. Mark.* 2004, 18, 514–523. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
36. Dick, A.S.; Basu, K. Customer loyalty: Toward an integrated conceptual framework. *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.* 1994, 22, 99–113. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
37. Bowen, J.T.; Chen, S.L. The relationship between customer loyalty and customer satisfaction. *Int. J. Contemp. Hosp. Manag.* 2001, 13, 213–217. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
38. Anderson, E.W.; Sullivan, M.W. The antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction for firms. *Mark. Sci.* 1993, 12, 125–143. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
39. Lee, C.W.; Lee, S.H. The relation among consumption values of luxury brands, brand identification brand attachment, consumer happiness and self-construal. *J. Distrib. Manag. Res.* 2013, 16, 29–42.
40. Sirgy, M.J.; Samli, A.C.; Meadow, H.L. The interface between quality of life and marketing: A theoretical framework. *J. Mark. Public Policy* 1982, 1, 69–84. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
41. Sweeney, J.C.; Danaher, T.S.; McColl-Kennedy, J.R. Customer effort in value cocreation activities: Improving quality of life and behavioral intentions of health care customers. *J. Serv. Res.* 2015, 18, 318–335. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

42. Shrum, L.; Lowrey, T.M.; Pandelaere, M.; Ruvio, A.A.; Gentina, E.; Furchheim, P.; Herbert, M.; Hudders, L.; Lens, I.; Mandel, N. Materialism: The good, the bad, and the ugly. *J. Mark. Manag.* **2014**, *30*, 1858–1881. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Nicolao, L.; Irwin, J.R.; Goodman, J.K. Happiness for sale: Do experiential purchases make consumers happier than material purchases? *J. Consum. Res.* **2009**, *36*, 188–198. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
44. Fiske, S.T.; Stevens, L.E. *What's so Special about Sex? Gender Stereotyping and Discrimination*; Sage Publications, Inc: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA, 1993.
45. Hoffman, C.; Hurst, N. Gender stereotypes: Perception or rationalization? *J. Personal. Soc. Psychol.* **1990**, *58*, 197. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
46. Argyre, M.; Henderson, M. The rules of friendship. *J. Soc. Pers. Relatsh.* **1984**, *1*, 211–237. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
47. Noble, S.M.; Griffith, D.A.; Adjei, M.T. Drivers of local merchant loyalty: Understanding the influence of gender and shopping motives. *J. Retail.* **2006**, *82*, 177–188. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
48. Blanck, H.M.; Gillespie, C.; Kimmons, J.E.; Seymour, J.D.; Sedula, M.K. Trends in fruit and vegetable consumption among US men and women, 1994–2005. *Prev. Chronic Dis.* **2008**, *5*, A35. [\[PubMed\]](#)
49. Liebman, M.; Cameron, B.; Carson, D.; Brown, D.; Meyer, S. Dietary fat reduction behaviors in college students: Relationship to dieting status, gender and key psychosocial variables. *Appetite* **2001**, *36*, 51–56. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
50. Snipes, R.L.; Thomson, N.F.; Oswald, S.L. Gender bias in customer evaluations of service quality: An empirical investigation. *J. Serv. Mark.* **2006**, *20*, 274–284. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
51. Beldona, S.; Namasiyayam, K. Gender and demand-based pricing: Differences in perceived (un) fairness and repatronage intentions. *J. Hosp. Leis. Mark.* **2006**, *14*, 89–107. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
52. Adams, J.S. Inequity in social exchange. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1965; Volume 2, pp. 267–299.
53. Lee, C.; Farh, J.L. The effects of gender in organizational justice perception. *J. Organ. Behav.* **1999**, *20*, 133–143. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
54. Rocha, M.A.V.; Hammond, L.; Hawkins, D. Age, gender and national factors in fashion consumption. *J. Fash. Mark. Manag. Int. J.* **2005**, *9*, 380–390. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
55. Ma, E.; Qu, H.; Njite, D. US customer perceptions toward Chinese restaurant service quality: An importance and performance approach. *J. Foodserv. Bus. Res.* **2011**, *14*, 290–308. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
56. Qu, H. Determinant factors and choice intention for Chinese restaurant dining: A multivariate approach. *J. Restaur. Foodserv. Mark.* **1997**, *2*, 35–49. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
57. Buda, R.; Sengupta, K.; Elsayed-Elkhouly, S. Employee and organizational perspectives of service quality: A cross-cultural study in Kuwait, United States and Saudi Arabia. *Int. J. Manag.* **2006**, *23*, 430.
58. Holbrook, M.B. Aims, concepts, and methods for the representation of individual differences in esthetic responses to design features. *J. Consum. Res.* **1986**, *13*, 337–347. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
59. Devi Juwaeer, T. Gender bias in hotel guests' perceptions of service quality: An empirical investigation of hotels in Mauritius. *E-Rev. Tour. Res.* **2011**, *9*, 164–189.
60. Wilson, A.; Zeithaml, V.; Bitner, M.J.; Gremler, D. *Services Marketing: Integrating Customer Focus Across the Firm*; McGraw-Hill Education: London, UK, 2016.
61. Peter, J.P.; Olson, J.C.; Grunert, K.G. *Consumer Behaviour and Marketing Strategy*; McGraw-Hill: London, UK, 1999.
62. Ndlovu, J.; Senguder, T. Gender and perception of service quality in the hotel industry. *J. Am. Acad. Bus.* **2002**, *1*, 301–307.
63. Data Collection Methodology for the American Customer Satisfaction Index (ASCI): For the International Conference on Survey Measurement and Process Quality; Bristol, United Kingdom. 3 April 1995. Available online: http://xueshu.baidu.com/usercenter/paper/show?paperid=eed9e6507ee36c7a5341ee36d4411232&site=xueshu_se (accessed on 8 April 1995).
64. Carlson, R. Understanding women: Implications for personality theory and research. *J. Soc. Iss.* **1972**, *28*, 17–32. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
65. Omar, M.S.; Ariffin, H.F.; Ahmad, R. Service quality, customers' satisfaction and the moderating effects of gender: A study of Arabic restaurants. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2016**, *224*, 384–392. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
66. Han, H.; Ryu, K. Moderating role of personal characteristics in forming restaurant customers' behavioral intentions: An upscale restaurant setting. *J. Hosp. Leis. Mark.* **2007**, *15*, 25–54. [\[CrossRef\]](#)